

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

COMPLIANCE PROGRAMME

PURSUANT TO LEGISLATIVE DECREE 231/2001 AS AMENDED.

COMPANY:


STAR7 S.P.A.

VAT NUMBER: 01255170050

Registered office: Via Alessandria 37 b,
15122 Alessandria (Piedmont), Italy

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

DOCUMENT STATUS – LIST OF VERSIONS

VERSION	DATE	DESCRIPTION	
0	20/12/2017	First issue	
1	25/02/2020	First revision - <i>Extension of the catalogue of predicate offences (trafficking in unlawful influence; offences relating to fraud in sporting competitions and unlawful gaming; tax offences) and related addition of new Operational Protocols (OP-12, OP-13, OP-14, OP-15)</i>	
2	29/06/2021	Second revision - <i>Extension of the list of predicate offences (new offences against the public administration; additional tax offences; contraband offences) and changes related to the new organisational chart.</i>	
3	06/12/2021	Third revision - <i>Addition of new offenses: Article 25-octies.1 "Crimes relating to non-cash payment instruments".</i>	
DOCUMENT		CREATED BY	AUTHORISED BY
231CP Version: 3 Date of issue: 06/12/2021			SIGNATURE:

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

CONTENTS

DESCRIPTION OF THE CORPORATE REALITY OF THE GOVERNANCE MODEL AND OF THE GENERAL ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE OF THE COMPANY	13
INTRODUCTION TO LEGISLATIVE DECREE 231/2001	13
The nature of the liability of Entities	17
The criteria for attributing responsibility to the Entity and exemptions from responsibility	18
TERMINOLOGY	20
THE ADOPTION OF THE PROGRAMME	22
The structure of the Programme	23
Programme Objectives	24
Target Audience of the Compliance Programme	25
THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MANAGEMENT, ORGANISATION AND CONTROL MODEL	25
METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH	25
Protocols, procedures and control principles	29
THE CODE OF ETHICS	31
THE SANCTIONS SYSTEM	32
Recipients and their duties: procedural process	33
General principles regarding penalties	33
Sanctions against employees	34
Sanctions against managerial employees	37
Measures with respect to individuals in the administration	38
Measures against External Employees	38
SUPERVISORY BODY	40
General characteristics and functions of the SB	40
Tasks, rules and powers of the Supervisory Body	41
Appointment and termination	42
Regulations of the Supervisory Body	43
Remuneration, equipment and operations	43
COMMUNICATION AND TRAINING ACTIVITIES	44
Communications to the Supervisory Body	44

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The management of reports by the SB	45
Reporting by the Supervisory Board	46
Staff training and dissemination of the model	46
Cross Reference	48
SPECIAL SECTION	58
Introduction	59
Addressees of the special section	59
CRIMES AGAINST THE PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION	59
Article 316 bis Criminal Code: Embezzlement of funds from the State.	60
Article 316 ter Criminal Code: Unlawful receipt of public grants to the detriment of the State	61
Article 640 Criminal Code: Fraud.	62
Article 640 bis Criminal Code: Aggravated fraud to obtain public funds.	63
MEMORANDUM	65
Public Officials:	66
Charged with a public service:	67
"De facto" official:	67
Public Administration Entities:	68
Article 317 Criminal Code: Extortion.	68
Article 318 Criminal Code: Bribery in the performance of duty.	68
Article 319 Criminal Code: Corruption in an action contrary to official duties.	69
Article 319 bis Criminal Code: Aggravating circumstances.	70
Article 319 ter Criminal Code: Corruption in judicial proceedings.	70
Article 319 quater Criminal Code: Illegal inducement to give or promise benefits	71
Article 321 Criminal Code: Penalties for the corruptor.	72
Article 322 Criminal Code: Incitement to bribery.	72
Article 346 bis criminal code Trafficking in unlawful influences	73
Article 314 criminal code paragraph 1 - Embezzlement if para. mitted to the detriment of the financial interests of the EU	75
Article 316 criminal code - Embezzlement through profit from the error of others if para. mitted to the detriment of the financial interests of the EU	76
Article 323 criminal code - Abuse of office if para. mitted to the detriment of the financial interests of the EU	76
At-Risk Activities exarticles 24 and 25 of Decree 231/2001.	76
General principles of conduct	77

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Doing Area	77
reserves the exclusive right to carry out the aforementioned activities to the appointed and authorised company functions;	77
Area of Not Doing	78
Relations with representatives of the Public Administration.	79
Relations with inspection bodies.	79
Managing human resources.	79
Protocols to protect against the risks of offences pursuant to articles 24 and 25 of the Decree:	80
CYBERCRIME AND UNLAWFUL DATA PROCESSING	80
Falsehoods:	80
Crimes against the inviolability of the home:	80
Crimes against the inviolability of secrets:	80
Crimes against property by violence to things or persons:	81
Crimes against property by fraud:	81
Article 491 bis Criminal Code: Electronic documents.	81
Article 615 ter Criminal Code: Malicious hacking of an information or computer system.	82
Article 615 quater Criminal Code: Unauthorised possession and distribution of access codes to information and computer systems.	83
Article 615 quinquies Criminal Code: Distribution of computer equipment, devices or computer programs for the purpose of damaging or blocking an information or computer system.	84
Article 617 quater Criminal Code: Wiretapping, blocking or illegally interrupting computer or information technology communications	84
Article 617 quinquies Criminal Code: Installing devices aimed at wiretapping, blocking or interrupting computer or information technologies communications.	86
Article 635 bis Criminal Code: Damaging computer information, data and programs	86
Article 635 ter Criminal Code: Damaging computer information, data and programs used by the State or any other public entity or by an entity providing public services	87
Article 635 quater Criminal Code: Damaging information or computer systems	88
Article 635 quinquies Criminal Code: Damaging public utility information or computer systems	88
Article 640 quinquies Criminal Code: Computer fraud by providers of electronic signature certification services.	89
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 24 bis of the Decree.	89

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

General principles of conduct	90
Doing Area	90
Non-Doing Area	91
ORGANISED CRIME	92
Article 416 Criminal Code: Criminal association.	93
Article 416 bis Criminal Code: Mafia-type associations, including foreign ones.	94
Article 416 ter Criminal Code: Mafia vote-buying	95
Article 630 Criminal Code: Kidnapping for the purpose of extortion	96
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 24 ter of the Decree.	97
General principles of conduct	97
FORGERY OF MONEY, PUBLIC CREDIT INSTRUMENTS, REVENUE STAMPS AND DISTINCTIVE SIGNS AND INSTRUMENTS	97
Article 453 Criminal Code: Forgery of money, spending and introduction into the State, in concert, of counterfeit money.	98
Article 454 Criminal Code: Forging money.	99
Article 455 Criminal Code: Spending and introduction into the State, without concert, of counterfeit money.	100
Article 457 Criminal Code: Distribution of counterfeit coins received in good faith.	100
Article 459 Criminal Code: Forging of revenue stamps, and importing into Italy, purchasing, possessing or circulating of forged revenue stamps	101
Article 460 Criminal Code: Forgery of watermarked paper used to produce public credit instruments or revenue stamps	101
Article 461 Criminal Code: Manufacture or possession of watermarks or instruments designed for the counterfeiting of currency, revenue stamps, or watermarked paper.	101
Article 464 Criminal Code: Use of counterfeit or altered revenue stamps.	102
Article 473 Criminal Code: Counterfeiting, forging or use of trademarks, distinctive marks or patents, models and designs	102
Article 474 Criminal Code: Introduction into the State and trade in products with false signs.	104
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 bis of the Decree.	105
Area of Not Doing.	105
Protocols to protect against the risks of offences pursuant to Article 25 bis of the Decree:	106
CRIMES AGAINST INDUSTRY AND TRADE	106
Article 513 Criminal Code: Disturbed freedom of industry or commerce.	106
Article 513 bis Criminal Code Illegal competition with threats or violence	107

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 514 Criminal Code: Fraud against national industries	108
Article 515 Criminal Code: Crime of fraud in the exercise of trade	109
Article 516 Criminal Code: Sale of non-genuine foodstuffs as genuine	110
Article 517 Criminal Code: Sale of industrial products with mendacious signs	110
Article 517 ter Criminal Code: Manufacture and trade of goods made by usurping industrial property rights.	111
Article 517 quater Criminal Code: Counterfeiting of geographical indications or designations of origin of agri-food products.	112
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 bis 1 of the Decree.	113
Area of Not Doing.	113

CORPORATE CRIMES	113
The relevant offences.	113
Falsehoods	114
The criminal protection of share capital and assets	114
Other offences	114
The Falsehoods.	115
Article 2621 Civil Code: False corporate communications.	115
Article 2621 bis Civil Code: Minor Facts.	115
Article 2622 Civil Code: False corporate communications of listed companies.	116
Memorandum	118
The criminal protection of share capital and assets.	120
Article 2626 Civil Code: Unlawful return of capital.	120
Article 2627 Civil Code: Illegal distribution of profits or reserves.	121
Article 2628 Civil Code: Illegal transactions involving the company's own shares or quotas or those of the parent company.	122
Article 2629 Civil Code: Transactions to the detriment of creditors.	123
Article 2629 bis Civil Code: Failure to disclose a conflict of interest.	123
Article 2632 Civil Code: False creation of share capital.	124
Article 2633 Civil Code: Improper distribution of corporate assets by liquidators.	124
Article 2635 Civil Code: Private-to-private corruption.	125
Article 2635-bis Civil Code para. 1: Incitement to corruption between private individuals	128
Other offenses.	129
Article 2625 Civil Code: Impeding company controls.	130
Article 2636 Civil Code: Unlawful influence on the assembly.	130
Article 2637 Civil Code: Stock price manipulation.	131

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 2638 Civil Code: Hindering public supervisory authorities from performing their functions	132
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 ter of Decree 231/2001.	133
Area of Not Doing.	134
Protocols to protect against the risks of offences pursuant to Article 25 ter of the Decree:	135
CRIMES COMMITTED FOR THE PURPOSES OF TERRORISM AND SUBVERSION OF THE DEMOCRATIC ORDER	136
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 quater of Decree 231/2001.	137
Practices of mutilation of the female genitals (crimes against life and individual safety)	137
Article 583-bis Criminal Code	137
CRIMES AGAINST THE INDIVIDUAL PERSONALITY.	138
Article 600 Criminal Code: Reduction or retention in slavery or servitude.	139
Article 600 bis Criminal Code: Child prostitution.	140
Article 600 ter Criminal Code: Child pornography.	140
Article 600 quater Criminal Code: Possession of pornographic materials.	141
Article 600 quater.1 Criminal Code: Virtual pornography.	142
Article 600 quinquies Criminal Code: Tourist initiatives aimed at the exploitation of child prostitution.	143
Article 601 Criminal Code: Trafficking in persons.	143
Article 602 Criminal Code: Purchase and sale of slaves.	143
Article 609 undecies Criminal Code: Grooming of minors.	144
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 quinquies of Decree 231/2001.	144
Area of Not Doing.	145
Protocols to protect against the risks of crime ex art. 25-quinquies of the Decree.	145
MARKET ABUSE.	145
Article 184 TUF: Insider Trading	146
ARTICLE 185 TUF: Market manipulation.	147
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 sexies of Decree 231/2001.	149
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY.	149
Article 589 Criminal Code: Manslaughter.	150
Article 590 Criminal Code: Personal injury through negligence.	151
At-Risk Activities ex Article 25 septies of the Decree.	153
General rules of conduct.	153
Area of Doing.	154

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Area of Not Doing.	154
Protocols to protect against risk-offences:	155
PROPERTY CRIMES BY FRAUD.	155
Article 648 Criminal Code: Receiving money, goods or assets of unlawful origin	155
Article 648 bis Criminal Code: Money laundering.	156
Article 648 ter Criminal Code: Use of money, goods or benefits of unlawful origin	157
Article 648 ter.1 Criminal Code: Self-laundering.	158
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25-octies of Decree 231/01.	159
Area of Not Doing.	161
Supplier Relations.	161
Relationships with Consultants and Collaborators.	161
Protocols to protect against the risk of crime:	162
COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AND RELATED CRIMES	162
Article 171 Law 633/1941.	163
Article 171 bisl. 633/1941.	164
Article 171 terl. 633/1941.	165
Article 171 septies Law 633/1941.	168
Article 171 octies Law 633/1941.	169
At-Risk Activities exart. 25 nonies of Decree 231/2001.	169
Area of Not Doing.	171
Protocols to protect against the risk of crime:	171
INDUCEMENT TO REFRAIN FROM MAKING STATEMENTS OR TO MAKE FALSE STATEMENTS TO THE LEGAL AUTHORITIES	172
Article 378 Criminal Code: Personal aiding and abetting.	172
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 decies of the Decree.	173
General principles of conduct	173
Area of Doing.	173
Area of Not Doing.	174
Protocols to protect against the risk of crime:	174
ENVIRONMENTAL CRIMES.	175
Article 452 bis Criminal Code: Environmental pollution	177
Article 452 quater Criminal Code: Environmental pollution	178
Article 452 quinquies Criminal Code: Culpable crimes against the environment.	178
Article 452 sexies Criminal Code: Trafficking or abandonment of highly radioactive material	179

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 452 octies Criminal Code: Environmental crimes committed in association ex Article 416 and 416 bis criminal Code	179
Article 727 bis Criminal Code: Killing, destroying, capturing, taking, possessing specimens of protected species of wild animals or plants	180
Article 733 bis Criminal Code: Destruction or deterioration of habitat within a protected site	181
Article 137, Legislative Decree 152/2006: Water discharge in violation of permit requirements and tabular limits for certain substances	181
Article 256 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Illegal waste management	182
Article 257 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Failure to clean up a site contaminated by waste	184
Article 258 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Transportation of hazardous waste without a form and failure to record the relevant data on the form	184
Article 259 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Illegal shipment of waste	185
Article 260 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Organised activities for the illegal traffic of waste	186
Article 260-bis Legislative Decree 152/2006: Breach of SISTRI requirements.	186
Article 279 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Exceeding the emission limit values and air quality limit values required by industry regulations.	189
PROTECTION OF PROTECTED SPECIES L. 150/1992	190
Importing, exporting, possessing, using for profit, purchasing, selling, displaying, or possessing for sale or commercial purposes protected species.	190
Article 1	190
Article 2	192
Article 3 bis: Discipline of crimes related to the application in Italy of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora.	193
Article 6: Discipline of crimes related to the application in Italy of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora.	194
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 undecies of Decree 231/2001	194
ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION OFFENSES.	195
Article 22, paragraph 12 bis, Legislative Decree no. 286 of 25 July 1998: Temporary and permanent employment.	195
Article 22, paragraph 12, Legislative Decree no. 286 of 25 July 1998: Temporary and permanent employment.	196
Article 603 bis Criminal Code: Illicit intermediation and exploitation of labour.	196
General rules of conduct.	198
Area of Making.	198
Area of Not Doing.	198
Protocols to protect against risk-offences.	199

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

OFFENCES RELATING TO XENOPHOBIA AND RACISM	199
Article 3 Law No. 654 of 13 October 1975	199
DEFINITIONS	200
Law No. 232 of 12 July 1999 (Ratification and execution of the Statute of the International Criminal Court, with final act and annexes, adopted by the Diplomatic Conference of the United Nations in Rome on 17 July 1998. Delegation of authority to the Government for the implementation of the Statute itself).	201
Agreement 1/6 (Crime of genocide)	201
Agreement 1/7	201
(Crimes against humanity)	201
Article 8 War Crimes	203
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 terdecies of Legislative Decree 231/2001	207
Protocols to protect against the risks of offences pursuant to Article 25 ter of the Decree:	207
OFFENCES RELATING TO SPORTS COMPETITIONS AND GAMES OF CHANCE	208
Article 1 Law 13 December 1989 n. 40	208
Article 4 Law 13 December 1989 n. 401	209
At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25-quaterdecies of the Decree:	210
TAX OFFENCES	210
Art. 2 Legislative Decree 74/2000 - Fraudulent statement by using invoices or other documents for non-existent transactions	210
Article 3 Legislative Decree 74/2000 - Fraudulent declaration by means of other artifices	212
Article 8 of Legislative Decree 74/2000 - Issue of invoices or other documents for non-existent transactions	214
Article 10 Legislative Decree 74/2000 - Concealment or destruction of accounting documents	215
SMUGGLING OFFENCES	220

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

GENERAL SECTION

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

1. DESCRIPTION OF THE CORPORATE REALITY OF THE GOVERNANCE MODEL AND OF THE GENERAL ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE OF THE COMPANY

STAR7 S.P.A. is a company that offers editing, language translation, multimedia publishing, printing, distribution and after-sales services to customers.

The STAR Group is present in Italy with three companies.

STAR7 S.P.A. is the leader and its *core business* is to offer editorial and language services. STAR7 Engineering s.r.l. deals with process engineering, product engineering, CGI and VFX, technical training, technical *help desk* and *customer care*.

STAR7 Printing S.r.l. is the company dedicated to printing, logistics, warehouse, kitting, assembly and substitute storage services.

Today, Star Group has subsidiaries all over the world (in Europe, Asia, USA and North America) and is considered the sixth largest "Language Services Provider" in the world.

Since March 2020 Star7 S.p.A. has been outsourcing the functional areas of Star7 Printing S.r.l. and Star7 Engineering S.r.l.

2. INTRODUCTION TO LEGISLATIVE DECREE 231/2001

Legislative Decree 231/2001 (hereinafter also referred to simply as "the Decree" or "Decree 231") introduced into the Italian legal system the principle of the administrative liability of entities in relation to offences, adding the liability of the legal person to the criminal liability of the natural person who committed the offence.

The rule applies to entities (companies, associations, foundations, etc.), including, therefore, joint-stock companies.

The administrative liability of Entities arises when one of the following persons commits an offence:

- Top management, natural persons who hold functions of representation, administration,

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

management or control of the Entity, even if only de facto, or their organisational areas with financial and managerial autonomy;

- Persons subject to the direction or supervision of the above-mentioned persons;
- Third parties operating in the name and on behalf of the entity under a mandate and/or collaboration agreement.

The scope of application of the new provisions, originally limited to articles 24, 25 and 26 of the Decree, was subsequently extended, both by modification of the same Decree (by art. 6 of Legislative Decree no. 350 of 25 September 2001, by art. 3 of Legislative Decree 61 of 11 April 2002 by art. 3 of the Law of 14 January 2003, no. 7, by art. 5 of the Law of 11 August 2003, no. 228, by art. 187 quaterdecies of the Law of 18 April 2005 no. 62, by 'art. 31 of Law no. 262, December 28, 2005, by art. 63, paragraph 3, of Legislative Decree of 21 November 2007 no. 231, by art. 300 of Legislative Decree 9 April 2008 no. 81 by art.7 of Law no. 48 of 18 March 2008), by art. 2, paragraph 29, of Law no. 94, from art. 15, paragraph 7, of Law no. 99 and, lastly, by art. 4, paragraph 1, of Law no. 116, and through references to the Decree itself (from articles 3 and 10 of Law no. 146 of 16 March 2006 and from article 192 of legislative decree no. 152 of 3 April 2006). With legislative decree no. 121/2001, which implements the EEC directives on the criminal protection of the environment (Directive 2008/99/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19.11.2008) and on pollution caused by ships (Directive 2009/123/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21.10.2009), a new catalog of environmental offences has been included in Decree no. 231/2001 (in Article 25 *undecies*), which can be used as a basis for the company's liability. Legislative Decree 109 of 2012 introduced the crime of "employment of third-country nationals with an irregular stay" (art. 25 *duodecies*). With the so-called. "Anti-corruption" (Law no. 190 of 6.11.2012), "bribery among private individuals" (art. 25 *ter*, paragraph 1, letter s-bis, which refers to the crime of bribery among private individuals referred to in the new third paragraph of Article 2635 of the Italian Civil Code), and undue induction to give or promise benefits (art. 25, paragraph 3), in which the reference to Article 319 *quater* of the Italian Criminal Code is inserted) have been included in Decree 231/2001. Through Law No. 186 of 15 December 2014, entitled "Provisions on the emersion and return of capital held abroad as well as for the strengthening of the fight against tax evasion. Provisions on self-money laundering", significant amendments have been made to Article 25 *octies*, inserting, among

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

the relevant cases, the crime of Self-money laundering (art. 648 *ter*.1).

Law no. 68 of 22 May 2015, under the heading "Provisions on crimes against the environment" provided (with Article 1, paragraph 8, letter a)) for the amendment of Article 25-undecies, paragraph 1, letters a) and b), the introduction of letters c) to g) to Article 25 *undecies*, paragraph 1 and paragraph 1 *bis* to Article 25 *undecies*, implementing the catalog of underlying crimes of an environmental nature.

Law no. 69 of 27 May 2015, under the heading "Provisions on crimes against the public administration, mafia-type associations and false accounting", has affected Article 25 *ter* (corporate crimes) by increasing the pecuniary penalty for the crime of false corporate communications, provided for by Article 2621 of the Italian Civil Code, inserting as a predicate crime the new Article 2621 *bis* of the Italian Civil Code (false corporate communications of minor entity) and increasing the penalty for the crime provided for by Article 2622 of the Italian Civil Code (false corporate communications of listed companies).

Subsequently, Law 199 of 29 October 2016 added the crime of "Illegal intermediation and exploitation of labor" through the introduction in Article 25-quinquies, paragraph 1, letter a), of Legislative Decree 231/2001 among the crimes against the individual, with the financial penalty from 400 to 1000 units.

Legislative Decree no. 38 of 15 March 2017, under the heading "Implementation of Council Framework Decision 2003/568/JHA of 22 July 2003 on combating corruption in the private sector", which eliminated the prerequisite of "harm to the company" from the crime of bribery among private individuals, contemplating a tightening of the financial penalty in Article 25-*ter*, letter *s-bis* (from 400 to 600 units) and the interdictory sanction (from 3 months to 24 months).

The same intervention also introduced the new offence of "incitement to bribery among private individuals" punished with a financial penalty of between 200 and 400 units and an interdiction from 3 months to 24 months.

Law 161 of 17 October 2017 on "Amendments to the code of anti-mafia laws and prevention measures, as per Legislative Decree 159 of 6 September 2011, to the criminal code and to the implementation, coordination and transitional rules of the Code of Criminal Procedure and other provisions. Delegation to the Government for the protection of work in seized and confiscated companies ", which amended art. 25 *duodecies* of the Decree, by inserting paragraphs 1-*bis*, 1-*ter* and 1-*quater* which reproduce the crimes provided for by art. 12, paragraphs 3, 3-*bis*, 3-*ter* and 5 of the Immigration Act, Legislative Decree

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

no. 286/1998.

Law no. 167 of 20 November 2017 containing "Provisions for the fulfilment of obligations arising from *Italy's membership of the European Union - European Law 2017*" introduced Article 25 terdecies into the Decree by extending the responsibility of the Entities to the crimes of Racism and Xenophobia provided for and punished by article 3 law no. 654 of 13 October 1975, which recalls the criminal cases sanctioned by the Statute of the International Criminal Court, ratified pursuant to law no. 232 of 12 July 1999 (so-called Rome Statute).

Legislative Decree No. 107 of 10 August 2018 remodeled the criminal cases of market abuse "*Insider Trading*" and "*Market Manipulation*", providing for the adjustment of the national regulations on market abuse to the provisions contained in Regulation (EU) No. 596/2014;

The law of 9 January 2019 no. 3 bearing "*Measures for the contrast of crimes against the public administration, as well as in the matter of prescription of the crime and in the matter of transparency of political parties and movements*" has further expanded the catalog of predicate offenses, contemplating among the cases of crimes against the Public Administration the trafficking of illicit influences referred to in Article 346 bis of the Criminal Code; envisaging a tightening of the financial penaltys in the event of the commission of the offences referred to in articles 318, 321, 322, first and third paragraphs, and 346-bis of the criminal code, up to 200 units; increased the disqualification penalties relating to the offences referred to in Article 25 of Legislative Decree no. 231/2001; furthermore, it has introduced paragraph 5-bis, which establishes an extenuating circumstance "*if, before the sentence of first instance, the entity has effectively taken steps to prevent the criminal activity from having further consequences, to ensure the evidence of the crimes and the identification of the persons responsible, or for the seizure of the sums or other benefits transferred, and has eliminated the organisational deficiencies that determined the crime by adopting and implementing organisational models suitable for preventing crimes of the type that have occurred, the interdictory sanctions have the duration established by article 13, paragraph 2*".

Laws No. 39 of 3 May 2019 and No. 43 of 21 May 2019 respectively (i) introduced into the system outlined by Legislative Decree 231/2001 the crimes of fraud in sports competitions, abusive exercise of gaming or betting and gambling exercised by means of prohibited devices; (ii) amended the case provided for by article 416-ter of the Italian Criminal Code (political-mafia electoral exchange) in the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

terms better specified in the Special Section of this Model.

With the Decree-Law of 26 October 2019, the criminal-tax case referred to in Article 2 of Legislative Decree 74/2000 (fraudulent declaration through the use of invoices or other documents for non-existent transactions) was included in the list of alleged crimes. Finally, with the law converting the aforementioned decree-law (L. 19.12.2019, no. 157), the catalog of offences-prepared was extended to additional tax offences, namely:

- fraudulent declaration by means of other devices (art. 3 of Legislative Decree 74/2000);
- the issue of invoices/other documents for non-existent transactions (art. 8 of Legislative Decree 74/2000);
- concealment or destruction of accounting documents (art. 10 of Legislative Decree 74/2000);
- fraudulent evasion of tax payments (art. 11 of Legislative Decree 74/2000).

With the implementation, at the national level, of the so-called. 'PIF Directive' (No. 2017/1371), additional criminal-tax offenses (Articles 4, 5, 10-quater, if committed as part of cross-border fraudulent schemes and for the purpose of evading value-added tax for a total amount of not less than ten million euros), offenses against the public administration (e.g. fraud in public supplies), smuggling offenses were introduced in the list of predicate offenses.

2.1. The nature of the liability of Entities

With regard to the nature of the administrative liability of entities, the illustrative report of the Decree has underlined that it is a *"tertium genus that combines the essential features of the criminal and administrative system in an attempt to reconcile the reasons of preventive effectiveness with those, even more inescapable, of maximum guarantee"*.

The legislation in question is the result of a legislative technique which, by borrowing the principles of criminal and administrative offences, has introduced into our legal system a punitive system for corporate offences which is integrated with the existing sanctioning systems: the Criminal Judge competent to judge the author of the fact is called upon to judge the administrative responsibility of the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Entity and to apply the consequent sanction according to the discipline and timing of the criminal trial.

As established by article 8 of the aforementioned Decree, the administrative liability of the Entity is independent from that of the natural person who commits the crime: the Entity, in fact, is not exempt from liability if the author of the crime has not been identified or is not imputable or if the crime is extinguished for reasons other than amnesty. In any case, the Entity's liability is in addition to and does not replace that of the individual perpetrator of the offence.

2.2. The criteria for attributing responsibility to the Entity and exemptions from responsibility

In the event that an offence is committed, the Entity is liable only if certain conditions are met, which are defined as criteria for imputing the offence to the Entity and which are divided into objective and subjective criteria.

The first condition implies that the predicate offence was committed by a person linked to the Entity by a qualified relationship.

In fact, Article 5 of the Decree identifies the subjects that give rise to the Entity's administrative liability in the event of an offence:

- individuals who hold positions of representation, administration, management or control of the Entity or organisational areas with financial and functional autonomy or individuals who actually exercise the management and control of the Entity (so-called individuals in top positions);
- natural persons subject to the management or supervision of the above-mentioned persons (so-called subordinates or subordinate persons);
- persons who operate in the name of and on behalf of the Entity by virtue of a mandate and/or a collaboration agreement.

The second objective condition is that the unlawful conduct must have been carried out by the persons

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

indicated above "*in the interest and to the advantage of the company*". Moreover, the legislation provides for the existence of the interest in the moment in which the author of the crime has acted in order to benefit the Entity, regardless of whether or not the established objective has been achieved. The advantage, on the other hand, is expected to exist when the Entity has gained, or could have gained, a positive result of any kind from the crime. Moreover, the Entity is not liable for the offence if the subject (apical or subordinate) has acted "*in its own exclusive interest or that of third parties*".

The subjective criteria for imputing the crime to the Entity establish the conditions under which the crime is "reprehensible" to the Entity.

In order for the crime not to be attributed to the Entity from a subjective point of view, the Entity must demonstrate that it has done everything in its power to organise, manage and control the company in such a way as to prevent the perpetration of the crimes - presupposed by the Decree.

Therefore, the Decree foresees the possibility to exclude the Entity's liability in the event that, prior to the commission of the offence, it has committed a crime:

- organisational and management models suitable for preventing the commission of offences are prepared and implemented;
- a control body (Supervisory Body) is set up, with powers of autonomous initiative and the task of supervising the functioning of the organisational models.

The Entity's responsibility will be deemed presumed in the event that the offence is committed by a natural person who holds a top position, since this person expresses, represents and concretizes the management policy of the Entity itself. In this case, the Entity has the burden of demonstrating its extraneousness to the illicit act by proving that the act was committed by fraudulently eluding the existing Compliance Programme and that there was no omitted or insufficient control by the Supervisory Body, specifically appointed to supervise the correct functioning and effective observance of the Compliance Programme itself. In this hypothesis, therefore, the Decree requires a stronger proof of extraneousness to the crime, therefore the Entity must prove a sort of internal fraud to the Compliance Programme by the apical subjects.

In the event that the offence has been committed by a subordinate, the Entity will be liable only if the commission of the offence was made possible by the failure to comply with the obligations of

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

management and supervision, and the burden of proof will be on the prosecution.

The Entity's exoneration from responsibility is, therefore, subject to the adoption of adequate behavioral protocols, for the type of organisation and activity carried out, in order to guarantee the carrying out of the activity in compliance with the law and to discover and promptly eliminate risk situations.

In this case, it is a real organisational fault, since the Entity is deemed to have indirectly consented to the commission of the offence, by failing to adequately supervise the activities and subjects at risk of commission of an alleged offence.

3. TERMINOLOGY

- **"At-Risk Activities"**: at-risk activities of offences being committed, i.e. activities in the context of which there is a risk of an offence being committed pursuant to Legislative Decree 231/2001; these are activities in the actions of which conditions, occasions or means could be configured, even instrumentally, for the concrete realisation of the offence;
- **"CCNL"**: National Collective Labour Agreement applied by the Company;
- **Code of Ethics**: document containing the general principles of conduct to which the recipients must adhere with reference to the activities defined by this Model;
- **"Consultants"**: subjects who act in the name and/or on behalf of STAR7 S.P.A. by virtue of a mandate contract or other contractual relationship of collaboration;
- **"Legislative Decree 231/2001"** or the "Decree": Legislative Decree no. 231 of 8 June 2001;
- **Target Audience**: partners, directors, officers, auditors, employees, suppliers, subcontractors, customers and any other persons with whom the Company may come into contact in the course of business;
- **"Guidelines"**: Guidelines for the construction of compliance programmes pursuant to Legislative Decree 231/01;
- **"Compliance Programme" or "Programmes"**: the Compliance Programme or Programmes envisaged by Legislative Decree 231/2001 and adopted by the Company, which includes a map of the Company's sensitive at-risk activities of committing the predicate offence, an outline of organisational and management procedures, with the consequent control actions (type,

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

responsibility and frequency) to monitor the risk, a *cross reference* between the underlying offences and the documental structure present in the entity to support the Compliance Programme itself;

- **"At-Risk Transaction"**: a transaction or act that falls within the scope of At-Risk Activities;
- **"SB" or "SB"**: the internal control body, responsible for supervising the functioning of and compliance with the Compliance Programme;
- **"P.A."**: the public administration and, with reference to offences against the public administration, public officials and persons in charge of a public service;
- **"Partner"**: contractual counterparties of STAR7 S.P.A., such as suppliers, contractors, subcontractors, landlords, distributors, both natural persons and legal entities, with whom the Company enters into any form of contractually regulated collaboration, if they are to cooperate with the company within the scope of At-Risk Activities;
- **Staff**: all natural persons who have an employment relationship with the Company, including employees, temporary workers, collaborators, interns and freelance professionals who have received an assignment from the Company;
- **Senior Managers**: the persons referred to in Article 5, paragraph 1, letter a) of Legislative Decree 231/2001, i.e. persons who hold positions of representation, administration or management of the Company or one of its organisational units with financial and functional autonomy;
- **Staff under the direction of others**: the persons referred to in Article 5, paragraph 1, letter b) of Legislative Decree 231/2001, or all personnel working under the direction or supervision of the Key Personnel;
- **General rules of conduct** : the physical and/or logical measures foreseen by the Code of Ethics [CE] in order to prevent the commission of the Offences, subdivided by type of Recipient;
- **Specific rules of conduct**: the physical and/or logical measures foreseen by the Compliance Programme's supporting document [MO] in order to prevent the commission of the Offences and subdivided according to the different types of said Offences;
- **Procedures**: formalised documents designed to govern a specific business process or a series of activities that make up the process;
- **Protocols**: appropriately formalised documents for the prevention of risk with the task of defining the conduct of personnel, i.e. regulating At-Risk Activities to avoid the commission of

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

the offences in question;

- **"Crimes" or "Predicate Offence"** the types of offences to which the regulations set out in Legislative Decree 231/2001 apply, also following subsequent amendments and additions;
- **Disciplinary System:** set of sanctions applicable in case of violation of the Compliance Programme's main document [MO] and the Code of Ethics [CE];
- **"Company":** STAR7 S.P.A., with registered office in Alessandria, Frazione Valle San Bartolomeo, via Alessandria 37 B, 15122.

4. THE ADOPTION OF THE PROGRAMME

STAR7 S.P.A., in order to guarantee and ensure conditions of compliance with the law, fairness, clarity and transparency in the conduct of all business activities, has adopted an Compliance Programme (hereinafter the Compliance Programme) in line with the provisions and content of Legislative Decree 231/01.

STAR7 S.P.A., despite the fact that the adoption of the Compliance Programme is considered and indicated by Legislative Decree 231/01 as an option and not as an obligation, considers this opportunity an effective tool for those who work inside and outside the company. All of the above in order to guarantee and ensure compliance with the general and specific principles of conduct that can prevent the risk of committing the underlying offences, as identified in the document "Risk Assessment", abbreviated to [RA 231].

The identification of At-Risk Activities, i.e. those exposed to the risk of offences being committed, and their management, through an effective system of controls, aims to

- make all those who operate in the name and on behalf of STAR7 S.P.A. fully aware of the risks of incurring an offence liable to penal and administrative sanctions, not only against themselves but also against the Company itself;
- reiterate that forms of unlawful conduct are strongly condemned by STAR7 S.P.A. because (even if the Company were apparently in a position to benefit from them) they are contrary not only to the provisions of the law, but also to the ethical and social principles to which STAR7 S.P.A. adheres in carrying out its corporate mission;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- allow STAR7 S.P.A., thanks to a monitoring action on sensitive at-risk activities of crime, to intervene promptly to prevent or counteract the commission of crimes. One of the aims of the Compliance Programme is, therefore, to make the recipients of the Compliance Programme aware of the need to respect the roles, operating methods, procedures and, in other words, the Compliance Programme adopted and the awareness of the social value of this Programme in order to prevent crimes from being committed.

STAR7 S.P.A. believes that the adoption of a Compliance Programme, built according to the requirements of Legislative Decree 231/01 is a valid and effective tool to raise awareness among the Chairman of the Board of Directors, employees and all those third parties who have relationships with STAR7 S.P.A.: the aforementioned recipients of the Compliance Programme are required to carry out their activities through correct and transparent behavior that follows the ethical and social values that inspire the actions of STAR7 S.P.A. and that can thus prevent the risk of committing the underlying crimes.

4.1. The structure of the Programme

The Compliance Programme consists of the following parts:

- **General Section**, which contains the main principles of the Compliance Programme, the Supervisory Body and the system of Training and Dissemination of the Compliance Programme among the Recipients;
- **Special Section**, in turn divided into Sections. In particular, each Section examines a distinct category of offence provided for by the Decree and considered to be possible to commit in the interest or to the advantage of the Company. The various Sections are aimed at outlining the principles that must inspire the corporate protocols adopted (or that will be adopted in the future) by the Company. Specifically, each Special Section Section is divided into:
 - a) description of the criminal offences;
 - b) identification of sensitive processes in relation to the types of Offence;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- c) defining general principles of conduct;
- d) identification of operating principles.
- **Code of Ethics**, containing the ethical principles that the Company and all Recipients follow in carrying out their activities;
- **Disciplinary System** [SD231];
- **Supervisory Board** [OV231];
- **Information Flows to and from the Supervisory Board** [FI231];
- **The Company's Organisational Chart** [ORG231];

In addition, the **Annexes** to the individual Sections of the Compliance Programme are an integral part.

4.2. Programme Objectives

This Programme has been prepared on the basis of the identification of the organisational units at possible risk, for which the likelihood of crimes being committed is deemed to be higher.

The purpose of this Programme is to:

- describe the prevention and control system aimed at reducing the risk of committing offences related to the company's activities;
- make all those who work in the name and on behalf of STAR7 S.P.A., and in particular those engaged in Offence Risk Areas, aware that in the event of violation of the provisions contained therein, they may incur in an offence liable to penal and administrative sanctions;
- inform all those who work with STAR7 S.P.A. that violation of the provisions contained in this Programme will result in the application of appropriate sanctions or termination of the contractual relationship;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

4.3. Target Audience of the Compliance Programme

As anticipated above, the rules contained in this Programme apply to:

- **Individuals in top positions;**
- **Subjects in subordinate positions;**
- **External collaborators** who carry out, directly or indirectly, activities related to the company's business;
- **Partners, commercial and operational** of STAR7 S.P.A., who have a role in Group projects and operations.

Unless otherwise specified below, in this Programme and in the Code of Ethics reference will be made to the **Recipients** of the Compliance Programme, a category that includes all the subjects indicated above.

The conduct of the Recipients shall comply with the rules of conduct - both general and specific - provided for in this Programme and in the Code of Ethics, also in fulfillment of the duties of loyalty, fairness and diligence that arise from the legal relationship established with STAR7 S.P.A.

In addition, the directors and managers of the Company have the duty to behave diligently in the identification of violations or any shortcomings of the Compliance Programme or the Code of Ethics, as well as to supervise compliance with the same by the persons under them.

5. THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MANAGEMENT, ORGANISATION AND CONTROL MODEL

6. METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

Pursuant to Article 6, paragraph 2, letter a) of the Legislative Decree 231/2001, the Compliance Programme must, as a preliminary step, identify the activities within the scope of which the crimes considered by the Decree may be committed.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The mapping of "at-risk" areas requires continuous updates over time in relation to organisational, regulatory or market changes faced by STAR7 S.P.A. in the context of its business, institutional and corporate activities.

The creation of the Compliance Programme was therefore developed in various phases, based on the fundamental principles of documentation and verifiability of all activities so as to allow for the understanding and reconstruction of every act and operation carried out as well as consistency with the dictates of Legislative Decree 231/2001.



Step 1: Collection and Analysis of all essential documentation

First of all, all available official documentation of STAR7 S.P.A. was collected:

- organigram / corporate structure;
- integrated management system manual (Consolidated Act, Health and Safety at Work, Legislative Decree 81/2008);
- proxies and powers of attorney;
- relevant contracts;
- relevant regulations.

These documents were then examined in order to provide an information platform on the structure and operations of STAR7 S.P.A. and the division of powers and responsibilities.

Step 2 - Identification of at-risk activities

All of the Company's activities were identified and analysed in order to verify the precise contents, the concrete operating methods, the division of responsibilities, and the possibility that the types of offences indicated in Legislative Decree 231/2001 might be committed.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The areas at risk of commission of offences pursuant to Legislative Decree 231/2001 have therefore been identified and shared by means of interviews conducted with a number of individuals with different and specific skills, in order to allow a joint examination of what has been said by the interviewees, who were identified as the individuals with the responsibilities and best knowledge of company operations.

Details of the activities analyzed within each area, by type of crime, are provided in the special section.

Step 3 - Identification and analysis of current risk controls

During the course of the interviews with the persons responsible for the activities identified as sensitive, they were asked to illustrate the operating procedures and the concrete controls in place and suitable for monitoring the identified risk; on the basis of these assessments, the level of criticality (high, medium, low) was determined, in terms of the actual risk profile pursuant to Legislative Decree 231/2001, within each process.

Phase 4 - Gap Analysis

The risk situation was compared with the needs and requirements imposed by Legislative Decree 231/2001 in order to identify the shortcomings of the existing system. It was therefore decided to identify the most effective measures to prevent the identified risks, also taking into account the existence of operating rules and practices.

Step 5 - Defining guidelines for operational procedures

For each operating unit in which a risk hypothesis was found to exist, the consistency of existing operating procedures was verified and, where necessary, the need was identified to define appropriate guidelines for the definition of new procedures suitable for governing the identified risk profile. Each procedure has been formally implemented by the relevant operational unit, thus making the rules of conduct contained therein official and mandatory for all those who find themselves carrying out the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

activity in the context of which a risk has been identified.

6.1. Protocols, procedures and control principles

Article 6 of Legislative Decree 231/2001 states that, in order to be considered suitable and effective, organisational, management and control models must *"provide for specific protocols aimed at planning the formation and implementation of the entity's decisions in relation to the offences to be committed"*.

The elaboration and/or construction of such protocols, therefore, constitutes an integral part of the model; in this regard, in the absence of specific instructions regarding their definition by the legislation in question, reference should be made to the indications provided by the main trade associations.

The Italian Association of *Internal Auditors* also believes that the content of the protocols should also include the Code of Ethics and the procedures formalised in order to carry out the following functions:

- ensure transparency, traceability and recognisability of decision-making and operational processes;
- provide for binding control mechanisms (reviews, approvals, etc.) that are capable of limiting arbitrary or inappropriate decision-making;
- facilitate the supervisory role of the internal body as well as other external and internal control bodies that may be present.

The protocol, in general, represents the primary behavior patterns to be followed in the execution of a given process and consequently in the activities that pertain to the process itself. The protocol can therefore be interpreted as a set of general principles and specific control procedures aimed at preventing the commission of one of the offences envisaged by Legislative Decree 231/2001.

The Confindustria Guidelines identify three fundamental elements that must characterise protocols:

- the principle of traceability, which stipulates that *"every operation, transaction, action must be verifiable, documented, consistent and appropriate"*. Each initiative must, therefore, be characterised by adequate documentary support to facilitate controls and guarantee the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

appropriate evidence of operations;

- the principle of segregation of duties, which states that "*no one person can manage an entire process independently*". The activities that make up the process must, in fact, be properly divided among several players to avoid a single person managing them entirely. On the basis of this principle, the operating procedures must therefore be structured in such a way as to guarantee the separation between the decision-making, authorisation, execution, registration and control phases of the operations concerning the activity considered to be subject to the risk of crime;
- principle of supervision, which particularly concerns the Supervisory Board. The supervision activity carried out by the same and the performance of the checks for which it is responsible must, in fact, be documented and certified by the control system.

The procedure, on the other hand, represents the part of the protocol that sets out in detail the operational methods with which a given operation is to be carried out, on the basis of the predetermined basic criteria contained within the protocol.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

7. THE CODE OF ETHICS

A fundamental element in the implementation of a programme aimed at reducing the risk of crime is the development, within the organisation, of a cultural climate that deters the commission of crimes. To this end, an important operation consists in the drafting of the Code of Ethics, which contains the commitments and moral responsibilities in the performance of activities by the people who work within the organisation or who come into contact with it, with the aim to

- preserve and spread the relationship of trust with *stakeholders*, whether they are corporate bodies, staff, customers, suppliers, public bodies and/or trade associations;
- diverting from unethical behavior.

The Code of Ethics also sets out the values to which all directors, employees, associates and collaborators in various capacities must adhere, accepting responsibilities, roles and rules for the violation of which they are personally responsible to the organisation.

The Code of Ethics is an integral part of this Programme and is available on the STAR7 S.P.A. website (www.star-7.com).

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

8. THE SANCTIONS SYSTEM

The effective implementation of the Compliance Programme requires an adequate system of sanctions. Pursuant to articles 6, paragraph 2, letter *e*), and 7, paragraph 4, letter *b*) of the Decree, the Compliance Programme can only be considered effectively implemented if it provides for a disciplinary system capable of sanctioning the failure to comply with the measures contained therein. The prerequisites of the sanction system are:

- **Specificity and Autonomy:** *Specificity* means the predisposition of an internal sanctioning system within the Company aimed at sanctioning any violation of the Compliance Programme. *Autonomy* refers to the self-sufficiency of the operation of the internal disciplinary system. STAR7 S.P.A. is obliged to sanction the violation regardless of the course of any external criminal proceedings.
- **Compatibility:** the procedure for the assessment and application of the sanction as well as the sanction itself may not be in conflict with the rules of law and the contractual rules governing the employment relationship with STAR7 S.P.A.;
- **Suitability:** the system must be efficient and effective in the sense of preventing the commission of offences;
- **Proportionality:** the penalty must be proportionate to the violation detected and the type of employment relationship established with the employee (subordinate, para-subordinate, managerial, etc.);
- **Prepared in writing and appropriately circulated:** the system of sanctions must be drawn up in writing and the target audience must be promptly informed and suitably trained on it.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

8.1. Recipients and their duties: procedural process

The recipients of this disciplinary system correspond to the recipients of the 231 Compliance Programme itself. Recipients are obliged to conform their conduct to the principles enshrined in the Code of Ethics and to all the principles and measures of organisation, management and control of company activities defined in the Compliance Programme.

Any violation of the aforementioned principles, measures and procedures represents, if ascertained:

- in the case of top management, failure to comply with the duties imposed on them by law and the articles of association pursuant to Article 2392 of the Italian Civil Code;
- in the case of subordinates, a breach of contract in relation to the obligations arising from the employment relationship pursuant to Article 2104 of the Civil Code, with the consequent application of Article 2106 of the Civil Code;
- in the case of third parties, constitutes a breach of contract that could justify termination of the contract, without prejudice to compensation for damages.

The **procedure** for implementing the sanctions listed below therefore takes into account the particularities arising from the legal *status* of the person against whom proceedings are being taken.

8.2. General principles regarding penalties

The sanctions relating to the offences committed must respect the principle of gradualness and proportionality with respect to the seriousness of the violations. The determination of the type, as well as the amount of the penalty must consider:

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- the seriousness of the breach;
- the position held by the agent within the company organisation, especially in view of the responsibilities connected with his/her duties;
- any aggravating and/or extenuating circumstances that may be found in relation to the recipient's conduct.

8.3. Sanctions against employees

Disciplinary offences relating to employees arising from the:

- failure to comply with the procedures and/or prescriptions of the Compliance Programme aimed at ensuring that the activity is carried out in compliance with the law and at discovering and promptly eliminating risk situations, pursuant to the Decree;
- the violation and/or circumvention of internal control systems, carried out by removing, destroying or altering the documentation of the procedure or by preventing control or access to information and documentation to the responsible parties, including the SB;
- non-compliance with the rules contained in the Code of Ethics;
- failure to comply with the obligations to inform the SB and/or the direct superior;
- failure to supervise, in the capacity of "line manager", the compliance with the procedures and prescriptions of the Compliance Programme by his subordinates, functional to the verification of their conduct within the areas at risk of crime and, in any case, in the performance of activities instrumental to operational processes at risk of crime;
- failure to inform the "functional manager" to the hierarchical manager and/or the SB about the failure of functionally assigned subjects to comply with the procedures and prescriptions of the Compliance Programme;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- failure to comply with the obligations of conduct regarding health and safety at work as governed by law (art. 20 of Legislative Decree 81/2008), regulations and/or other company provisions;
- violation or omission through gross negligence, inexperience or recklessness of any prescription aimed at preventing pollution or environmental damage.

The sanctions that can be imposed on employees fall within those provided for by the company disciplinary system and/or by the sanctioning system provided for by the CCNL, in compliance with the procedures provided for by Article 7 of the Workers' Statute and any special regulations applicable.

For employees, in application of the National Collective Labour Agreement, the following sanctions are provided for:

- **verbal reminder**: in cases of negligent violation of the procedures and requirements set forth in this Section and/or procedural errors due to employee negligence having foreign significance; recidivism, in the two-year period, of violations of the procedures and requirements referred to in the previous point, not having external relevance.
- **written warning**: in the hypothesis of recidivism in the two-year period in cases of culpable violation of procedures and/or prescriptions indicated in this Section having external relevance, and/or procedural errors due to the worker's negligence having external relevance.
- **fine not exceeding 3 hours' hourly pay calculated on the minimum pay scale**: as well as in cases of recidivism in the commission of offences which may lead to the application of a written warning, in cases where, due to the level of hierarchical or technical responsibility, or in the presence of aggravating circumstances, the negligent and/or negligent behaviour may undermine, even if only potentially, the effectiveness of the Compliance Programme (failure to comply with an obligation to inform the SB and/or the direct hierarchical or functional superior; repeated failure to comply with the obligations provided for by the procedures and prescriptions indicated in the Compliance Programme, in the hypothesis in which they concerned or concern a relationship and/or a procedure in which one of the parties is the Public Administration).
- **suspension from work and pay for up to a maximum of 3 days**: as well as in cases of recidivism

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

in the commission of offences which may lead to the application of a fine, in cases of serious violation of the procedures and/or prescriptions indicated in this section, such as to expose the Company to the risk of sanctions and liability (non-compliance with the provisions relating to signature powers and the system of delegated powers assigned with regard to acts and documents concerning relations with the Public Administration and/or the activities of the corporate bodies; failure of hierarchical and/or functional superiors to supervise compliance with the procedures and prescriptions of the Compliance Programme by their subordinates in order to verify their conduct within the areas at risk of crime and, in any case, in the performance of activities instrumental to operational processes at risk of crime; unfounded reports of violations of the Compliance Programme and the Code of Ethics, made with malicious intent; failure to comply with the provisions regarding the protection of health and safety in the workplace issued by the employer, managers and supervisors for the purposes of individual protection, or inappropriate use of protective devices, or failure to participate in education and/or training programs organised by the employer).

- **dismissal with notice**: in the event of repeated serious violations of the procedures and/or prescriptions indicated in this Section having external relevance when carrying out activities in the crime risk areas identified in the Special Section of the Compliance Programme.
- **dismissal without notice**: for failings that are so serious that they do not permit the continuation, even provisional, of the employment relationship (so-called **just cause**, such as: the violation of rules, procedures, prescriptions of the Compliance Programme, or of the Code of Ethics, having external relevance and/or fraudulent evasion carried out through a behaviour unequivocally aimed at committing one of the offences provided for in the Decree, regardless of the completion of the offence itself, such as to undermine the relationship of trust with the employer; violation and/or circumvention of the internal control systems, carried out by removing, destroying or altering the documentation of the procedure or by preventing control or access to the information and documentation by the parties responsible, including the Supervisory Body, in such a way as to prevent the transparency and verifiability of the same; failure to comply with the provisions regarding the protection of health and safety in the workplace issued by the employer, the managers, the RSPP, and the supervisors for the purposes of collective protection or unauthorised removal or modification of safety devices or signalling or

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

control or carrying out dangerous operations or manoeuvres on one's own initiative that may compromise one's own safety or that of other workers or, again, failure to report to the employer, manager, RSPP, or supervisor, any conditions of serious and imminent danger).

8.4. Sanctions against managerial employees

The executive relationship is characterised by its fiduciary nature. Indeed, the conduct of the manager is reflected not only within the Company, but also externally. Any infractions committed by Company executives may be sanctioned through disciplinary measures appropriate to each case.

Sanctions against STAR7 S.P.A. **managers** will be applied in the event of violation of the provisions contained in the Compliance Programme and Code of Ethics.

Considering that the disciplinary system is applicable by the Company to managers regardless of whether or not a criminal investigation or proceeding has been initiated and the outcome of such an investigation or proceeding, in the event that violations of the Compliance Programme by managers may constitute a criminal offence, STAR7 S.P.A. reserves the right to apply the following alternative provisional measures against those responsible and pending the criminal trial:

- precautionary suspension of the executive from the relationship with the right, however, to full pay;
- on a provisional and precautionary basis for a period not exceeding three months, the assignment of the manager to different duties, in compliance with Article 2103 of the Civil Code.

Should STAR7 S.P.A. consider that the facts ascertained are such as to constitute a just cause for withdrawal from the employment relationship, it may dismiss the manager regardless of the conclusion of the criminal proceedings in progress.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

8.5. Measures with respect to individuals in the administration

STAR7 S.P.A. evaluates with extreme severity violations of this Programme implemented by those who represent the top management of the Company and project its image towards employees, customers, creditors, and the Supervisory Authorities. The Directors' liability towards the Company is, to all intents and purposes, governed by Article 2392 of the Italian Civil Code. The Chairman of the Board is responsible for evaluating the infraction and taking appropriate action against the supervisor(s)/delegate(s) who committed the Infractions. In this evaluation, the Chairman of the Board is assisted by the SB. The sanctions applicable to the supervisor(s)/delegate(s) are the revocation of the delegation of powers or the assignment and, if the supervisor/delegate is linked to the Company by an employment relationship, dismissal.

8.6. Measures against External Employees

Any behaviour adopted by third parties (collaborators, agents and representatives, consultants and, in general, self-employed workers, as well as suppliers and *partners*, including in the form of temporary associations of companies and *joint ventures*) that is in contrast with the lines of conduct indicated in this Programme and which entails the risk of committing an offence provided for in the Decree, may determine, in accordance with the provisions of the specific contractual clauses included in the letters of appointment or in the contracts, the termination of the contractual relationship, or the right to withdraw from it.

To this end, the contracts stipulated by STAR7 S.P.A. with the aforementioned external parties must contain a specific declaration of knowledge of the existence of the Code of Ethics and Compliance Programme 231 and the obligation to comply with it, or, if the party in question is a foreigner or operates abroad, to comply with international and local regulations for the prevention of risks that may determine the responsibility resulting from the commission of crimes by the Company.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

9. SUPERVISORY BODY

9.1. General characteristics and functions of the SB

Article 6, paragraph 1, letter b) of Legislative Decree 231/2001, provides for the entrusting of the functions of supervision over the functioning of and compliance with the Programme to a body of the Entity endowed with autonomous powers of initiative and control, the so-called Supervisory Body.

The following requirements must be met by the Supervisory Board:

- **autonomy**, understood as freedom of action and self-determination. To this end, it is considered that the Supervisory Board should be relieved of operational duties that would compromise its judgment. The SB must be able to carry out its functions in the absence of any form of interference and conditioning on the part of the organisation and the administrative body. The control carried out by this body must, in fact, also be made against the management body that appointed it. The organisational models include the obligation to provide information to the body responsible for supervising the functioning of and compliance with the models. Autonomy is, therefore, also to be understood as the power to access all useful information for the purposes of carrying out control activities;
- **independence**, this element is not expressly referred to in the regulations relating to the Decree, but it identifies a necessary condition of non-subjection or subjection to the company and its *management*. The requirement of independence is, therefore, one of the essential requirements to ensure that the SB makes choices that cannot be objectively reviewed;
- **professionalism**, i.e. suitability for carrying out the functions assigned by law to the supervisory body. To this end, it is necessary for the SB to be equipped with a combination of both corporate and legal knowledge, since the supervision of models and their periodic updating require multidisciplinary preparation. From a legal point of view, it is believed that the exercise of supervisory and control activities, since they are aimed at preventing the crimes listed in the Decree, includes the possession of specific knowledge of criminal, civil

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

and corporate law;

- **continuity of action**, in the sense of the uninterrupted operation of the supervisory body. The codes of conduct drawn up by the trade associations require, especially with regard to medium and large companies, the exclusive and full-time performance of a monitoring activity on the model.

In addition to their professional skills, the members of the SB are required to possess formal requisites such as honourableness and the absence of conflicts of interest, so as to ensure autonomy and independence in the performance of their duties. The choice of the members of the SB cannot disregard a careful analysis of the specific context in which it is called upon to operate.

9.2. Tasks, rules and powers of the Supervisory Body

The functions and duties of the SB are as follows:

- evaluate the suitability and adequacy of the Compliance Programme, in relation to the specific activities carried out by the Entity and its organisation, in order to avoid the committing of the categories of crimes for the prevention of which the Compliance Programme was introduced;
- supervise the correspondence of the behaviours concretely carried out within the Body with what is foreseen in the Compliance Programme, highlighting the deviations, in order to bring eventual adjustments to the activities actually carried out.

In order to carry out these tasks, the SB must:

- monitor and interpret the relevant legislation and verify the adequacy of the Compliance Programme with respect to such legislation, reporting possible areas of intervention to the Chairman of the Board of Directors;
- formulating proposals concerning the need to update and adapt the Compliance Programme adopted;
- process the findings of control activities based on the audits; report to the Chairman of the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- Board of Directors any news of violation of the Compliance Programme;
- prepare periodic informative reports for the Chairman of the Board of Directors and the Board of Statutory Auditors;
 - monitor initiatives aimed at disseminating and raising awareness of the Compliance Programme, and those aimed at training Recipients.

The Board Chair annually approves the expenditure forecast for the current year as well as the final expenditure report for the previous year; the SB, in order to be able to carry out its duties in an exhaustive manner, must:

- have the power to request and acquire data, documents and information from and to every level and sector of STAR7 S.P.A.;
- be endowed with the power to investigate, inspect and ascertain conduct (also by questioning personnel with a guarantee of secrecy and anonymity), as well as to propose possible sanctions against individuals who have not complied with the provisions contained in the Compliance Programme. All documentation concerning the activities carried out by the SB (reports, information, inspections, assessments, reports, etc.) is kept for a period of at least 5 years (without prejudice to any further conservation obligations provided for by specific regulations) in a special archive, access to which is permitted exclusively to members of the SB.

9.3. Appointment and termination

The members of the Body are appointed by means of a reasoned resolution of the Chairman of the Board of Directors, who decides on the existence of the requirements of autonomy, independence, honourableness and professionalism of the members.

The term of office of the SB is, as a rule, no longer than three years and the members can only be revoked for just cause. Members are eligible for re-election for additional terms.

The appointment of the members of the SB, as well as their termination, must be made known to all Recipients of the Compliance Programme by, or at the instigation of, the Chairman of the Board of

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Directors via the company intranet and/or in any other form deemed appropriate.

The members of the SB will have the status of Data Processors pursuant to Article 29 of Legislative Decree no. 196 of 30 June 2003 in relation to the processing of personal data carried out in the exercise of the tasks assigned to them pursuant to the Compliance Programme.

9.4. Regulations of the Supervisory Body

For the purposes of its own functioning (by way of example, for the planning of activities, the minuting of meetings and the regulation of information flows from corporate structures as well as the determination of the time frames of controls, the identification of analysis criteria and the exercise of any other activity assigned to it), the SB - if in collective composition, by a majority of members - draws up and approves its own Regulations which, as well as any subsequent updates, are made known to the Chairman of the Board of Directors of STAR7 S.P.A. and the Board of Statutory Auditors.

9.5. Remuneration, equipment and operations

The annual remuneration of the members of the SB is determined by the Chairman of the Board of Directors at the time of appointment and remains unchanged for the entire period of the appointment.

In order to carry out its functions, the SB is provided, by resolution of the Chairman of the Board of Directors, with an annual expenditure budget, with respect to the use of which the SB reports annually to the Chairman of the Board of Directors. The SB may directly dispose of this budget for any requirement necessary for the proper performance of its duties. This budget may be supplemented, at the justified request of the SB, to meet unforeseen and unmanageable needs.

The SB will have access to the company information system (network, applications, etc.) as a whole and will be provided with its own direct e-mail address odv@star-7.com the SB will have access to the company's information system (network, applications, etc.) as a whole and will be provided with its own direct e-mail address, in order to better operate also with a view to protecting personal data and

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

safeguarding confidentiality, cataloguing and sending/receiving communications/reports.

10. COMMUNICATION AND TRAINING ACTIVITIES

10.1. Communications to the Supervisory Body

In order to guarantee the effectiveness of the Compliance Programme, the Company ensures wide dissemination of the Compliance Programme itself and adequate basic training for all the recipients concerned with regard to the application of the protocols as instruments for preventing the commission of the offences referred to in Legislative Decree 231/01 and subsequent amendments and additions.

The Supervisory Body must be informed, by means of specific reports from the Recipients of the Compliance Programme, of any event that could give rise to the Company's liability pursuant to the Decree.

All Recipients of the Compliance Programme have the duty to report to the SB the commission of Offences as well as any conduct and/or practices that are not in line with the rules of conduct provided for by the Compliance Programme, by the Code of Ethics within the scope of their own competence. Failure to comply with this duty may be subject to disciplinary action.

For these purposes, the following general requirements apply:

- the SB must be informed of any proceedings and/or acts in criminal, inspection and/or tax matters directed at the Chairman of the Board of Directors, Employees, Consultants of the Company or subjects that involve or may involve the Company;
- any Reports relating to the commission of Offences or, in any case, to behaviour in general that is not in line with the rules of conduct set out in the Compliance Programme, must be forwarded to the SB;
- the Chairman of the Board of Directors and the Board of Statutory Auditors must then forward the following Relevant Information to the SB:
 - a) measures and/or news coming from the judicial police or any other authority from which the carrying out of investigation activities in relation to the Crimes can be inferred,
 - b) reports forwarded to the Company by Employees in the event of legal proceedings being initiated against them for an Offence;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- c) reports prepared by the company structures as part of their control activities, from which facts, acts, events or omissions may emerge that are critical with respect to the provisions of the Decree;
- d) information on the start of investigations aimed at ascertaining, and possibly sanctioning, the failure to comply with the principles of conduct and the protocols provided for by the Compliance Programme, as well as information on any sanctions imposed;
- e) violations of the Code of Ethics;
- f) news of any investigation or disciplinary proceedings initiated in relation to violations of the Compliance Programme and/or the Code of Ethics, the sanctions and/or measures in general imposed, as well as any measures to dismiss such proceedings with the relative reasons;
- g) news of organisational changes;
- h) updates to the system of delegations and powers;
- i) declarations of truthfulness and completeness of the information contained in corporate communications. This is without prejudice to the possibility for each Head of Department or Employee to forward any reports relating to the commission of Offences or, in any case, to behaviour in general that is not in line with the rules of conduct set out in the Compliance Programme.

10.2. The management of reports by the SB

The Supervisory Board evaluates the reports received and the consequent inspection, reporting and inspection activities to be carried out.

Any sanctions shall, in any case, be adopted by the competent bodies and offices of the Company. In any case, except as provided for in the SB Regulations:

- the influx of reports must be channelled towards the Supervisory Body, which assesses the reports received and the initiatives to be implemented, possibly listening to the author of the report as well as the alleged perpetrator of the violation. The Board must provide written reasons for any denial of the investigation or dismissal;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- reports must be submitted in writing;
- the SB shall handle reports in such a way as to guarantee the reporting parties against any form of retaliation, discrimination or penalisation, ensuring, where possible, the confidentiality of the identity of the reporting party, without prejudice to legal obligations and the protection of the rights of the Company or of persons accused in bad faith.

10.3. Reporting by the Supervisory Board

As already mentioned above, in order to guarantee full autonomy and independence in the performance of its functions, the Supervisory Body communicates directly with the Chairman of the Board of Directors. Similarly, the Supervisory Body reports to the Corporate Bodies on the state of affairs regarding the implementation of the Compliance Programme and the results of its supervisory activities by means of direct reporting to the Chairman of the Board of Directors on a six-monthly basis and to the Board of Statutory Auditors on an annual basis, by means of a written report in which the monitoring activities carried out by the Supervisory Body are illustrated, as well as the critical points that have emerged and any corrective or improvement measures that may be appropriate for the implementation of the Compliance Programme.

The above reporting activities will be documented by means of minutes and kept on file by the Body, in compliance with the principle of confidentiality of the data and information contained therein. In order to ensure the smooth and effective flow of information and completely and properly carry out its duties, the Oversight Board may, moreover, request clarifications and information directly from staff vested with operating responsibilities.

10.4. Staff training and dissemination of the model

The company STAR7 S.P.A. will implement a careful training of personnel on the Compliance Programme

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

adopted, disseminating information on the same to all those who work within the organisation, differentiating activities based on the roles covered by the recipients of the same and their degree of involvement in processes at risk of crime.

The training of subordinates is the responsibility of the Human Resources manager (or another person previously identified) in close cooperation with the Supervisory Board. The tools, expressly mentioned in the Compliance Programme, that are used for training purposes are

the implementation of courses and subsequent updates and/or training programs with compulsory attendance.

The dissemination of the Compliance Programme is carried out by top management and must reach the entire organisation in order to make known the principles of conduct, *standards* and procedures adopted. A clear, authoritative and concrete communication process is absolutely necessary in large organisations, for which the rules and procedures established must find univocal application even in different operating sectors and geographical areas.

The content of the document must also be communicated to *stakeholders* external to the body that is adopting it, also for operational purposes.

STAR7 S.P.A. will communicate the adoption of the Compliance Programme to customers and suppliers, consultants and *partners* and the obligation to comply with the principles established, through the introduction of a special contractual clause.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

11. Cross Reference

The *Cross Reference*, between the specific offences and the documentary structure created to prevent the commission of such offences, is kept constantly updated and is an integral part of the Compliance Programme.

Italian 231/2001	Legislative Decree	Predicate offence	Company documents supporting the MODEL
Article 24		Article 316-bis Criminal Code; Article 316-ter Criminal Code; Article 640 co.2 n.1 Criminal Code; Article 640-bis Criminal Code Article 640-ter. Criminal Code Article 356 Criminal Code Article 2 Law 898/1986	CE;
Article 24-bis		Article 491-bis Criminal Code; Article 615-ter Criminal Code; Article 615-quater Criminal Code Article 615-quinquies Criminal Code Article 617-quater Criminal Code; Article 617-quinquies Criminal Code; Article 635-bis Criminal Code; Article 635-ter Criminal Code; Article 635-quater Criminal Code;	231 CP; CE;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

	Article 635-quinquies co.3 Criminal Code; Article 640-quinquies Criminal Code;	
Article 24-ter	Article 416 para. 1-5 Criminal Code Art.416 co. 6 Criminal Code;	CE;
	Article 416-bis Criminal Code; Article 416-ter Criminal Code; Article 630 Criminal Code; Article 74 Presidential Decree 309/90 Article 407 para. 2 lett. a) n.5 Code of Criminal Procedure;	
Article 25	Article 317 Criminal Code; Article 318 Criminal Code; Article 319 Criminal Code; Article 319-bis Criminal Code Article 319-ter Criminal Code; Article 319-quater Criminal Code; Article 320 Criminal Code; Article 321 Criminal Code; Article 322 co. 1 and 3 Criminal Code; Article 322 co. 2 and 4 Criminal Code; Article 322-bis Criminal Code;	231 CP; CE; OP-02; OP-03; OP-04; OP-05; OP-06; OP-08; OP-10; OP-11; OP-13

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

	Article 346-bis Criminal Code Article 314 Criminal Code Article 316 Criminal Code Article 323 Criminal Code	
Article 25-bis	Article 453 Criminal Code; Article 454 Criminal Code; Article 455 Criminal Code; Article 457 Criminal Code; Article 459 Criminal Code; Article 460 Criminal Code;	231 CP; CE; OP-02;
	Article 461 Criminal Code; Article 464 co. 1 and 2 Criminal Code; Article 473 Criminal Code; Article 474 Criminal Code;	
Article 25-bis.1	Article 513 Criminal Code; Article 513-bis Criminal Code; Article 514 Criminal Code Article 515 Criminal Code Article 516 Criminal Code; Article 517 Criminal Code; Article 517-ter Criminal Code; Article 517-quater Criminal Code;	231 CP; CE; OP-09;
Article 25-ter	Article 2621 Civil Code; Article 2621-bis Civil Code; Article 2622 Civil Code;	231 CP; CE; OP-02;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

	Article 2625 para. 2 Civil Code; Article 2626 Civil Code; Article 2627 Civil Code; Article 2628 Civil Code; Article 2629 Civil Code; Article 2629-bis Civil Code; Article 2632 Civil Code; Article 2633 Civil Code; Article 2635 para. 3 Civil Code; Article 2635-bis Civil Code; Article 2636 Civil Code;	OP-03; OP-04; OP-08; OP-11; OP-13
	Article 2637 Civil Code; Article 2638 para. 1 and 2 Civil Code;	
Article 25-quater	Article 3 Legge n. 7/2003	CE;
Article 25-quater 1	Article 583-bis Criminal Code;	CE;
Article 25-quinquies	Article 600 Criminal Code; Article 600-bis Criminal Code; Article 600-ter Criminal Code; Article 600-quater Criminal Code; Article 600-quater 1 Criminal Code; Article 600 quinquies Criminal Code; Article 601 Criminal Code; Article 602 Criminal Code	231 CP; CE; OP-03; OP-05; OP-10;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

	Article 609-undecies Criminal Code;	
Article 25-sexies	Article 184 Legislative Decree 24 February 1998, no. 58 Article 185 Legislative Decree 24 February 1998, no. 58	CE;
Article 25-septies	Article 589 Criminal Code; Article 590 Criminal Code;	231 CP; CE; OP-02; OP-10;
Article 25-octies	Article 648 Criminal Code; Article 648-bis Criminal Code; Article 648-ter Criminal Code Article 648-ter 1 Criminal Code	231 CP; CE; OP-03; OP-12; OP-13
Article 25-octies.1	Article 493 ter Criminal Code Article 494 quarter Criminal Code Article 640, separa. do para., Criminal Code	231 EC
Article 25-novies.	Article 171, par. 1, letter a-bis), Law no. 633 of 22 April 1941, as amended by Law no. 2/2008 Article 171, par. 3, Law no. 633 of 22 April 1941, as amended by Law no. 2/2008 Article 171-bis, para. 1, Law no. 633 of 22 April 1941, as amended by Law no. 2/2008 Article 171-bis, para. 2, Law no. 633 of 22 April 1941, as amended	231 CP; CE; OP-09;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

	<p>by Law no. 2/2008</p> <p>Article 171-ter, Law no. 633 of 22 April 1941, as amended by Law no. 2/2008</p> <p>Article 171-septies, Law no. 633 of 22 April 1941, as amended by Law no. 2/2008</p> <p>Article 171-octies, Law no. 633 of 22 April 1941, as amended by Law 2/2008</p>	
Article 25-decies	Article 377-bis Criminal Code	231 CP; CE; OP-08;
Article 25-undecies	<p>Article 452-bis Criminal Code;</p> <p>Article 452-quater Criminal Code;</p> <p>Article 452-quinquies Criminal Code;</p> <p>Article 452-sexies Criminal Code;</p> <p>Article 452-octies Criminal Code;</p> <p>Article 727-bis Criminal Code;</p> <p>Article 733-bis Criminal Code;</p>	CE;
	<p>Article 137 para. 2, 3, 5 and 11 ex Legislative Decree 152 2006;</p> <p>Article 256 para. 1 ex Legislative Decree 152/2006;</p> <p>Article 256 para. 3 and 5 ex Legislative Decree 152/2006;</p> <p>Article 257 para. 1 and 2 ex Legislative Decree 152/2006;</p>	

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

	<p>Article 258 para. 4 ex Legislative Decree 152/2006;</p> <p>Article 259 ex Legislative Decree 152/2006;</p> <p>Article 260 ex Legislative Decree 152/2006;</p> <p>Article 260-bis para. 6 and 8 ex Legislative Decree 152/2006;</p> <p>Article 260-bis para. 7 ex Legislative Decree 152/2006;</p> <p>Article 279 para. 2 ex Legislative Decree 152/2006;</p> <p>Article 1 Law 150/1992;</p> <p>Article 2 Law 150/1992;</p> <p>Article 3-bis Law 150/1992;</p> <p>Article 6 Law 150/1992;</p> <p>Article 3 co.6 ex Law 549/1993;</p> <p>Article 8 Legislative Decree 202/2007;</p> <p>Article 9 Legislative Decree 202/2007;</p>	
Article 25-duodecies	Article 22, para. 12-bis, Legislative Decree no. 286 of 25 July 1998	231 CP; CE; OP-05;
Article 25 - terdecies	Article 3 Law 13 October 1975, no. 654,	231 CP; PO - 03; PO - 04; PO - 09.
Article 4	Article 416 Criminal Code; Article 416-bis Criminal Code;	CE;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

	<p>Article 291-quater Presidential Decree 23 January 1973 no. 43;</p> <p>Article 74 Presidential Decree 9 October 1990 n. 309;</p> <p>Article 12 para. 3, para. 3-bis, para. 3-ter and para. 5 of Legislative Decree 286/98;</p> <p>Article 377-bis Criminal Code;</p> <p>Article 378 Criminal Code;</p>	
Article 25-quaterdecies	<p>Article 1 Law 401/1989</p> <p>Article 4 Law 401/1989</p>	N.A
Article 25-quinquiesdecies	<p>Article 2 Legislative Decree 74/2000</p> <p>Article 3 Legislative Decree 74/2000</p> <p>Article 8 Legislative Decree 74/2000</p> <p>Article 10 Legislative Decree 74/2000</p> <p>Article 11 Legislative Decree 74/2000</p> <p>Article 5 Legislative Decree 74/2000</p> <p>Article 10-quarter Legislative Decree 74/2000</p>	<p>CE,</p> <p>231CP,</p> <p>OP-02,</p> <p>OP-03,</p> <p>OP-04,</p> <p>OP-12,</p> <p>OP-13</p>
Article 25-sexiesdecies	<p>Article 282 et seq. Presidential Decree 43/1973</p>	N.A.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The main acronyms used in the above Cross-reference are defined below.

SIGLA	BUSINESS DOCUMENT
231 CP;	Compliance Programme
231 EC	Code of Ethics
OP-01	Operating Protocol - Identification of Key Persons
OP-02	Operating Protocol - Monetary and financial flows
OP-03	Operating Protocol - Supplier Selection
OP-04	Operating Protocol - Consultant Selection
OP-05	Operating Protocol - Personnel Selection
OP-06	Operational Protocol - Inspections
OP-07	Operating Protocol - Use of company assets
OP-08	Operating Protocol - Litigation Management
OP-09	Operational Protocol - Translation Management
OP-10	Operating Protocol - Fulfilments for environmental protection and safety at work
OP-11	Operating Protocol - Representation expenses
OP-12	Operational Protocol - Monitoring of taxation matters
OP-13	Operating Protocol - Accounting Management and Budgeting
OP-14	Operational Protocol - Monitoring pursuant to Article 2086, paragraph 2, Civil Code
OP-15	Operating Protocol - 231 Training Project

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

SPECIAL SECTION

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Introduction

In the following **special section** , the offences included in the Decree that are most relevant in relation to the type of activity carried out by STAR7 S.P.A. are recalled and analysed. For anything not specified in this Special Section, reference is made to the text of Decree 231/2001 and the articles of law referred to therein.

Addressees of the special section

This Special Section refers to conduct by directors, managers and employees of STAR7 S.P.A. in areas of at-risk activity, as well as external collaborators and consultants, already defined in the General Section and the Code of Ethics.

The purpose of this Special Section of the Compliance Programme is twofold: to illustrate the types of offences that may potentially be committed through the activities carried out at STAR7 S.P.A. and to provide general indications to guide the work of the Recipients of the Compliance Programme, in order to prevent the occurrence of offences that may involve the responsibility of STAR7 S.P.A.

1. CRIMES AGAINST THE PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

The relevant offences.

Pursuant to **article 24 of the Decree** ("*Undue receipt of disbursements, fraud to the detriment of the State or a public body or to obtain public disbursements or computer fraud to the detriment of the State or a public body*"), the following are relevant:

- Article 316 *bis* Criminal Code: embezzlement of funds from the State;
- Article 316-ter Criminal Code unlawful receipt of public grants to the detriment of the State;
- Article 640, para. 2, n. 1, Criminal Code: defrauding the state or other public body;
- Article 640 *bis* Criminal Code: aggravated fraud to obtain public funds;
- Article 640 *ter* Criminal Code: computer fraud.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

A "Public Administration Entity" shall be deemed to be any legal entity having public interests in its care and carrying out legislative, jurisdictional or administrative activities by virtue of public law rules and authorising acts, such as:

- Central and peripheral administrations, State Agencies (Ministries, Departments, House, Senate, Presidency of the Council of Ministers, Revenue Agencies, etc.);
- *Authorities* (Competition and Market Authority, Communications Guarantee Authority, Electricity and Gas Authority, Personal Data Protection Authority, etc.);
- Regions, Provinces, Municipalities;
- Chambers of Commerce, Industry, Crafts and Agriculture and their associations;
- Non-economic public entities;
- Community public institutions (Commission of the European Communities, European Parliament, Court of Justice and Court of Auditors of the European Communities).

Article 316 *bis* Criminal Code: Embezzlement of funds from the State.

"Anyone, from outside the public administration, who – after having obtained grants, subsidies or funding from the State or another public authority or the European Communities to promote initiatives aimed at carrying out work or performing activities of public interest – does not use them for those purposes, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of from six months to four years."

This case is aimed at repressing fraud following the attainment of public services by the subjects entitled to the sum paid.

The objective element of the offence consists in the failure to use the sums obtained for the objectives and purposes in relation to which they were allocated.

In particular, the notion of works or activities in the public interest to which the rule refers must be understood in a broad sense, with regard to the public origin of the free or subsidised financing.

Given that the crime has an omissive nature, it is consummated at the moment of the expiry of the term within which the financing had to be used and intended for a public purpose. Therefore, the configuration of the crime can vary depending on the term, since the crime can also be committed in

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

relation to financing already obtained in the past and which is not subsequently used for the purposes for which it was granted.

The subjective element required by the regulation is represented by the general intent, that is, by the consciousness and will to remove the contributions, subsidies or funding received from the public obligation, allocating them to a different purpose from that for which they were received.

The Decree provides for a financial penalty of up to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

Article 316 ter Criminal Code: Unlawful receipt of public grants to the detriment of the State

“Unless the offence constitutes the crime envisaged in Article 640-bis, anyone who – by using or submitting false statements or documents or stating things that are not true, or by omitting information required – unduly obtains grants, financing, subsidised loans or other similar funds, for themselves or for others, granted or issued by the State, by other public bodies or by the European Communities without being entitled to them, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of six months to three years.

When the sum unduly received is equal to or less than 3999.96 euros, only the administrative sanction of the payment of a sum of money ranging from 5164 to 25822 euros is applied. This sanction cannot, however, exceed three times the benefit obtained”.

The case in question has a subsidiary or residual nature with respect to the case of fraud against the State (art. 640 *bis* criminal code), as it is configured only in cases where the conduct does not integrate the extremes of fraud against the State

For the integration of the crime, contrary to what has been seen in the previous point (art. 316 *bis* penal code), the use that is made of the disbursements is irrelevant, since it takes place at the time of obtaining the financing.

The conduct can be both commissive (use and presentation of declarations or documents that are false or certify things that are not true) and omissive (failure to provide information that is due) and the mere

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

attempt is also punishable.

The person must act with knowledge and intent of obtaining the undue sum of money.

The Decree provides for a financial penalty of up to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

Article 640 Criminal Code: Fraud.

"Whoever, by means of artifice or deception, misleading someone, procures for himself or others an unjust profit with damage to others, shall be punished by imprisonment of from six months to three years and a fine of between 51 and 1032 euros.

1. *The penalty is imprisonment from one to five years and a fine ranging from 309 to 1549 euros:*

- 1) *if the act is committed to the detriment of the State or another public body or under the pretext of having someone exempted from military service;*
- 2) *[...].*
- 3) *[...]."*

This offence is committed when, in order to obtain an unfair profit for oneself or others, artifices or deception are used that are likely to mislead and, consequently, cause damage to the State (or to another public body or to the European Union).

By way of example, this offence may occur when, in the preparation of documents or data for participation in tender procedures, the Public Administration is provided with information that does not correspond to the truth (for example, by using artificial documentation that also represents reality in a distorted manner), in order to obtain the award of the tender.

The crime of fraud may also be committed if information is withheld that one is obliged to provide and which, if known by the Entity, would necessarily have conditioned in a negative way its willingness to negotiate.

The crime of fraud is a necessary cooperation crime, and consists of four elements:

- a particular fraudulent conduct carried out by the agent that takes the form of putting in

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

place the so-called artifices or deceptions, which must aim to mislead someone but do not necessarily have to be suitable to mislead;

- the induction in error of the passive subject as a result of the artifices or deceptions carried out by the agent;
- the performance of an act of asset disposition by the person who has been misled;
- the occurrence of financial damage to the person subject to the offence from which derives an unfair profit for the agent or others.

The subjective element of the crime is general intent: the agent intends to mislead the taxpayer and aims, through deception, to have the latter make a disposition of assets from which a profit is derived for himself or others.

The Decree envisages a financial penalty of up to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction is between 3 and 24 months.

Article 640 bis Criminal Code: Aggravated fraud to obtain public funds.

“The penalty is imprisonment from one to six years if the act referred to in Article 640 covers grants, loans, subsidised loans or other funds of the same type, however named, granted or paid by the State, other public authorities or the European Communities.”

This offence is committed when the fraud is carried out in order to unduly obtain public funds. The qualifying element with respect to the crime previously examined is constituted by the material object of the fraud in that "public disbursement" is to be understood as "*any facilitated economic attribution provided by the State, Public Bodies or the European Union*".

The object of the criminally relevant conduct is: contributions, financing, subsidised loans or other disbursements of the same type, however named.

The offence is only committed if the object of the criminally relevant conduct comes from the State, other public bodies (institutions with legal personality through which the administrative activity is carried out) or the European Union.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The subjective element required by the regulation consists in the consciousness or intent to carry out the fraud and the crime is consummated at the moment in which the payments are received. In this regard, it should be pointed out that the offence in question is not committed simply by presenting untrue data and information, but requires *"a fraudulent operation capable of thwarting or making less easy the control of the loan application by the competent bodies"*.

The Decree provides for a financial penalty of up to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction is between 3 and 24 months.

Article 640 ter Criminal Code: Computer fraud.

Whoever, by altering in any way whatsoever the operation of an information or computer system or by unduly interfering in any way with data, information or the programmes contained in a computer system or relevant to it, procures for himself/herself or for others unfair profits that cause damage to others, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of from six months to three years and a fine ranging from €51.00 to €1,032."

The penalty is imprisonment for a period of between one and five years and a fine ranging from 309 to 1549 euros if one of the circumstances envisaged in no. 1) of the second paragraph of art. 640 applies, or if the act is committed with abuse of the quality of system operator.

The crime is punishable upon complaint by the injured party, unless any of the circumstances referred to in second paragraph or another aggravating circumstance occur.

This offence differs from the offence of fraud, in that the fraudulent activity is carried out on the computer system and does not involve the person and may be concurrent with the offence of "abusive access to a computer or telematic system" provided for by Article 615 *ter* of the Italian Criminal Code.

The objective element is substantiated by the modification of the material consistency and/or the modification of the data or programs of a computer or telematic system and the mere attempt is also punishable.

The necessary subjective element consists in the consciousness and will to modify the material consistency and/or the data or programs of a computer or telematic system in order to obtain a profit to the detriment of others.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges up to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

Pursuant to **article 25 of the Decree**, entitled "*Extortion, undue induction to give or promise benefits and corruption*", the following are relevant:

- Article 317 Criminal Code: Extortion;
- Article 318 Criminal Code: Bribery in the performance of duty;
- Article 319 Criminal Code: Bribery for an act contrary to official duties;
- Article 319 bis Criminal Code: Aggravating circumstances;
- Article 319 ter Criminal Code: Corruption in judicial proceedings;
- Article 319 quater Criminal Code: Illegal inducement to give or promise benefits;
- Article 320 Criminal Code: Bribery of a person in charge of a public service;
- Article 321 Criminal Code: Penalties for the corruptor;
- Article 322 Criminal Code: Incitement to bribery;
- Article 322 bis Criminal Code: Embezzlement, extortion, undue induction to give or promise benefits, corruption and incitement to corruption of members of the bodies of the European Communities and of officials of the European Communities and of foreign States.
- Article 346-bis Trafficking in unlawful influences
- Article 314 para. 1 Criminal Code: Embezzlement;
- Article 316 Criminal Code: Embezzlement by profit from the error of others;
- Article 323 Criminal Code: Abuse of office;

In order to understand the risks of bribery and corruption and to better assess the areas of the company's organisation in which these offences may be committed, the following should be compared:

MEMORANDUM

The criterion for distinguishing **extortion** from **bribery** is the relationship between the wills of the subjects. Specifically:

- in **corruption** , the relationship is equal and implies the free convergence of wills towards a common illicit objective to the detriment of the Public Administration;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- in **extortion** , the public agent expresses a coercive or inductive will that conditions the free expression of that of the private individual, who, to avoid greater harm, must submit to the unjust demands of the first.

Element necessarily common to the two figures is the existence of an **undue disbursement** of the private individual to the public agent. The **fundamental difference** lies in the circumstance that the case of concussion is not, not even abstractly, realisable by the private subject; the latter is, in fact, merely the passive subject of the illicit conduct of the public official, in that it is limited to suffering the unjust claims of the person invested with the public *status*

● Public Officials:

Article 357 Criminal Code (Notion of public official): *“For the purposes of criminal law, public officials are those who perform a public legislative, judicial or administrative function.*

For the same purposes, public functions are administrative functions regulated by the provisions of public law and by authoritative acts, characterised by the manifestation of the will of the Public Administration or by its implementation by means of authoritative or certification powers.”

It should be noted that:

- *rules of public law* are those that are aimed at the pursuit of a public purpose and the protection of a public interest.
- authoritative power is that power which allows the P.A. to achieve its ends by means of actual and
- commands, with respect to which the private individual is in a position of subjection. This is the activity in which the so-called power of authority is expressed, which includes both the powers of coercion (arrest, search, etc.) and the powers to challenge violations of the law (assessment of fines, etc.), and the powers of hierarchical supremacy within public offices.
- The *certifying* power is the one that gives the certifier the power to certify a fact that can be proven until a complaint of falsity is filed.

The qualification of **public official** must be recognised to those subjects who, whether public employees or simple private individuals, whatever their subjective position, can or must, within the scope of a

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

power regulated by public law, form and manifest the will of the public administration, or exercise, independently of formal investiture, authoritative, deliberative or certifying powers.

By **public function** is meant the complex of thought, will and action, which is expressed, with attributes of authority, in the spheres of legislative, administrative and judicial bodies.

● **Charged with a public service:**

Article 358 Criminal Code (Concept of the person in charge of a public service): *"Public service officers are those who, for any purpose, perform a public service." For public service must intend an activity disciplined in the same forms of the public function, but characterized from the lack of the typical powers of this last one, and with exclusion of the development of simple duties of order and the performance of merely material work".*

It should be noted that:

- *"in any capacity"* must be understood to mean that a person is exercising a public function, even without formal or regular investiture (in charge of a public service "de facto"). In fact, the existing relationship between the Public Administration and the party providing the service is not relevant.
- *"Public Service"* means an activity governed by rules of public law and authoritative acts, but characterised by the lack of authoritative and certifying powers.

● **"De facto" official:**

A de facto official is referred to when:

- the formal act of prepositioning to public office exists in the legal world, but is flawed, and therefore null and void;

or:

- the legal system attributes to the P.A., through hypotheses peremptorily provided for, the effects of the work of persons who interfere in the exercise of public functions, thus healing, in this way at the origin, what otherwise would be a situation of lack of power in the abstract and, therefore, of usurpation.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

● **Public Administration Entities:**

For the purposes of criminal law, a "Public Administration Entity" is commonly considered to be any legal entity which has public interests in its care and which carries out legislative, jurisdictional or administrative activities by virtue of rules of public law and authoritative acts.

Below is the letter of the articles of the Criminal Code governing the offences listed above, accompanied by a brief illustration of the offence and a description of the activities potentially at risk of offence.

Article 317 Criminal Code: Extortion.

"A public official or public service officer who abuses their powers, compelling or inducing someone to give or unduly promise money or other benefits to them or to a third party, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of four to twelve years."

The criminally relevant conduct is that of the public official and/or the person in charge of a public service who compels or induces someone (abusing their position) to behave in a certain way.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 300 to 800 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 12 to 24 months.

The fines envisaged apply to the Entity also when the crimes are committed by individuals indicated in Articles 320 and 322-*bis* Criminal Code.

Article 318 Criminal Code: Bribery in the performance of duty.

"A public official who, in exercising their functions or powers, unduly receives, for themselves or for a third party, money or other benefits, or accepts a promise thereof, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to five years."

This offence occurs when a public official unduly receives, for himself/herself or for others, money or other benefits or accepts a promise of such benefits in order to exercise his/her functions or powers. The exercise of the "functions or powers" of the public official is relevant, allowing the repression of the phenomenon of subjugation of the public function to private interests, where the giving of money or

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

other benefits is not related to the completion or omission or delay of a specific act, but to the generic activity, generic powers and generic function to which the qualified person is responsible. With the law, any reference to "remuneration", which presupposed a proportionate synallagmatic relationship between the parties to the *pactum sceleris*, has also disappeared, where the giving or promising of a benefit must necessarily correspond to a counter-performance represented by the act, determined or determinable, by the qualified party.

The discipline allows, today, to penalise also the facts of improper subsequent active corruption, previously not punishable. In fact, the new formulation of Article 318 criminal code, referring to the exercise of functions or powers, and no longer to the specific act, stands as a general incriminatory norm of the acts of corruption.

As evidenced by the new Article 320 of the Criminal Code. (*Bribery of a person in charge of a public service*), following the reforming intervention of 2012, the provisions of articles 318 and 319 of the Italian Criminal Code also apply to the person in charge of a public service. In any case, in accordance with the second paragraph, the penalties are reduced by no more than one third.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree may be applied up to a maximum of 200 units.

Article 319 Criminal Code: Corruption in an action contrary to official duties.

"A public official who receives money or other benefits (or accepts a promise thereof) for themselves or for a third party, in order to omit or delay (or for having omitted or delayed) an official act, or in order to perform (or for having performed) an act contrary to official duties, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of two to five years."

The offence is committed when a public official, in exchange for the payment of money or other benefits, omits or delays the performance of an act that is due or performs an act that is not due, even if apparently and formally regular and therefore contrary to the principles of good conduct and impartiality of the Public Administration (for example, a public official who accepts money to ensure the award of a tender or even limiting himself to the mere promise of receiving a benefit - money or other benefits - without the immediate material provision).

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Such an undue act may be ascribed to an illegitimate or unlawful act or an act carried out contrary to the observance of the duties proper to the public official.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 600 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 12 to 24 months.

The fines envisaged apply to the Entity also when the crimes are committed by individuals indicated in Articles 320 and 322-*bis* Criminal Code.

Article 319 bis Criminal Code: Aggravating circumstances.

“The penalty is increased if the offence referred to in Article 319 relates to the allocation of public jobs, salaries or pensions or to the stipulation of contracts involving the public administration to which the public official belongs or the payment or reimbursement of taxes.”

An aggravating circumstance is that the act referred to in Article 319 has as its object the conferment of public employment, salaries or pensions or the stipulation of contracts in which the administration to which the public official belongs is involved (Article 319-*bis* of the Italian Criminal Code).

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 300 to 800 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 12 to 24 months.

The fines envisaged apply to the Entity also when the crimes are committed by individuals indicated in Articles 320 and 322-*bis* Criminal Code.

Article 319 ter Criminal Code: Corruption in judicial proceedings.

If the offences identified in Articles 318 and 319 are committed to favour or damage a party in civil, criminal or administrative proceedings, the penalty shall be imprisonment for a term of from four to ten years.

If the offence results in the unjust conviction of another individual to imprisonment of not more than five years, the penalty shall be imprisonment for a term of five to twelve years; if it results in the unjust conviction to imprisonment for more than five years or a life sentence, the penalty shall be imprisonment

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

for a term of six to twenty years;

The offence (which constitutes an autonomous case) occurs when the Company is party to judicial proceedings (civil, criminal or administrative) and, in order to obtain an advantage in the proceedings (civil, criminal or administrative trial), bribes a public official (not only a magistrate, but also a clerk or other official).

The financial penalty foreseen by the Decree, for paragraph 1, ranges from 200 to 600 units with a disqualification sanction from 12 to 24 months; while for paragraph 2, the monetary sanction ranges from 300 to 800 units and the disqualification from 12 to 24 months.

The fines envisaged apply to the Entity also when the crimes are committed by individuals indicated in Articles 320 and 322-*bis* Criminal Code.

Article 319 quater Criminal Code: Illegal inducement to give or promise benefits

“Unless the offence constitutes a more serious crime, a public official or public service officer who abuses their powers, inducing someone to give or unduly promise money or other benefits to them or to a third party, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of three to eight years.

In the cases envisaged in the first paragraph, those who give or promise money or other benefits, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to three years.”

Following the intervention of the Anticorruption Law n. 190/2012, this crime constitutes a distinct and autonomous case from that provided for by Article 317 criminal code.

It differs from extortion because only the conduct of induction to obtain or be promised money or other benefits is punished, and because the person giving or promising money or other benefits is also punishable. Induction, pursuant to Article 319 quater, includes the conduct of a public official who envisages unfavourable consequences deriving from the application of the law in order to obtain the undue payment or promise of money or other benefits.

Pursuant to Article 322 bis of the Italian Criminal Code, the new crime of undue induction to give or promise benefits will be considered integrated even if committed by members of the bodies of the European Communities and by officials of the European Communities and of foreign States.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 300 to 800 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 12 to 24 months.

The financial penalties foreseen are applied to the Entity also when such crimes have been committed by the persons indicated in articles 320 and 322 bis of the Italian Criminal Code.

Article 321 Criminal Code: Penalties for the corruptor.

"The penalties established in the first paragraph of Article 318, Article 319, Article 319-bis, Article 319-ter and Article 320 in relation to the aforementioned hypotheses of articles 318 and 319, are also applied to those who give or promise to give money or other benefits to the public official or the person in charge of a public service".

In the crime of **corruption** there is an **agreement** between the corrupted and the corruptor aimed at achieving a mutual advantage, while in **extortion** the private individual is subjected to the conduct of the public official or the person in charge of a public service.

In the case of corruption for the exercise of a function, the pecuniary administrative sanction may be imposed up to 500 units.

With reference to bribery for acts contrary to official duties and bribery in judicial acts, the pecuniary administrative sanction foreseen for the private individual amounts to a maximum of 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 12 to 24 months.

In the case of bribery, including aggravated bribery, and corruption in judicial proceedings which has resulted in an unjust imprisonment sentence, the pecuniary administrative sanction is from 300 to 800 units, and the prohibitory sanction is from 12 to 24 units.

The fines envisaged apply to the Entity also when the crimes are committed by individuals indicated in Articles 320 and 322-bis Criminal Code.

Article 322 Criminal Code: Incitement to bribery.

"Anyone who offers or unduly promises money or other benefits to a public official or a public service officer, for exercising their functions or powers, shall be liable, when the offer or promise is not accepted,

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

to the penalty established in the first paragraph of Article 318, reduced by one third.

If the offer or promise is made to induce a public official or a public service officer to omit or delay an official duty, or to perform an act contrary to his/her duties, the offender is subject, where the offer or the promise is not accepted, to the penalty laid down in Article 319, reduced by one third. The penalty specified in the first paragraph shall apply to a public official or a public service officer who solicits a promise or transfer of money or other benefit for exercising their functions or powers. The penalty specified in the second paragraph applies to a public official or a public service officer who solicits a promise or transfer of money or other benefits from a private individual for the purposes indicated in Article 319.

The term offer means the actual and spontaneous provision of money or other benefits, while the promise is the commitment to a future performance. For the integration of the crime of incitement to corruption, the simple offer or promise is sufficient, provided that it is characterised by adequate seriousness and is able to psychologically disturb the public official (or the person in charge of a public service), so that the possibility arises that the same accepts the offer or promise: therefore, it is not necessary for the offer to have a justification, nor for the promised benefit to be specified, nor for the sum of money to be quantified, since it is sufficient for the agent to propose the illicit exchange.

The pecuniary administrative sanction provided for by the Decree for the first paragraph amounts to a maximum of 500 units. For the subsequent paragraphs, the financial penalty ranges from 200 to 600 units, while the disqualification sanction is between 12 and 24 months.

The fines envisaged apply to the Entity also when the crimes are committed by individuals indicated in Articles 320 and 322-*bis* Criminal Code.

Article 346 bis criminal code Trafficking in unlawful influences

"Whoever, outside the cases of complicity in the crimes set forth in articles 318, 319, 319-ter and in the crimes of corruption set forth in article 322-bis, exploiting or boasting of existing or alleged relationships with a public official or a person in charge of a public service or one of the other persons set forth in article 322-bis, unduly causes to give or promise, to himself or others, money or other benefits, as the price of his own unlawful mediation towards a public official or a person in charge of a public service or one of the other persons set forth in article 322-bis, or to remunerate him in relation to the exercise of his

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

functions or powers, shall be punished by imprisonment from one year to four years and six months.

The same penalty applies to anyone who unduly gives or promises money or other benefits.

The punishment is increased if the person who unduly causes money or other benefits to be given or promised to him/herself or to others is a public official or a person in charge of a public service.

The punishments are also increased if the facts are committed in relation to the carrying out of judicial activities or to remunerate the public official or the person in charge of a public service or one of the other persons set forth in article 322-bis in relation to the carrying out of an act contrary to the duties of his/her office or the omission or delay of an act of his/her office.

If the facts are particularly tenuous, the punishment is reduced".

This case was introduced by Article 1, paragraph 75, of Law no. 190 of 6 November 2012 to protect the legality, good progress and impartiality of the public administration. It punishes the person who acts as a mediator between the corrupted and the corruptor, incriminating the exercise of undue pressure on public officials, as well as the unlawful enrichment of the intermediary.

The offence is a common one, since no particular subjective qualification is required of the principal and/or the mediator. However, in the event that the mediator assumes the status of public official or person in charge of a public service, there is an aggravated penalty.

This is a necessarily multi-subjective crime of its own, since both the principal of the mediation and the mediator are punished. Compared to bribery cases, Article 346 bis of the Italian Criminal Code provides for an earlier protection, since the case punishes the intermediary before the corruptive agreement between the private party and the Public Administration can be perfected.

From an objective point of view, the offence is committed by means of an agreement between a private individual and an intermediary whose object is the donation of a patrimonial utility in exchange for the exercise of an influence by the latter on a public official, precisely in order to direct administrative decisions in a direction favourable to the initial instigator.

Article 346-bis of the Italian Criminal Code provides for two different hypotheses of trafficking in unlawful influence: a first case is represented by the so-called traffic of free influences, in which the client gives or promises money or other patrimonial advantage to the mediator so that the latter pays

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

the public agent for the performance of an act contrary to the duties of office or the omission or delay of an official act.

The second hypothesis is constituted by the so-called traffic of influences onerous, which is realised when the money or the patrimonial advantage given or promised by the client to the mediator serves to remunerate him for the influence that the latter undertakes to put in place on the public agent.

The relationships that the mediator undertakes to enforce must be real and must constitute the reason for the giving or promising of the patrimonial advantage by the client.

The duration and intensity of the relationship with the public agent is completely irrelevant, but it is sufficient that they are such as to influence, in concrete terms, the action of the latter.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable, with reference to the first paragraph, up to 200 units. For the subsequent paragraphs, the financial penalty ranges from 200 to 600 units, while the disqualification sanction is between 12 and 24 months.

The financial penalties foreseen apply to the Entity also when such crimes have been committed by the persons indicated in articles 320 and 322 bis of the Italian Criminal Code.

Example of relevant conduct: Marco, CEO of a limited company, pays a sum of money to a 'facendiere' who has relations with qualified officials of the Internal Revenue Service, as the price for mediation in tax assessment proceedings.

Article 314 criminal code paragraph 1 - Embezzlement if para. mitted to the detriment of the financial interests of the EU

"The public official or the person in charge of a public service, who, having for reason of his office or service the possession or however the availability of money or other movable thing of others, appropriates it, is punished [...]"

Example of relevant conduct: cf. sub Article 323 criminal code

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 316 criminal code - Embezzlement through profit from the error of others if para. mitted to the detriment of the financial interests of the EU

"A public official or a person in charge of a public service who, in the exercise of his or her function or service, takes advantage of the error of others in order to unduly receive or retain - for himself or for a third party - money or another benefit, shall be punished

Example of relevant conduct: cf. sub Article 323 criminal code

Article 323 criminal code - Abuse of office if para. mitted to the detriment of the financial interests of the EU

"Unless the act constitutes a more serious offence, a public official or a public service appointee who, in the performance of his functions or service, in violation of the law or regulations, or by failing to abstain in the presence of his own interest or that of a close relative or in the other prescribed cases, intentionally procures for himself or others an unjust financial advantage or causes others unjust damage, shall be punished [...]"

Examples of relevant conduct: the three aforementioned cases are not - not even abstractly - applicable to Star7 S.p.A., since, as is clear from the provisions of the law, they can only be carried out by persons with public status (public officials or persons in charge of a public service).

At-Risk Activities exarticles 24 and 25 of Decree 231/2001.

The analysis carried out in relation to the activities of Star7 S.p.A. revealed the following areas of risk:

- management of institutional relations with local authorities; management of relations with public bodies to obtain authorisations or licences; management of relations with INPS, INAIL, Fire Brigade, Inland Revenue, Guardia di Finanza, Judicial Police Officers, in case of inspection visits and/or requests from them; management of relations with public bodies relating to the hiring of personnel belonging to protected categories or whose hiring is facilitated;
- management of relations with the provincial labour office and the courts for disputes with employees;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- management of relations with the judicial authorities during criminal, civil, tax or voluntary jurisdiction disputes;
- cash flow management; payments in cash or through bank transfer etc.;
- supplier indication, selection and management;
- recruitment;
- consultant selection and management;
- payment of contributions.

General principles of conduct

Doing Area

All At-Risk Activities must be carried out in compliance with the laws and regulations in force, with the principles of *Corporate Governance* of the Company, with the provisions of the Code of Ethics, with the general principles of conduct set out in both the General Section and the Special Section of this Model, as well as with the protocols and other existing organisational procedures put in place to protect against the risks/offences identified.

The Company is aware of the importance of commitments to the Public Administration and Public Institutions being undertaken in strict compliance with the laws and regulations in force, in order to enhance and maintain its integrity and reputation:

- reserves the exclusive right to carry out the aforementioned activities to the appointed and authorised company functions;
- establishes the obligation to collect and keep documentation relating to any contact with the Public Administration. The declarations made to the Institutions and Public Administration must contain only absolutely truthful elements, must be complete and based on valid documents in order to guarantee their correct evaluation by the Institution and Public Administration concerned;
- establishes that the use of any disbursement obtained from the Public Administration must be brought to the attention of the administration as well as the Company's accounting department,

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

which verifies the correct use of the sums;

- ensures that financial transactions are carried out under the prescribed signatory powers;
- regulates access to the computer system through methods of control and verification of operations carried out on existing content;
- regulates in detail the concession of company assets and the use of the same by the employee;
- requires each employee to provide the Company with supporting documentation for any entertainment expenses incurred;
- provides for a system of delegated powers whereby there are two persons negotiating with the Public Administration, one of whom is the Chairman of the Board of Directors;
- has a system of delegated powers whereby the only person with the possibility of making withdrawals from company accounts is the Chairman of the Board of Directors.

Area of Not Doing

In relations with Public Officials or Persons in Charge of a Public Service or with employees in general of the Public Administration or other Public Institutions it is forbidden to

- promise or offer them (or their relatives, relatives-in-law or related parties) money, gifts or gratuities or other benefits susceptible of economic evaluation;
- accepting gifts or gratuities or other benefits susceptible to economic valuation;
- promise or give them (or their relatives, kin or related parties) employment and/or business opportunities or any other opportunities that may benefit them personally;
- make unjustified expenditures for purposes other than the mere promotion of the corporate image;
- favour, in purchasing processes, suppliers and sub-suppliers or consultants in general indicated by them as a condition for the subsequent performance of activities pertaining to the execution of their duties;
- promise or provide them (or their relatives, kin or related parties), including through third party companies, with work or services of personal benefit;
- produce or provide false or altered documents or data or omit due information in order to obtain contributions, grants, loans or other benefits of various kinds, provided by the State or

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- other public bodies or the European Union, in favor of STAR7 S.P.A.;
- allocate the disbursements received from the State, other public bodies or the European Community for purposes other than those for which they were obtained;
 - access in an unauthorised manner the information systems used by the Public Administration or other Public Institutions, alter their functioning in any way or intervene in any way to which one is not entitled on data, information or programs in order to unduly obtain and/or modify information for the benefit of the Company or third parties;
 - in the course of civil, criminal or administrative proceedings, it is forbidden to take (directly or indirectly) any unlawful action that might favour or damage one of the parties involved.

Relations with representatives of the Public Administration.

Relations with representatives of the Public Administration, in the performance of operations pertaining to At-Risk Activities, shall be maintained only by the Manager of the function concerned or by a person specifically delegated by the Chairman of the Board of Directors of STAR7 S.P.A.

Negotiations with the Public Administration must always be conducted by the delegated party jointly with the Chairman of the Board of Directors.

It is forbidden for anyone else to have relations, on behalf of the Company, with representatives of the Public Administration.

Relations with inspection bodies.

With regard to relations with inspection bodies, the Company's employee must comply with the specific protocol attached to the model.

Managing human resources.

The personnel selection and management procedure adopted by STAR7 S.P.A. guarantees the application of criteria for evaluating candidates that meet the requirements of objectivity and transparency:

- the recruitment of candidates must take place in strict compliance with the specific procedure adopted by the Company;
- The outcome of the assessment of candidates must be formalised by means of appropriate

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

documentation to be filed in accordance with the requirements of the specific procedure;

- The assessment to be made of the candidate must also address the existence of possible conflicts of interest.

Protocols to protect against the risks of offences pursuant to articles 24 and 25 of the Decree:

- Monetary and financial flows protocol; OP-02
- Supplier selection protocol; OP-03
- Consultant Selection Protocol; OP-04
- Audit Protocol; OP-06
- Protocol for the use of company assets; OP-07
- Entertainment Expense Protocol; OP-11

2. CYBERCRIME AND UNLAWFUL DATA PROCESSING

The relevant offences.

Based on article 24 *bis* of the Decree, the following are relevant:

- **Falsehoods:**
 - Article 491 *bis* Criminal Code: Electronic documents;
- **Crimes against the inviolability of the home:**
 - Article 615 *ter* Criminal Code: Malicious hacking of an information or computer system;
 - Article 615 *quater* Criminal Code: Unauthorised possession or diffusion of access codes to computer systems;
 - Article 615 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Distribution of computer equipment, devices or computer programs for the purpose of damaging or blocking an information or computer system.
- **Crimes against the inviolability of secrets:**
 - Article 617 *quater* Criminal Code: Wiretapping, blocking or illegally interrupting computer or information technology communications;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- Article 617 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Installing devices aimed at wiretapping, blocking or interrupting computer or information technologies communications.
- **Crimes against property by violence to things or persons:**
 - Article 635 *bis* Criminal Code: Damaging computer information, data and programs
 - Article 635 *ter* Criminal Code: Damage to information, data and computer programs used by the State or other public agency or otherwise of public benefit;
 - Article 635 *quater* Criminal Code: Damaging information or computer systems;
 - Article 635 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Damaging public utility information or computer systems
- **Crimes against property by fraud:**
 - Article 640 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Computer fraud by providers of electronic signature certification services.

a) Falsehoods.

Article 491 *bis* Criminal Code: Electronic documents.

"If some of the falsehoods envisaged by this chapter [II of Title VII of Book II of the Criminal Code] concern a public electronic document with evidential effectiveness, the provisions of the same chapter concerning, respectively, public acts shall apply".

This provision, inserted by Article 3 l. 23/12/1993, no. 547, subsequently amended by l. 18/3/2008, no. 48, was most recently amended by Article 2 of Legislative Decree no. 7/2015 (*"Provisions on the repeal of crimes and the introduction of offences with civil financial penaltys pursuant to article 2, paragraph 3, of Law no. 67 of 28 April 2014"*), which eliminated the reference to the "private electronic document", with the consequence that falsity in the electronic document will only be detected if it relates to public acts.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree can be applied up to 400 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

b) Crimes against the inviolability of the home.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 615 ter Criminal Code: Malicious hacking of an information or computer system.

“Anyone who gains unauthorised access to an information or computer system protected by safety measures or remains in that system against the express wishes of those who have the right to deny such access, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to three years.

The penalty is imprisonment for a term of one to five years if:

- 1. the offence is committed by a public official or a public service officer, in abuse of their powers or in breach of the duties arising from the function or service, or by someone who is exercising the profession of private detective, either lawfully or unlawfully, or is abusing their status as system operator;*
- 2. if the offender uses violence against property or people to commit the offence, or is clearly armed;*
- 3. the offence results in the destruction of or damage to the system or the total or partial interruption of its operation, or the destruction or corruption of data, information or programs contained within it.*

When the offences referred to in the first and second paragraphs concern information and computer systems of military interest or relating to public order or public safety or health or civil defence or otherwise in the public interest, the penalty is imprisonment for a term of one to five years or three to eight years, respectively.

In the situation referred to in the first paragraph the crime is punishable upon complaint by the injured party; all other circumstances are prosecuted directly by the authorities.”

The legal asset protected by the provision in question is the confidentiality of communications and/or information, the exchange of which takes place, today, for the most part, via computer systems.

A "computer system" is a set of equipment designed to perform useful human functions through the use (even partial) of information technology.

The criminally relevant conduct is twofold and takes the form of abusive access to a protected computer system or the permanence in said system despite the expression of a contrary will by those who have the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

right to exclude it.

The necessary subjective element is the general intent, understood as the consciousness and will to enter a system and to remain in it against the will of the entitled person;

In order for the offence to be committed, it is not necessary for the system to be damaged or for the access to be carried out with the aim of violating the confidentiality of authorised users.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

Article 615 *quater* Criminal Code: Unauthorised possession and distribution of access codes to information and computer systems.

“Anyone who, in order to procure a profit for themselves or for others or to cause damage to others, unlawfully procures, reproduces, distributes, communicates or provides codes, passwords or other means of access to an information or computer system, protected by security measures, or in any case provides indications or instructions for that purpose, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to one year and a fine of up to €5164.

The penalty is imprisonment for a term of one to two years and a fine of €5164 to €10329 if any of the circumstances described in points 1) and 2) of the fourth paragraph of Article 617-quater apply.”

This is a crime of danger, aimed at preventing the commission of more serious crimes against privacy or against property.

The crime is committed when the conduct described in the regulation is carried out in order to procure a profit for oneself or others or to cause damage.

The second paragraph of the provision under review provides for two aggravating factors: the first concerns the nature of the computer system, the other concerns the person committing the violation.

The financial penalty envisaged by the Decree is applicable up to 300 quotas, while the disqualification sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 615 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Distribution of computer equipment, devices or computer programs for the purpose of damaging or blocking an information or computer system.

"Whoever, in order to illicitly damage a computer or telecommunications system, the information, data or programs contained therein or pertinent to it, or to favour the total or partial interruption or alteration of its functioning, procures, produces, reproduces, imports, disseminates, communicates, delivers or, however, makes available to others equipment, devices or computer programs, is punished with imprisonment of up to two years and a fine of up to 10,329 euros".

The case in question is a crime of abstract danger with no relevance to the achievement of the harmful purpose.

The subjective element required by the rule is that of specific intent, that is, the consciousness and will to carry out one of the described conducts in order to damage the system.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges up to 300 units, while the disqualification sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

c) Crimes against the inviolability of secrets.

Article 617 *quater* Criminal Code: Wiretapping, blocking or illegally interrupting computer or information technology communications

"Anyone who fraudulently intercepts communications relating to an information or computer system or between several systems, or prevents or interrupts such communications, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of six months to four years.

Unless the offence constitutes a more serious crime, the same penalty shall also be applied to anyone who reveals part or all of the content of the communications referred to in the first paragraph.

The crimes referred to in the first and second paragraphs are punishable upon complaint by the injured

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

party.

However, the offence is prosecuted directly by the authorities, with a penalty of imprisonment for a term of one to five years, if it is committed:

1. *to damage an information or computer system used by the State or other public authority or by enterprises providing public services or services in the public interest;*
2. *by a public official or a public service officer, in abuse of their powers or in breach of the duties arising from the function or service, or by someone abusing their status as system operator;*
3. *by someone exercising the profession of private detective, either lawfully or unlawfully.”*

The legal asset protected by the regulation is the secrecy of communications which, in addition to being telephonic (art. 617 criminal code) and telegraphic (617 *bis* criminal code), are, especially nowadays, computerised.

Interception of communications must be by fraudulent means.

The subjective element consists in the generic intent, understood as consciousness and will to carry out one of the above described behaviours.

Special aggravating factors are, finally, provided for in the third paragraph of the provision under review:

- the nature of the state, public or belonging to a company exercising activities of public service or public utility of the system to the detriment of which the criminally relevant conduct is carried out;
- the agent's status as a public official or public service appointee if he or she commits one of the types of conduct described with abuse of power, violation of the duties inherent in the service or function or with abuse of the quality of system operator;
- the misuse of the private investigator profession.

The occurrence of the above-mentioned aggravating circumstances implies that the crime can be prosecuted ex officio as well as the applicability of the penalty of imprisonment from one to five years.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

Article 617 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Installing devices aimed at wiretapping, blocking or interrupting computer or information technologies communications.

“Anyone, except in the cases permitted by law, who installs equipment designed to intercept, prevent or interrupt communications relating to an information or computer system or between several systems, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to four years.

*In the cases identified in the fourth paragraph of Article 617-*quater* the penalty is imprisonment for a term of one to five years.*

The purpose of the offence in question is to punish activities that are prodromal to the interception, obstruction or interruption of communications.

The crime is consummated with the installation of the computer equipment. The subjective element of the case is the generic intent, understood as consciousness and will of the installation of equipment suitable for interception, impediment or interruption of communication.

The special aggravating circumstances of the fourth paragraph of Article 617 *quater* of the Italian Criminal Code are applicable.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

d) Offences against property through violence to persons or objects.

Article 635 *bis* Criminal Code: Damaging computer information, data and programs

“Unless the offence constitutes a more serious crime, anyone who destroys, damages, deletes, alters or removes someone else’s information, data or computer programs shall be liable, upon complaint by the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

injured party, to imprisonment for a term of six months to three years.

If one of the circumstances envisaged in point 1 of the second paragraph of Article 635 applies, or if the offence is committed by abusing the status of operator of the system, then prosecution is automatic and the penalty is imprisonment for a term of one to four years.

The crime has been redefined by law no. 48/2008, which has remedied some criticisms made by the doctrine in that the case in point reproduced, slavishly, that constructed for the crime of "damage", not taking into account the specificities relating to IT assets.

The crime is consummated with the occurrence of the event of damage and the subjective element is the general intent, understood as the consciousness and will to carry out the described conduct with the awareness of the altruity of the material object of the crime.

An aggravating circumstance is that the offence was committed with violence or threats to the person or with the abuse of the capacity of system operator.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

Article 635 *ter* Criminal Code: Damaging computer information, data and programs used by the State or any other public entity or by an entity providing public services

“Unless the offence constitutes a more serious crime, anyone who commits an offence aimed at destroying, damaging, deleting, altering or removing information, data or computer programs used by the State or by another public authority or by connected entities, or public utility entities, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to four years.

If the offence results in the destruction, deletion, alteration or removal of information, data, or computer programmes, the penalty is imprisonment for a term of three to eight years. If one of the circumstances envisaged in point 1 of the second paragraph of Article 635 applies, or if the offence is committed by abusing the status of operator of the system, then the penalty is increased.”

The structure of the crime is similar to that described in Article 635 *bis* of the Italian Criminal Code, both

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

from the point of view of the relevant conduct and from the point of view of the material object of the crime as well as the subjective element. The peculiarity of this case lies in the fact that the information, data and computer programs are used by the State or other public body or pertinent to them, or in any case, of public utility. When the damage to the information, data or programs is realised, there is an aggravated penalty.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

Article 635 *quater* Criminal Code: Damaging information or computer systems

“Unless the offence constitutes a more serious crime, anyone who – by acting in the manner stated in Article 635-bis, or by introducing or transmitting data, information or programs, destroys – damages someone else’s information or computer systems or renders them partly or completely inoperable or seriously impedes their functioning, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to five years.

If one of the circumstances envisaged in point 1 of the second paragraph of Article 635 applies, or if the offence is committed by abusing the status of operator of the system, then the penalty is increased.”

The financial penalty foreseen by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction is between 3 and 24 months.

Article 635 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Damaging public utility information or computer systems

“If the offence referred to in Article 635-quater is aimed at destroying or damaging public utility information or computer systems or seriously impeding their functioning, the penalty is a term of imprisonment of one to four years.

If the offence results in the destruction or damage to the public utility information or computer system, or if the system is rendered partly or completely inoperable, the penalty is imprisonment of three to eight years. If one of the circumstances envisaged in point 1 of the second paragraph of Article 635 applies, or if the offence is committed by abusing the status of operator of the system, then the penalty is increased.”

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The case in point is similar, in terms of structure, to that of art. 635 *ter* of the Criminal Code. The peculiarity of the case lies in the fact that the information, data and computer programs are used by the State or other public body or pertinent to them, or in any case, of public utility. When the damage to information, data, or programs is realised, there is an increase in the applicable penalties.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

e) Crimes against property by fraud.

Article 640 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Computer fraud by providers of electronic signature certification services.

“Anyone providing electronic signature certification services, who, in order to gain unfair profit for themselves or others or to cause damage to others, breaches the obligations imposed by law for the issuance of an authorised certificate, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to three years and a fine of €51 to €1,032.

The criminally relevant conduct is to be identified in the violation of regulations relating to accredited and qualified certifiers (see Legislative Decree no. 82 of 7 March 2005);

In order for this offence to be committed, a specific intent is required, which is to be found in the additional aim of the certifier to procure an unjust profit for himself or to cause damage to others.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 400 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 24 bis of the Decree.

Following the *risk assessment* activities carried out, it appears that STAR7 S.P.A., although not equipped with a policy document on information security, has high security *standards*.

The sensitive activity assessed is the access to and residence in the computer system by senior management and employees, as well as the distribution and installation of computer equipment.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The controls adopted by STAR7 S.P.A. allow the Company to carry out a control also of the *log files* and of the modifications made to the documents present in the management system.

General principles of conduct

Doing Area

All activities related to information technology are inspired by the principles expressed in the Code of Ethics of STAR7 S.P.A., and compliance with current regulations.

All recipients of this Programme are required to comply with the following specific **rules of conduct** for their respective activities:

- company tools must be used in accordance with traditionally accepted company rules;
- user credentials must be periodically modified in order to prevent incorrect access to application systems;
- *internet* browsing and the use of electronic mail on the company's information systems, both through fixed machines and mobile devices (portable PCs, *smart phones*, *tablets*) must be carried out exclusively for the performance of one's work activities. Access to the *Internet* for personal use is granted only if you make reasonable and limited use of it. However, it is absolutely forbidden to access and participate in *forums and chats*, except for use for professional reasons. Access to paid websites, pornographic content, or *online casinos* is prohibited.
- the updating of individual user passwords on the various applications must be carried out regularly;
- - business principles and rules must be followed in order to protect data security;
- the control activities carried out on the devices and the destruction of the *hard disk* must be recorded;
- - the work of the "IT infrastructure" division should be subject to scrutiny;
- the Company uses a system of traceability of changes made to documents in the management system;
- a *report* on the activity carried out in the management system is sent daily to the Chairman of

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

the Board of Directors and to the Head of IT Infrastructure.

Non-Doing Area

In any case, **it is prohibited**:

- leave your *personal computer* unlocked and unattended;
- disseminating on the *Internet*, or viewing, images having as their object the performance of sexual acts;
- use the computer equipment provided to threaten others with unjust damage, to cause harassment or disturbance, to arbitrarily exercise their own reasons, to offend the honor or decorum of others, to defame and/or commit any crime.
- carry out conduct, also with the help of third parties, aimed at accessing the information systems of others with the objective of
 - abusively acquire information contained in such information systems;
 - alter, damage, destroy data contained in the above information systems;
 - illegally use access codes to computer and telematic systems as well as proceed to the diffusion of the same.
- install or use on a company PC/Server programs other than those authorized;
- spread through the company network illegal programs or *viruses* with the aim of damaging public or private entities;
- intercept communications of public or private entities in order to acquire confidential commercial or industrial information;
- install devices for telephone and radio interception of public or private entities in order to acquire confidential commercial or industrial information;
- abusively hold and disseminate access codes to computer or telematic systems of third parties or public bodies;
- make unauthorized changes to programs in order to damage public or private entities;
- bypass or attempt to bypass company security mechanisms(*Antivirus, Firewall, Proxy Server*, etc.);
- disclose your authentication credentials (username and *password*) to anyone on the corporate network;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- enter the company network and programs with a different user identification code than the one assigned;
- alter, by using someone else's electronic signature or in any way, computer documents.

The Chairman of the Board of STAR7 S.P.A., also with the help of external companies, ensures the necessary actions to:

- verify the security of the network and corporate information systems;
- identify potential vulnerabilities in the system of controls;
- monitor and carry out the necessary activities to manage access to the information systems of third parties as part of the contractual relationships entered into;
- monitor the correct application of all the necessary actions in order to deal specifically with computer crimes.

3. ORGANISED CRIME

Law no. 94 of 15 July 2009, containing provisions for the development and internationalization of businesses, introduced Article 24 *ter* into Legislative Decree no. 231 of 8 June 2001. The inclusion of offences against organised crime among the predicate offences envisaged by Legislative Decree 231/01 does not represent an absolute novelty. In fact, Article 10 of Law 146/2006 "*Ratification of the UN Convention on the fight against transnational organised crime*" had already included some crimes of association among the predicate crimes of a cross-border nature.

If the Entity or one of its organizational units is permanently used for the sole or prevalent purpose of allowing or facilitating the committing of the crimes indicated in art. 24 *ter* of the Decree, the sanction of definitive disqualification from exercising the activity is applied pursuant to art. 16 paragraph 3 of the Decree.

The relevant crimes are:

- Article 416 Criminal Code: Criminal association;
- Article 416 *bis* Criminal Code: Associations of mafia type also foreigners;
- Article 416 *ter* Criminal Code: Mafia vote-buying
- Article 630 Criminal Code: Kidnapping for the purposes of terrorism or subversion;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 416 Criminal Code: Criminal association.

“When three or more persons conspire to commit several crimes, those who promote or constitute or organise the association shall be liable, for that reason alone, to imprisonment for a term of three to seven years.

For merely participating in the association, the penalty is imprisonment for a term of one to five years.

The heads of the association are liable to the penalty established for the promoters.

If the members of the association use weapons in the countryside or in public thoroughfares the penalty is imprisonment for a term of five to fifteen years.

The penalty is increased if the association has ten or more members”.

If the association is aimed at committing one of the crimes identified in Articles 600, 601 and 602, as well as Article 12(3-bis), of the Italian Immigration Act (Legislative Decree 286 of 25 July 1998), a term of imprisonment of five to fifteen years will be imposed in the cases envisaged in the first paragraph and of four to nine years in the cases envisaged in the second paragraph.

If the association is aimed at committing one of the crimes envisaged in Articles 600-bis, 600-ter, 600-quater, 600-quater(1), 600-quinquies, and 609-bis, when the offence is committed to the harm of a person under eighteen years of age, 609-quater, 609-quinquies, 609-octies, when the offence is committed to the harm of a person under eighteen years of age, and 609-undecies, a term of imprisonment is imposed of four to eight years in the cases envisaged in the first paragraph and of two to six years in the cases envisaged in the second paragraph.”

This offence is a common one as it can be committed by anyone who engages in the described behaviours. The incriminated conduct is characterized by the agreement between several persons to form a stable structure, endowed with a personality formally distinct from that of the individual participants and, in practice, capable of carrying out a specific and predetermined social program.

The objective element consists of conduct that is substantiated: (i) in expressing the intention to create the association and disclosing its program; (ii) in materially giving life to the association, procuring the means necessary for the exercise of the activity for which it was created and proceeding to find

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

memberships from third parties; (iii) In preparing the structure necessary to execute the social plan.

The subjective element required by the case is the specific intent, i.e. the consciousness or will to set up a prohibited association, with the further purpose of carrying out an indeterminate number of crimes.

The financial penalty foreseen by the Decree for the offence governed by paragraph 6 ranges from 400 to 1000 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 12 to 24 months.

The financial penalty foreseen by the Decree for the hypotheses governed by the remaining paragraphs of Article 416 of the criminal code ranges from 300 to 800 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 12 to 24 months.

Article 416 *bis* Criminal Code: Mafia-type associations, including foreign ones.

“Anyone who is part of a Mafia-type association consisting of three or more persons, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of seven to twelve years.

Those who promote, manage or organise the organisations shall be liable, for that reason alone, to imprisonment for a term of nine to fourteen years.

The organisation is a mafia-type organisation when those who belong to it use the intimidating power of membership and the situation of subjugation and conspiracy of silence to commit crimes, to directly or indirectly acquire the management or control of economic activities, concessions, authorisations, contracts and public services or to obtain unfair profits or advantages for themselves or others, or in order to prevent or obstruct the free exercise of the vote, or to procure votes for themselves or for others during elections.

If the organisation is armed, the penalty is imprisonment for a term of seven to fifteen years in the cases envisaged by the first paragraph and twelve to twenty-four years in the cases envisaged in the second paragraph.

The organisation is considered armed when its members have access, for the achievement of the association's aims, to weapons or explosives, even when hidden or held in storage areas.

If the economic activities the organisation members intend to take over or maintain control of are financed in whole or in part with the proceeds, product or profit from crime, the penalties established in the paragraphs above are increased by a third to a half.

The offender shall always be subject to compulsory confiscation of the property that helped or was used to commit the crime and the property constituting the proceeds, product and profit from the crime or

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

constitute use thereof.

The provisions of this article shall also apply to the Camorra, the 'Ndrangheta and the other associations, whatever their local names, including foreign organisations, that use the intimidating power of organisation membership to pursue the goals of mafia-type organisations.”

This offence is a common one as it can be committed by anyone who engages in the incriminated behaviours.

The criminal conduct is of an associative type and is characterized not only by the realization of crimes but also by the management and control of sectors of economic activities, concessions, authorizations, contracts and public services, the pursuit of profits and unfair advantages for oneself or others and, finally, the disturbance of the free exercise of the vote.

“Mafia-type association” is defined as an association which, for the realization of the criminal program, uses intimidating force towards the outside and to the detriment of the offended and in turn the offended ones find themselves

in a condition of subjection and silence towards the association itself by virtue of the intimidation exercised by it.

The applicability of the rule is also extended to those criminal organisations nominally different from mafia associations, but substantially and structurally similar.

The subjective element is represented by the consciousness and will to participate or constitute a mafia association, with the additional purpose of pursuing the criminal ends described by the rule.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 400 to 1000 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 12 to 24 months.

Conviction for the offence in *question* entails the compulsory confiscation of the items pertaining to the offence.

Article 416 *ter* Criminal Code: Mafia vote-buying

Anyone who accepts a promise to secure votes in the manner described in the third paragraph of Article 416-bis Criminal Code in return for the delivery or promise of payment of money or other benefits, shall

be liable to imprisonment for a term of four to ten years.

The same penalty applies to those who promise to buy votes in the manner specified in the first paragraph.”

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 400 to 1000 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 12 to 24 months.

Article 630 Criminal Code: Kidnapping for the purpose of extortion

“Anyone who kidnaps a person in order to obtain an unfair profit, for themselves or for others, as the price for freeing that person, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of twenty-five to thirty years.

If the kidnapping results in death of the kidnapped person, as an unintended result of the offence, the offender shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of thirty years.

A penalty of life imprisonment is imposed if the offender causes the death of the kidnapped person.

The penalties established in Article 605 shall be imposed on co-offenders who, by dissociating themselves from the others, act so that the victim regains their freedom, without this being the result of price for freeing them. However, if the victim dies as a result of the kidnapping, after being freed, the penalty is imprisonment for a term of six to fifteen years.

The penalty of life imprisonment shall be replaced by imprisonment for a term of twelve to twenty years and the other penalties shall be reduced by a third to two thirds for the co-offenders who, by disassociating themselves from the others, act, other than in the case specified in the paragraph above, to prevent the criminal activity from leading to further consequences or to help the police or legal authorities to gather decisive evidence for identifying or capturing the co-offenders.

When there is a mitigating circumstance, the penalty established in the second paragraph is replaced by imprisonment for a term of twenty to twenty-four years; the penalty established in the third paragraph is replaced by imprisonment from twenty-four to thirty years. If there are several extenuating circumstances, the penalty to be applied as a result of the reductions cannot be less than ten years, in the case envisaged in the second paragraph, and fifteen years, in the case envisaged in the third paragraph.

The penalty limits envisaged in the previous paragraph may be exceeded if the mitigating circumstances referred to in the fifth paragraph of this article apply.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 400 to 1000 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 12 to 24 months.

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 24 ter of the Decree.

From the analysis carried out, no concrete risks of commission of the offences indicated in Article 24 ter of the Decree have been identified, which would expose the company Star7 S.p.A., precisely in consideration of the typical activity carried out by the same, to administrative liability pursuant to the Decree.

General principles of conduct

In relation to this type of offence, all recipients are in any case required to comply with the principles contained in the Group's Code of Ethics and the reference legislation currently in force.

Specifically:

- collaborative, transparent and correct behaviour that does not violate any internal procedures in relations with third parties must be maintained;
- all must be inspired by the constitutionally guaranteed principle of freedom of association by observing the limits and purposes established by law and not pursuing those prohibited;
- in relations with third parties, the requisites of integrity and professionalism must always be ascertained.

4. FORGERY OF MONEY, PUBLIC CREDIT INSTRUMENTS, REVENUE STAMPS AND DISTINCTIVE SIGNS AND INSTRUMENTS

The relevant offences.

Article 25 *bis* of the Decree takes into consideration the crimes of Chapters I and II of Title VII of Book II of the Criminal Code, namely some of the crimes against public faith.

The relevant crimes are:

- Article 453 Criminal Code: Forgery of money, and spending and import into Italy, through

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

intermediaries, of forged money

- Article 454 Criminal Code: Forging money;
- Article 455 Criminal Code: Shipment and introduction into the state, without concert, of counterfeit currency;
- Article 457 Criminal Code: Distribution of counterfeit coins received in good faith;
- Article 459 Criminal Code: Forging of revenue stamps, and importing into Italy, purchasing, possessing or circulating of forged revenue stamps
- Article 460 Criminal Code: Forgery of watermarked paper used to produce public credit instruments or revenue stamps
- Article 461 Criminal Code: Manufacture or possession of watermarks or instruments designed for the counterfeiting of currency, revenue stamps, or watermarked paper;
- Article 464 Criminal Code: Use of counterfeit or altered revenue stamps;
- Article 473 Criminal Code: Counterfeiting, alteration or use of trademarks or distinctive signs or of patents, models and signs;
- Article 474 Criminal Code: Introduction into the state and trade in misbranded products.

Article 453 Criminal Code: Forgery of money, spending and introduction into the State, in concert, of counterfeit money.

"It is punishable by imprisonment from three to twelve years and a fine from €516 to €3,098;

- 1) *forges Italian or foreign money, which is legal tender in Italy or outside Italy;*
- 2) *forges genuine money in any way, to give it the appearance of having a higher value;*
- 3) *does not take part in the counterfeiting or forging, but acts in concert with those that carried it out, or through an intermediary, imports into Italy or possesses or spends or otherwise puts into circulation counterfeit or forged money;*
- 4) *in order to put them into circulation, acquires or otherwise receives counterfeit or forged money from those who have forged it, or from an intermediary.*

The purpose of this crime is to protect the legality of monetary circulation, punishing any disturbance of the trust placed in the circulation of money.

The offence provided for by Article 453 of the Italian Criminal Code provides for four different types of

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

conduct which are common to the offence provided for by Article 454 of the Italian Criminal Code.

The offences of counterfeiting are crimes of danger and as such protect the protected legal asset in advance.

The case has the nature of a crime of abstract danger, offensive not only to the interests of the State and public bodies authorized to issue currency, but also to private individuals who are damaged by the use and spending of counterfeit money. Material objects are coins and credit cards.

On an objective level, the first criminally relevant conduct consists in the creation of a non-genuine currency, i.e. the imitation of those issued by the State or by legally authorized public bodies. Fraudulent imitation can be accomplished by any technique, using any material, and relate to the coin's title, value, shape or quantity of metal used.

By way of example, the simple possession of the hard disk containing the images of the coins has been qualified as an attempt to counterfeit banknotes, "*when the agent is caught in the imminence of printing the reproduced images*" [Cass, Sez. V, 8 March 2006].

The production - usually by mechanical or automatic means - of multiple banknotes of the same kind or denomination constitutes a single offence; conversely, if the bills are different in denomination or species, there will be a plurality of offences.

From a subjective point of view, the case requires generic intent, understood as the consciousness and will of the agent to carry out the behaviours described by the regulation.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 300 to 800 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

Article 454 Criminal Code: Forging money.

"Anyone who forges money of the kind indicated in the Article above, by altering its value in any way, compared to the money forged in that manner, shall be guilty of committing one of the offences indicated in numbers 3 and 4 of said Article and shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to five years and fine of €103 to €516."

Alteration, on the other hand, presupposes that the coins or public credit cards are genuine - in that they were issued by the authorized body - and is carried out by modifying their formal or material characteristics, so as to artificially attribute to them a higher or lower nominal value.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

Article 455 Criminal Code: Spending and introduction into the State, without concert, of counterfeit money.

“Anyone, except in the cases envisaged in the two Articles above, who imports into Italy, acquires or possesses counterfeit or forged money in order to put it into circulation, or spends or otherwise circulates it, shall be liable to the penalties established in those Articles reduced by a third to a half.”

This is a residual offence compared to those envisaged in articles 453 and 454 of the criminal code, which have already been dealt with.

Depending on the conduct carried out (first paragraph or second paragraph of the rule), the subjective element required is that of specific intent or general intent.

For the calculation of the financial penalty, a reduction ranging from one third to one half of the units provided for in Articles 453 and 454 of the Criminal Code is implemented.

Disqualification sanctions are applied for a duration of 3 to 12 months.

Article 457 Criminal Code: Distribution of counterfeit coins received in good faith.

“Anyone who spends or otherwise puts into circulation counterfeit or forged money, which they have received in good faith, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to six months or a fine of up to €1,032.”

The offence is committed when the agent has received counterfeit money in good faith and has subsequently realised that it is counterfeit.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable up to 200 units.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 459 Criminal Code: Forging of revenue stamps, and importing into Italy, purchasing, possessing or circulating of forged revenue stamps

“The provisions of Articles 453, 455 and 457 shall also apply to counterfeiting or forging of revenue stamps and the import into Italy, or the purchase, possession and circulation of forged revenue stamps; but the penalties are reduced by one third.

For the purposes of criminal law, revenue stamps are understood to be stamped paper, duty stamps, postage stamps and other instruments identified as equivalent to these by specific laws.”

The applicable financial penalty is that foreseen by the Decree for letters a), c), d), paragraph 1 Article 25 *bis* reduced by one third.

Article 460 Criminal Code: Forgery of watermarked paper used to produce public credit instruments or revenue stamps

“Anyone who forges the watermarked paper used to produce public credit instruments or revenue stamps, or purchases, possesses or sells such forged paper, shall be liable, if the offence does not constitute a more serious crime, to imprisonment for a term of two to six years and a fine of €309 to €1,032.”

The offence occurs when the agent reproduces, by imitation, the watermarks or the forms, cloths and punches used to manufacture watermarked paper.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

Article 461 Criminal Code: Manufacture or possession of watermarks or instruments designed for the counterfeiting of currency, revenue stamps, or watermarked paper.

“Anyone who forges, purchases, possesses or sells watermarks, computer programs or instruments

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

designed exclusively for counterfeiting or forging money, revenue stamps or watermarked paper shall be liable, if the offence does not constitute a more serious crime, to imprisonment for a term of one to five years and a fine of €103 to €516.

The same penalty applies if the conduct envisaged in the first paragraph involves holograms or other components of the money designed to ensure their protection against counterfeiting or forgery.”

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

Article 464 Criminal Code: Use of counterfeit or altered revenue stamps.

"Whoever, not being an accomplice in the counterfeiting or alteration, makes use of counterfeit or altered revenue stamps shall be punished by imprisonment of up to three years and a fine of up to one million lire.

If the instruments have been received in good faith, the penalty established in Article 457 is imposed, reduced by one third."

In order for the crime to occur, the perpetrator must maliciously (knowingly and willfully) make use of altered or counterfeit revenue stamps received in good faith.

The financial penalty foreseen by the Decree for the hypothesis of the first paragraph of Article 464 of the Italian Criminal Code is applicable up to 300 units; while for the second paragraph the penalty is applicable up to 200 units.

Article 473 Criminal Code: Counterfeiting, forging or use of trademarks, distinctive marks or patents, models and designs

"Anyone, able to know the existence of the industrial property right, who counterfeits or forges trademarks or distinctive marks, both Italian and foreign, of industrial products, or anyone who, without being party to the counterfeiting or forgery, uses those counterfeited or forged marks or signs, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of six months to three years and a fine of €2,500 to €25,000.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Anyone who counterfeits or forges patents, designs or industrial models, both Italian and foreign, or anyone who, without being party to the counterfeiting or forgery, uses those counterfeited or forged patents, designs or models, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to four years and a fine of €3,500 to €35,000.

The crimes envisaged in the first and second paragraphs are punishable if the provisions of Italian laws, community regulations and international conventions on the protection of intellectual or industrial property have been complied with.

The purpose of the law is to protect public faith in an objective sense, understood as the public's reliance on trademarks and distinctive signs that identify intellectual or industrial products and that guarantee the movement, not the reliance of the individual: it is therefore not necessary, in order for the offence to be committed, that a situation be created that could mislead the customer as to the genuineness of the product. The term "industrial property" includes trademarks and other distinctive signs, patents, inventions, utility models, designs and models, geographical indications, appellations of origin, confidential business information, etc., and the related rights are obtained by patenting (inventions, utility models), registering (trademarks, designs and models) or in other ways provided for by law (confidential business information, geographical indications, appellations of origin).

Patent or registration rights include a right to exclusive use of the object of protection for a specified period of time. In practice, through patenting and registration, the owner is protected from the illegal exploitation of the object of protection by third parties.

The crime under consideration is a crime of concrete danger: that is, there is no need for an effective connection between the illegal activity and the perception of the same by the recipients, i.e. the public. Jurisprudence considers the offence referred to in Article 473 of the Italian Criminal Code to be committed when there is a real risk of confusion between the original and the "imitation".

Counterfeiting is to be understood as conduct aimed at making the counterfeit brand take on qualities such as to create confusion about the authentic origin of the product, with possible misleading of consumers.

Alteration, on the other hand, is the partial modification of a genuine mark.

The counterfeiting and alteration of patents, models and designs do not refer to an infringement of exclusivity, but to documents issued by the PA.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The use of signs, trademarks, etc. is a residual hypothesis, e.g. the application of trademarks on products (such as labels on clothing) or packaging, or use in advertising, on documents, etc..

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

Article 474 Criminal Code: Introduction into the State and trade in products with false signs.

“Except for the cases of aiding and abetting in crimes envisaged in Article 473, anyone who, for profit, imports counterfeit or forged industrial products with trademarks or other distinctive marks, both Italian and foreign, into Italy shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to four years and a fine of €3,500 to €35,000.

Apart from cases of complicity in counterfeiting, alteration, introduction into the territory of the State, anyone who

holds for sale, puts on sale or otherwise puts into circulation, in order to make a profit, the products referred to in the first paragraph is liable to imprisonment for up to two years and a fine of up to €20,000.

The crimes envisaged in the first and second paragraphs are punishable if the provisions of Italian laws, community regulations and international conventions on the protection of intellectual or industrial property have been complied with.

Unlike the hypothesis referred to in Article 473 of the Italian Criminal Code, the regulation does not concern the marking, but the object falsely marked.

The offense is the "logical development" of the preceding one: in the hypothesis envisaged by Article 473 of the Italian Criminal Code, the trademark is counterfeited; art. 474 of the Criminal Code, on the other hand, punishes the introduction into the State, the sale or detention for sale of the product on which the counterfeit mark is affixed.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable up to 500 units, while the interdiction

sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 bis of the Decree.

The *Gap Analysis* carried out revealed the following at-risk activities:

- cASH HANDLING;
- billing management;
- use of revenue stamps.

General rules of conduct.

Doing Area.

All At-Risk Activities must be carried out in compliance with the legal and regulatory provisions in force, with the principles of *Corporate Governance* of the Company, with the provisions of the Code of Ethics, with the general principles of conduct set out in the General Section of this Model, as well as with the organisational procedures in place to protect against the risks/offences identified.

In particular, those who, during the performance of their duties, receive in good faith counterfeit or altered coins or stamps shall:

- check the authenticity of the banknotes received, also by means of *ad hoc* equipment;
- immediately notify the Authorities of the occurrence of the recognition;
- notify the Chairman of the Board and not proceed with the distribution or use of coins or revenue stamps until an internal audit or review by the appropriate authorities has been conducted.

Area of Not Doing.

In particular, it is prohibited to:

- possess, spend or put into circulation counterfeit or altered coins or stamps.

Protocols to protect against the risks of offences pursuant to Article 25 bis of the Decree:

- Monetary and financial flows protocol; OP-02

5. CRIMES AGAINST INDUSTRY AND TRADE

The relevant offences.

Law no. 99 of 23 July 2009, by introducing Article 25 *bis*.1 into the Decree, has made an express reference to Title VIII, Chapter II, of the Criminal Code, which provides for:

- Article 513 Criminal Code: disturbing the freedom of industry or commerce;
- Article 513 *bis* Criminal Code: illegal competition with threats or violence;
- Article 514 Criminal Code: fraud against national industries;
- Article 515 Criminal Code: fraudulent trading;
- Article 516 Criminal Code: sale of non-genuine food as genuine;
- Article 517 Criminal Code: sale of industrial products with mendacious signs;
- Article 517 *ter* Criminal Code: manufacture and trade of goods made by usurping industrial property rights;
- Article 517-*quater* Criminal Code: counterfeiting of geographical indications or designation of origin of agro-food products.

The purpose of the rule is to protect the free exercise and normal course of industry and commerce, the disturbance of which affects the public economy.

Article 513 Criminal Code: Disturbed freedom of industry or commerce.

“Anyone who acts with violence on property or through fraudulent means to prevent or disrupt the exercise of trade or commerce shall be liable, upon complaint by the injured party, if the offence does not constitute a more serious crime, to imprisonment for a term of up to two years and a fine of €103 to €1,032.”

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The disturbance of the freedom of industry or commerce is a crime of anticipated protection, which is consumed at the time and in the place where the acts of disturbance are carried out, without the actual damage to the individual industry or commerce being relevant. The purpose of this case is to protect the right of citizens to free economic initiative, as enshrined in Article 41 of the Constitution.

However, the offence must be directed at specific individuals and not indiscriminately at the economic system as a whole.

The case alternatively involves the use of violence against things or fraudulent means to prevent or disturb the exercise of an industry or trade.

The notion of violence against things must be taken from Article 392 criminal code - Arbitrary exercise of one's own reasons with violence against things - where it is textually affirmed that, to the effects of penal law, there is violence against things when the thing is damaged, transformed, or its destination is changed.

Fraudulent is defined as any means of misleading the victim, such as artifice, deception and lies.

The agent's conduct must be materially likely to disrupt or prevent the operation of an industry or trade.

The impediment may also be temporary or partial and may occur even when the business activity has not yet begun but is in preparation. The disturbance, on the other hand, must refer to an activity that has already begun and must consist in the alteration of its regular and free course.

The intent is specific, consisting in the aim of preventing or disturbing the business activity.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable up to 500 units.

Article 513 bis Criminal Code Illegal competition with threats or violence

“Anyone who, in exercising a commercial, industrial, or production activity, performs acts of competition with violence or threats shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of two to six years.

The penalty is increased if the acts of competition concern an activity funded in whole or in part and the State or other public bodies in any way.”

This is a crime of danger, which is integrated with the mere performance of acts of competition with violent or threatening modalities, as it is not necessary a concrete effect of the conduct on the level of the business relationships.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The purpose of this crime is to sanction those typical forms of intimidation which, in the specific environment of mafia-type organised crime, tend to control commercial, industrial or productive activities or, in any case, to condition them.

Acts of competition are all those acts carried out in order to produce or sell more than other parties engaged in the same activity. There is unfair competition when violence or threats are exercised directly against the competitor, both when the purpose of control or conditioning of commercial, industrial or production activities is pursued with the use of violence or threats on third parties in any way linked, as customers or employees, by economic or professional relationships with the competitor.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable up to 800 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

Article 514 Criminal Code: Fraud against national industries

“Anyone who, by placing on sale or otherwise putting into circulation on Italian or foreign markets, industrial products with counterfeit or forged names, trademarks or distinctive marks, causes harm to Italian industry shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to five years and a fine of not less than €516.

If the provisions of Italian laws or international conventions on the protection of industrial property have been observed in relation to the trademarks or distinctive marks, the penalty is increased and the provisions of Articles 473 and 474 do not apply.

The offence has a limited applicative value since the damage to the national industry must be substantial, such as to determine the decrease in the volume of business or the damage to reputation. The type of damage covered by the rule is not damage to a single company but to Italian industry in general or to a specific branch of industry.

The subjective element consists in the general intent, that is, the intention to sell or circulate industrial products with the knowledge that the names, brands and signs that distinguish them are counterfeited or altered and the intention to cause damage to national industry.

The place of consumption is always in Italy, even if the trade is carried out on foreign markets, as long as the effects are reflected in the Italian economic potential.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The sale of products with altered or counterfeit signs is sufficient for the crime to be committed, regardless of compliance with the rules on the protection of industrial property. In such case, indeed the deposit of the distinctive signs constitutes an aggravating circumstance.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable up to 800 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

Article 515 Criminal Code: Crime of fraud in the exercise of trade

“Anyone, in the exercise of a commercial activity, or in a shop open to the public, who delivers a goods the buyer disguised as other goods, or goods that, due to their origin, source, quality or quantity are different from that stated or agreed, shall be liable, where the offence does not constitute a more serious crime, to a term of imprisonment for a term of up to two years or a fine of up to €2,065.

If precious objects are involved the penalty is imprisonment for a term of up to up to three years or a fine of not less than €103.

The purpose of the offence is to prevent a relationship between a buyer and a trader that has taken place in the absence of the rules of fairness and loyalty from having a negative impact on the nation's economy, regardless of the consequences that may arise for the consumer.

The possible perpetrators of the crime are not only the commercial entrepreneur, but also all those who help or replace him in the exercise of their activity.

The intent required for the commission of the offence is generic, since neither particular deceptive methods nor particular profit-making purposes are required for the commission of the offence.

Attempt is possible.

The incriminated conduct is based on the delivery of a movable thing, delivery that can take place not only in the context of the sale-purchase contract, but also in relation to other types of agreement, such as the exchange, provided that the obligation to deliver the goods is produced.

The object of the exchange can be anything movable.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable up to 500 units.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 516 Criminal Code: Sale of non-genuine foodstuffs as genuine

“Anyone places on sale or otherwise markets non-genuine foodstuffs as genuine shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to six months or a fine of up to €1,032.”

This case protects the trade from the danger or damage caused by the sale or marketing of genuine food substances which in reality are not genuine.

The typical conduct consists of the actual or potential sale of the non-genuine food substances: in practice, an offer that the substances be made available for sale is sufficient (by way of example, the display of food in public facilities and the offer for sale of it indicated in price lists and notices; Cass. 5353/1980).

From a subjective point of view, the generic intent is required as consciousness and will of the agent to market non-genuine foodstuffs as genuine.

Observing the case histories recorded over the years, the concept of genuineness is not only the natural one, but also the formal one (intended as an indication of the essential characteristics to qualify a certain type of food product).

Recent case law, for example, has deemed the crime to be integrated in the case of indicating dairy products as fresh but made through the use of pre-processed industrial ingredients (Criminal Cass. no. 15113/2014).

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable up to 500 units.

Article 517 Criminal Code: Sale of industrial products with mendacious signs

“Anyone who sells or otherwise puts into circulation intellectual property or industrial products, with names, trademarks or Italian or foreign distinctive marks designed to mislead the buyer about the origin, source or quality of the product, shall be liable, if the offence is not a crime under another provision of law, to imprisonment for a term of up to two years or a fine of up to €1,032.”

With the provision of this crime, the mass of consumers is guaranteed from the danger of fraud connected to the circulation of goods. This rule also protects the "genuine origin" of the goods, ensuring not only the quality of the product, but also its origin referred to the place of production of a particular

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

good, which therefore is considered of particular value by the consumer.

In this context, the geographical specification does not necessarily have to take into account the natural environment, but also the traditions and manufacturing techniques that are more deeply rooted in a given area, with consequent significant effects on the quality of the goods that are the result.

It is not necessary to be a merchant in order to commit the offense. In order for the commission of the offence to be determined, the trademark must be filed in accordance with the provisions of the industrial property laws.

The ability to mislead the buyer must be assessed against the average buyer's shopping habits. One must be in the presence of product mystifications that are not of such grossness that they are unable to fool anyone.

This offence is structured as a crime of danger and the intent required for the realization of the criminal case is generic.

It is possible the concurrence with the offence of fraud referred to in Article 640 of the Italian Criminal Code, taking into account that Article 517 of the Italian Criminal Code sanctions a series of preparatory activities such as to allow the formation of an activity of fraudulent deception addressed to a specific victim.

Pursuant to article 518 of the Criminal Code, conviction for any of the offences covered by the articles examined so far entails publication of the sentence.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable up to 500 units.

Article 517 ter Criminal Code: Manufacture and trade of goods made by usurping industrial property rights.

Subject to the application of Articles 473 and 474, anyone, able to know the existence of the industrial property right, who manufactures or industrially employs objects or other goods made by misappropriating an industrial property or by infringing that right, shall be liable, upon complaint by the injured party, to imprisonment for a term of up to two years and a fine of up to €20,000.

The same penalty applies to anyone who, for profit, imports into Italy, possesses for sale, offers for direct sale to consumers or otherwise puts into circulation the goods referred to in the first paragraph.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The provisions of Articles 474-bis, 474-ter, second paragraph, and 517-bis, second paragraph shall apply. The crimes envisaged in the first and second paragraphs are punishable if the provisions of Italian laws, community regulations and international conventions on the protection of intellectual or industrial property have been complied with.

The objective element of the crime can be integrated with the violation of the industrial property title and with the usurpation of the title itself. In fact, the regulation represses the manufacture and trade of goods made by violating or usurping industrial property rights. In case of violation, the application of the accessory penalty pursuant to Article 517 *bis* of the Italian Criminal Code, of the aggravating circumstance pursuant to Article 474 *ter*, second paragraph, and of the compulsory confiscation pursuant to Article 474 *bis* of the Italian Criminal Code is envisaged.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable up to 500 units.

Article 517 *quater* Criminal Code: Counterfeiting of geographical indications or designations of origin of agri-food products.

“Anyone who counterfeits or otherwise forges geographical indications or designations of origin of agricultural and food products shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to two years and a fine of up to €20,000.

The same penalty applies to anyone who, for profit, imports into Italy, possesses for sale, offers for direct sale to consumers or otherwise puts into circulation the products with the forged indications or designations.

The provisions of Articles 474-bis, 474-ter, second paragraph, and 517-bis, second paragraph shall apply.

The crimes identified in the first and second paragraphs are punishable if the provisions of Italian laws, community regulations and international conventions on the protection of geographical indications or designations of origin for agri-food products have been complied with.”

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable up to 500 units.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 bis 1 of the Decree.

In the light of the *Gap Analysis* carried out, the following At-Risk Activities have been identified:

- Sales Activities;
- Writing Activities.

General rules of conduct.

Area of Making.

- Keep track of each meeting with competitors;
- Always remove yourself from a discussion if you suspect that it may be, or may be believed to be, anti-competitive in nature;
- Notify senior management of suspected anti-competitive violations;
- Continually monitor the risk area regarding conduct detrimental to industry and commerce.

Area of Not Doing.

- Infringe patents, *trademarks, copyrights* or other intellectual property rights by violating the rights of others;
- Obtaining information about competitors through unlawful or inappropriate means;
- Offer for sale products that bear false or misleading information as to source or origin.

6. CORPORATE CRIMES

The relevant offences.

Article 25 *ter*, paragraph 1 of decree no. 231/2001, inserted by article 3 of decree no. 61/2002, in referring to the types of corporate offences envisaged by the Civil Code, states that "*...if committed in the interest of the company, by directors, general managers or liquidators or by persons subject to their supervision, if the act would not have been committed if they had supervised in compliance with the obligations inherent in their office, the financial penaltys defined in edict form for each type of offences shall apply...*".

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Law no. 69 of 27 May 2015, eliminated the reference to the "*interest of the company*" and the reference to the active parties, as well as *culpa in vigilando*, putting an end to the debate that had developed with regard to the relevance for corporate offenses also of the "advantage", provided for as a general imputation criterion by Article 5 of the decree, as well as with regard to the content of the supervisory obligation on top management and its relationship between this and the adoption and implementation of the Compliance Programme.

Therefore, as of today, the first paragraph of Article 25 *ter* simply states that "*in relation to the offences in corporate matters envisaged by the Civil Code, the following monetary sanctions are applied to the entity*".

The explicit reference in paragraph 1 to *financial penalty*s alone, as a consequence of the liability under consideration, eliminates the applicability of interdictory sanctions and the relative precautionary measures.

Corporate crimes can be divided into three categories:

Falsehoods

- articles 2621, 2621 *bis* and 2622 of the Italian Civil Code False corporate communications

The criminal protection of share capital and assets

- Article 2626 Civil Code - Unlawful return of capital
- Article 2627 Civil Code - Illegal allocation of profits and reserves
- art. 2628 c.c. Illegal transactions on own shares or quotas or those of the parent company
- art. 2629 civil code Transactions to the detriment of creditors
- Article 2629-bis Civil Code - Failure to disclose a conflict of interest
- Article 2632 Civil Code - False creation of share capital
- Article 2633 Civil Code - Improper allocation of company assets by liquidators
- Article 2635 Civil Code – Private-to-private corruption

Other offences

- art. 2625 c.c. Prevented control
- Article 2636 Civil Code - Unlawful influence on the shareholders' meeting

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- Article 2637 Civil Code - Stock price manipulation
- Article 2638 Civil Code Obstructing the exercise of the functions of public supervisory authorities

The Falsehoods.

Article 2621 Civil Code: False corporate communications.

“Apart from the cases referred to in Article 2622, the directors, general managers, financial reporting officers, statutory auditors and liquidators who, in order to gain unfair profit for themselves or for others, knowingly present material facts that are untrue or omit material facts whose disclosure is required by law in financial statements, reports or other company documents addressed to shareholders or the public, in accordance with law, concerning the earnings, financial position and cash flows of the company or the group they belong to, in a way capable of misleading others, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to five years.

This penalty also applies even if the false statements or omissions relate to assets held or managed by the company on behalf of third parties.”

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 400 units.

Article 2621 bis Civil Code: Minor Facts.

“Unless they constitute a more serious crime, the penalty is imprisonment for a term of six months to three years if the offences referred to in Article 2621 are minor in extent, in view of the nature and size of the company and the manner and effects of the conduct.

“Unless they constitute a more serious crime, the penalty stated in the paragraph above shall be applied when the offences referred to in Article 2621 relate to companies that do not exceed the limits specified in the second paragraph of Article 1 of Royal Decree 267 of 16 March 1942. In such case, the crime is prosecutable upon complaint by the Company, its shareholders, creditors or other addressees of the corporate communication.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 200 units.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 2622 Civil Code: False corporate communications of listed companies.

“Directors, general managers, financial reporting officers, statutory auditors and liquidators of companies that issue financial instruments admitted for trading in Italy or another European Union country who, in order to gain unfair profit for themselves or for others, knowingly present material facts that are untrue or omit material facts whose disclosure is required by law in financial statements, reports or other company documents addressed to shareholders or the public, in accordance with law, concerning the earnings, financial position and cash flows of the company or the group they belong to, in a way capable of misleading others, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of three to eight years.

The following are considered to qualify as the companies referred to in the paragraph above:

- 1) companies that issue financial instruments admitted to trading in a regulated market in Italy or another European Union country;*
- 2) companies that issue financial instruments admitted to trading in an Italian multilateral trading facility;*
- 3) companies that control companies that issue financial instruments admitted to trading in a regulated market in Italy or another European Union country;*
- 4) companies that solicit or otherwise manage public savings.*

1. The provisions of the preceding paragraphs also apply if the false statements or omissions relate to assets held or managed by the company on behalf of third parties.”

The offence of false corporate communications occurs when a person holding a corporate position, including the manager responsible for preparing the company's financial reports, knowingly sets out untrue facts in the financial statements, reports or other corporate communications required by law, addressed to shareholders or the public, or omits material facts whose disclosure is required by law, in a way that is likely to mislead others. The conduct, to which the rule in question refers, concerns both active and omissive conduct.

Therefore, any conduct involving the concealment of communications required by law becomes criminally relevant.

The above also applies to consolidated financial statements.

The material object of the offence is the financial statements, reports, as well as other corporate

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

communications, provided for by law, addressed to shareholders or the public. With regard to the latter, the wording of the rule shows the legislator's desire to exclude from the case inter-organic communications and communications with a single recipient, public or private.

Inter-organic communications include all communications that occur between different organs of the company, typically between the board of directors and the controlling body. One thinks, for example, of the falsehoods in the draft financial statements and in the report communicated by the Directors to the Board of Statutory Auditors, *pursuant to* Article 2429 of the Italian Civil Code.

Among the communications with only one addressee, consider, for private individuals, the false financial situation regarding the economic conditions of the company, presented by the directors to credit institutions, in order to obtain financing.

With regard to public bodies, it should be specified that these do not include the tax authorities: there is an alternation between false corporate communications and fraudulent or untrue income tax or VAT returns.

It should also be noted that the rule states that these must be corporate communications required by law. Internal acts and interviews, for example, do not fall within the scope of the crime.

With regard to the penalty and with reference to Article 2621 of the Italian Civil Code, an essential condition for its applicability is that the subject intentionally behaves in such a way that, even if it does not produce damage for anyone, is also only susceptible to potential danger. This is done in order to provide maximum protection for the requirements of "corporate transparency".

In any event, the conduct described must be supported by wilful misconduct, consisting in the agent's awareness of misleading as to the company's actual economic, patrimonial or financial situation, together with the intention of obtaining an unfair profit for himself or others.

Article 2621 *bis* of the Italian Civil Code reduces the penalty provided for by Article 2621 in the event that the facts committed are of minor entity, taking into account the nature and size of the company and the methods and effects of the conduct.

The case of Article 2622 of the Italian Civil Code differs from that of the previous Article 2621 of the Italian Civil Code because it concerns listed companies. This case is therefore not applicable to STAR7 S.P.A.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is between 400 and 600 units.

Memorandum

• REPORTS

The term "report" is used in the civil law regulation of joint-stock companies to indicate special informative reports of qualified subjects characterised by written form and compulsory when situations established by law occur.

In particular these checks may include: the Directors' Report (art. 2428 Civil Code) and the Auditors' Report (art. 2429 Civil Code) accompanying the ordinary financial statements; the half-yearly report by the Directors on operations of companies with shares listed on the stock exchange (art. 2428, third paragraph of the Italian Civil Code); the Directors' report required in the procedure envisaged for the distribution of interim dividends (art. 2433-*bis*, paragraph five of the Italian Civil Code); the Directors' report in which the proposal for a share capital increase with exclusion or limitation of the pre-emption right has to be explained (art. 2441, paragraph six of the Italian Civil Code); the Directors' report and the Board of Statutory Auditors' remarks on the balance sheet for the capital reduction due to losses (art. 2446 Civil Code); the Auditors' report on the final liquidation accounts (art. 2453, paragraph two, Civil Code); the Directors' report on the merger or demerger project (art. 2501 *quater* Civil Code and 2504 *novies* Civil Code).

The above listing should be read narrowly: in essence, it refers only to "typical reports" (*i.e.*, written reports relating to social activities expressly provided for by law).

• FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

With regard to the category of "financial statements", this certainly includes the annual or ordinary financial statements (articles 2423 et seq. of the Italian Civil Code), which are intended as a tool for providing information on the equity, financial and economic situation of a going concern, *i.e.* a business characterised by operational continuity.

Generally speaking, these include the consolidated financial statements (*i.e.* the accounting document intended to provide a picture of the economic and financial situation of the group considered as a

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

whole) and act as a possible container for the falsehoods expressed by Article 2621 no. 1 of the Italian Civil Code, and all the financial statements whose nature is extraordinary, among which may be included those accounting schedules that serve to express the company's financial situation on the occasion of events other than the closure of the normal business year or on the occasion of particular judicial or administrative events.

For example, the accounting schedules required (*pursuant to Article 2433-bis*, paragraph 5 of the Italian Civil Code) for the purposes of distributing interim dividends constitute extraordinary financial statements; the final liquidation accounts pursuant to articles 2311 and 2453 of the Italian Civil Code, the balance sheet prepared in compliance with the regulations governing financial statements (art. 2501 *ter*, paragraph 1 of the Italian Civil Code) which must accompany the merger plan (art. 2501 paragraph 3 of the Italian Civil Code) or the spin-off plan (art. 2504 *novies* of the Italian Civil Code); the balance sheet, which must be filed together with the company's bankruptcy petition (art. 14 of the Bankruptcy Law).

• OTHER CORPORATE COMMUNICATIONS

In order to identify what should be considered corporate communications, the following three requirements should be considered:

1. Relating to the subject of the act: "the official;
2. Determined by its relationship to the object: inherent to the corporate purpose;
3. Concerning its recipients: "public directionality".

1) **Officials:** an essential requisite of the communication (criminally relevant) is the official nature integrated whenever it is issued by qualified persons in the exercise and by virtue of the specific functions attributed to them within a company that has already been set up or is about to be set up.

Therefore, the so-called confidential or private information is not official, and its falsity will not be able to integrate the extremes of the crime in question, but may, in the concomitance of the relative extremes, be cause of criminal responsibility in terms of fraud or corporate market rigging.

2) **Relevance to the corporate purpose:** the second requirement concerns the content of the statement and postulates that the corporate attribute can be assigned to those communications that have a generic relevance to the existence of the company's business. Thus, for example, a statement by the competent bodies of the entity intended to provide information on the performance of the stock

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

exchange in the country or abroad cannot be considered social.

3) **Public directionality:** the third requirement is intended to attribute criminal relevance only to that information, official and inherent to the corporate purpose, which is potentially referred to a plurality of recipients; the character of public discretion would be the external relevance that would be realized every time the communication is addressed to an indefinite number of subjects or to shareholders, company creditors and third parties (potential shareholders or creditors) protected not as individuals, but as open categories.

As far as the **form** is concerned, even the verbal **form** can constitute a false communication. Examples include false statements made by directors or auditors at the shareholders' or bondholders' meeting, or by promoters at the underwriters' meeting. Thus, neither the communications that the individual members of collective bodies (board of directors and board of statutory auditors) make to these bodies, nor those made by the directors to the internal control body, will be properly social.

The criminal protection of share capital and assets.

Article 2626 Civil Code: Unlawful return of capital.

“Directors who, except in cases of legitimate reduction of the share capital, return, or pretend to return, capital to shareholders or free them from the obligation provide it, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to one year.”

The case under consideration punishes the conduct of directors who, outside the cases of legitimate reduction of share capital, return, even simulated, contributions to shareholders or free them from the obligation to make them.

For the punishability of the offence in question, only contributions of money, credits, and goods that are suitable for constituting the share capital are relevant; the punishability begins when the capital is affected and not also the reserves. The release or restitution may take place in various forms, including indirectly, such as by offsetting against a fictitious claim against the company. Note that restitution must be made outside the cases of legitimate capital reduction. Therefore, the crime is generally excluded in the case of restitution made in compliance with civil law regulations (for joint-stock companies, regulated by Article 2306 of the Italian Civil Code) and is considered applicable only in the case where

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

there is no shareholders' resolution, while Article 2629 of the Italian Civil Code, referred to *below*, is considered applicable to cases where - despite the presence of an authorising shareholders' resolution - the reduction took place in violation of the provisions protecting creditors

The offense is proper for administrators;@@ those shareholders who have instigated or determined the directors' actions are punishable as accessories to the crime. In contrast, the member receiving restitution or release is not punishable. In the case of the release of the contribution obligation, it is not necessary for all shareholders to be released, but it is sufficient for a single shareholder or several shareholders to be released.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 180 units.

Article 2627 Civil Code: Illegal distribution of profits or reserves.

“Unless the act constitutes a more serious crime, directors who allocate profits or advances on profits not actually earned or which by law are to be allocated to a reserve, or distribute reserves, including those not established with profits, which cannot legally be distributed, shall be punished by imprisonment up to one year.

If profits are returned or the reserves are reinstated before the deadline for approval of the financial statements, then the crime no longer exists.”

This case punishes the conduct of directors who, except in cases of legitimate reduction of share capital, distribute profits or advances on profits not actually earned or allocated by law to reserves, or distribute reserves, including those not established with profits, which cannot be distributed by law.

For the purposes of punishment, only distributions of profits intended to constitute legal reserves are relevant, and not those taken from optional or hidden reserves. Therefore, the distribution of profits which have actually been earned but which are allocated to reserves pursuant to the Articles of Association does not constitute an illegal distribution of reserves. It also includes both the profit for the year and the total profit deriving from the balance sheet, equal to the profit for the year less the losses not yet covered plus the profit carried forward and the reserves set aside in previous years (so-called retained earnings).

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

If profits are returned or the reserves are reinstated before the deadline for approval of the financial statements, then the crime no longer exists.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 130 units.

Article 2628 Civil Code: Illegal transactions involving the company's own shares or quotas or those of the parent company.

“Directors who, except in the cases permitted by law, buy or subscribe company shares or units, thereby damaging the integrity of the share capital or reserves that are non-distributable by law, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to one year.

The same sanction applies to the directors who, except in cases permitted by law, purchase or subscribe shares or units issued by the parent company, causing an impairment of the capital or reserves that are not distributable by law.

If the share capital or reserves are replenished before the deadline for approving the financial statements for the year in relation to which the offence was committed, the crime shall be extinguished.”

The offence is committed by purchasing or subscribing to the company's own shares or units, including those of the parent company, such as to cause damage to the integrity of the company's share capital or reserves that cannot be distributed by law.

The conduct is only relevant in cases outside the cases permitted by law, for example by Article 2357 of the Italian Civil Code for companies limited by shares and therefore with non-distributable profits and with reserves that are not available and with reference to shares that are not fully paid up. It should be noted that Article 2474 of the Italian Civil Code provides for an absolute prohibition in this regard for limited liability companies.

It should be noted that if the company's share capital or reserves are re-established before the deadline for approval of the financial statements for the financial year in relation to which the conduct was committed, the offence is extinguished.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 180 units.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 2629 Civil Code: Transactions to the detriment of creditors.

“The directors who, in violation of the provisions of law protecting creditors, carry on capital reductions or mergers with other companies or demergers, causing damage to creditors, are punished, upon complaint of the injured party, by imprisonment from six months to three years.

Payment of damages to creditors before a court ruling extinguishes the crime.

The offence is committed by carrying out, in violation of the provisions of the law protecting creditors, reductions in share capital or mergers with other companies or demergers, such as to cause damage to creditors Compensation for damages to creditors before the trial extinguishes the offence.

The pecuniary administrative sanction provided for by the Decree ranges from 150 to 330 units.

Article 2629 bis Civil Code: Failure to disclose a conflict of interest.

“A director or a member of the board of directors of a company with securities listed on regulated markets in Italy or another European Union country or widely circulated among the public pursuant to Article 116 of the Consolidated Act in Legislative Decree 58 of 24 February 1998, as amended, or of an entity subject to supervision pursuant to the consolidated act in Legislative Decree 385 of 1 September 1993, of the cited Legislative Decree 58/1998, Legislative Decree 209 of 7 September 2005, and Legislative Decree 124 of 21 April 1993, who breaches the obligations established in Article 2391, first paragraph, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to three years, if the breach results in harm to the company or third parties.”

The regulation punishes the conduct of the director or member of the management board of a listed company who deliberately fails to declare to the Board of Directors his or her personal interest or that of his or her family members in a certain transaction being examined by the Board of Directors, in violation of the provisions of Article 2391 of the Italian Civil Code. Punishability is limited only to members of companies listed on regulated markets, therefore the incriminating case is not applicable to STAR7 S.P.A.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 500 units.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 2632 Civil Code: False creation of share capital.

“The directors and contributing shareholders who, partially or otherwise, falsely create or increase share capital by allocating shares or units that exceed the total share capital, by mutually subscribing shares, or by significantly overvaluing contributions of assets in kind or of loans and receivables or assets of the company in the event of company transformations, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to one year.”

The rule tends to penalize unreasonable valuations, both in relation to the nature of the goods valued and in relation to the valuation criteria adopted.

To this end, the conduct of directors and contributing shareholders who, even in part, fictitiously form or increase the company's capital by allocating shares or units for a sum lower than their nominal value shall be punished. Mutual subscription of shares or units is also considered; significant overvaluation of contributions in kind or receivables, or of the company's assets in the event of transformation.

With reference to the conduct of mutual subscription of shares or units, the requirement of reciprocity does not presuppose that the two transactions are contextual and connected; with reference to the conduct of overvaluing the Company's assets in the event of transformation, the Company's assets as a whole are taken into consideration, namely the sum of all assets, after deduction of liabilities.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 180 units.

Article 2633 Civil Code: Improper distribution of corporate assets by liquidators.

“The liquidators that, by allocating company assets to shareholders before payment is made to the company's creditors or the amounts necessary to pay them are set aside, cause damage to creditors, shall, upon complaint by the injured party, be liable to imprisonment for a term of six months to three years. Payment of damages to creditors before a court ruling extinguishes the crime.

The offence is committed by the distribution of corporate assets among the shareholders before the payment of the company's creditors or the setting aside of the sums necessary to satisfy them, which

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

causes damage to the creditors.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 150 to 330 units.

Article 2635 Civil Code: Private-to-private corruption.

“Unless the offence constitutes a more serious crime, the directors, general managers, financial reporting officers, statutory auditors and liquidators who, as a result of giving or promise of money or other benefits, for themselves or for others, commit or fail to carry out acts, in breach of the obligations arising from their office or duties of loyalty shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to three years.

The penalty of imprisonment up to one year and six months shall be imposed if the offence is committed by those who are subject to the direction or supervision of one of the parties indicated in the first paragraph.

Anyone who gives or promises money or other benefits to the persons specified in the first and second paragraph shall be liable to the penalties envisaged therein.

The penalties established in the paragraphs above are doubled for companies listed on regulated markets in Italy or other European Union countries or widely circulated among the public pursuant to Article 116 of Legislative Decree 58 of 24 February 1998 as amended.

This offence is prosecuted upon complaint by the injured party, unless the offence results from distortion of competition in the purchase of goods and services.”

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 400 units.

This crime represents a case with decisive new features. Introduced with the well-known "anti-corruption" Bill of Law approved by the Chamber of Deputies on 31 October 2012 and converted into Law no. 190 of 6 November 2012 - *"Provisions for the prevention and repression of corruption and integrity in the public administration"* (which then came into force on 28 November 2012), it has significantly modified the previous case under Article 2635 of the Italian Civil Code, which at the time was called *Infidelity following the giving or promising of a benefit*.

Most recently, the case was again redefined by Legislative Decree 38/2017, which eliminated the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

constituent element of "harm to the company": following the amendment, therefore, the case is integrated by the presence of the criminal agreement and the performance of acts that involve the violation of obligations of office or loyalty.

This is an offence relating to relationships and mechanisms that recall those already described in relation to the corruption of Public Administration officials, but which is not a mere transposition of the publicist model of corruption.

Among the various regulatory interventions of primary importance that the "anti-corruption" Law has brought about (some of which have already had the opportunity to observe the impact on the system of Legislative Decree no. 231/2001 concerning offences against the public administration), there is also the redefinition of the criminal offence and its inclusion in the catalog of offences for which there is criminal liability.

The case, reformulated in this way, is part of the increasingly broader fight against corruption pursued, also on a supranational basis, by Italy.

The possible perpetrators of this offence are not only the "directors, general managers, managers responsible for drawing up the company's accounting documents, statutory auditors and liquidators" referred to in the first paragraph of Article 2635 of the Italian Civil Code, but also those who give or promise money or other benefits, and therefore anyone who works for the company, even without having the qualifications referred to above. The category of possible perpetrators includes employees, contractors and potentially consultants/agents.

There are two corruptive figures of greatest practical interest: the competing corruptor (a person embedded in or acting on behalf of another company) and the supplying corruptor. The corruption carried out by the former will tend to take root, about the corrupt parties, in the top management, leading to a dysfunctional exercise

of power by the corrupt party and, as a rule, coming from a competing company, will be destined to produce various types of prejudice to the detriment of the entity in which the corrupt pact will be implemented. Corruption by the supplier, on the other hand, although always aimed at a dysfunctional exercise of power by the corrupt party, will tend to be placed at medium-low subjective levels in the organisation chart of the "target" company.

The giving or promising of money or other benefits is criminally relevant whether it is directed to the bribe-giver or to a third party.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The obligations inherent in the office cover any act, whether binding or discretionary, preparatory or deliberative, organisational or managerial, performed in the exercise of the work activity contrary to the duties enshrined in the law, the articles of association or the contract, provided that it results in harm to the Company. Loyalty obligations are rather inherent in prohibitions of competition, duties of confidentiality, loyalty, fairness, good faith.

Following the latest legislative amendment, the offence is completed with the performance of the conduct described in the regulation.

For the sake of completeness, it seems appropriate to recall the four types of corruption that emerge from the UK *Bribery Act 2010*:

- active corruption towards public or private parties;
- passive corruption towards public or private parties;
- bribery of a foreign public official;
- failure of Companies to prevent corruption.

The first type relates to the promise or conferral to others of an advantage, financial or otherwise, in order to obtain or remunerate the unlawful performance of activities or services falling within their sphere of function or responsibility or that of third parties; the second is to request, receive, or agree to receive that benefit; the third concerns the bribery of foreign public officials and projects the applicability of the relevant provisions outside the national territory; the fourth relates to the *corporate offence*, consisting of the omission by a commercial company to adopt suitable measures to prevent episodes of corruption.

In essence, the *Bribery Act 2010* punishes the Company if those who carry out activities on its behalf commit the offence of bribery in order to obtain or retain business or advantage for the Company's *business*.

The procedures to be adopted to prevent the Company from incurring liability must be inspired by the criteria of:

- proportionality: the procedures must be proportionate to the risks of corruption that are intend to prevent and the size of the firm's business;
- *top level commitment*: *Top management* should (i) ensure that business activities are conducted in a corruption-free manner, (ii) supervise *middle management*, and (iii) make it clear to business entities that corruption is not tolerated in business activities;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- *risk assessment*: the company should assess the nature and extent of its exposure to potential bribery risks, both external and internal, i.e., carried out by persons associated with it;
- *due diligence*: carrying out accurate controls on the subjects with whom the company has commercial relations;
- communication: corruption prevention policies and related control procedures must be known to company employees and staff through appropriate communication and training programs;
- monitoring and review: continuous monitoring of the company's control procedures in order to update and adapt them to any changes in corruption risks.

Although Article 25 *ter* lett. s) *bis* of Legislative Decree 231/2001 limits the relevance of corruption between private individuals to active corruption provided for in the third paragraph of Article 2635 of the Civil Code (for the crime of corruption between private individuals, in the cases provided for in the third paragraph of Article 2635 of the Civil Code, the financial penalty from two hundred to four hundred shares), STAR7 S.P.A. also condemns passive corruption (acceptance of money or other benefits for oneself or others in violation of the obligations inherent to the office or obligations of loyalty), therefore the rules that follow will also take into account this hypothesis.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 400 units. An increase of one third is foreseen if the entity, following the commission of the corrupt act, has made a significant profit.

Article 2635-bis Civil Code para. 1: Incitement to corruption between private individuals

"Anyone who offers or promises money or other benefits not due to directors, general managers, managers responsible for preparing company accounting documents, auditors and liquidators, of companies or private entities, as well as those who work in them with the exercise of management functions, so that they perform or omit an act in violation of the obligations inherent to their office or obligations of loyalty"

The case under review - introduced by Legislative Decree 38/2017 - is aimed at punishing the conduct of unaccepted offer (active side) and unaccepted solicitation (passive side) having as their object bribery between private parties. Such conduct was previously devoid of criminal sanctions because, as seen

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

above, the crime of corruption between private individuals pursuant to Article 2635 of the Italian Civil Code requires at least the completion of the corruptive agreement or, in other words, the meeting of the active and passive sides.

With regard to the active subjects of the offence, if the proposal (not accepted) comes from the person willing to be bribed, it is necessary that this person performs managerial functions within the entity to which he/she belongs, whereas, when the solicitation comes from the potential bribe-giver, then - although there are no limitations with reference to the status of this person within other legal entities - the instigation must be directed only towards the top management of the company within which the individual to be bribed operates.

With regard to the characteristics of the incriminated conduct, with reference to persons outside the entity potentially damaged by the event, it consists in offering or promising money or other benefits to persons inside the entity. This is on condition that the offer is not accepted, otherwise it would be a case of corruption between private individuals (see above). On the other hand, with regard to outsiders, the relevant conduct consists of instigating, soliciting, for oneself or others, a promise or donation of money or other benefits to carry out the undue act. This is always on the condition that the instigation is not accepted and that, therefore, the criminal agreement is not perfected.

The relevant subjective element is the generic intent, consisting in the awareness of offering/promising money or other benefits for an act contrary to official duties or the consciousness - in the case of an intruder-instigator - of soliciting a donation/promise to perform an undue act.

By way of example, in order to understand the concrete scope of the provision pursuant to Article 2635-bis, paragraph 1, of the Italian Civil Code, one can hypothesize the configuration of the crime in question in the conduct of a legal representative of a real estate company who offers (without this offer being accepted) to a managerial official of a bank, in charge of the real estate division of the institution, a sum of money so that the official convinces the bank to accept the sale of a property at a price lower than the market price (if the solicitation is not accepted).

The financial penalty envisaged by Legislative Decree 231/2001 ranges from 200 to 400 units.

Example of relevant conduct: Marco, an employee of a joint-stock company with a commercial function, offers Caius, responsible for supplies and consultancy of another company, a sum of money so that the latter orders supplies from Marco's company; Caius does not accept.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Other offenses.

Article 2625 Civil Code: Impeding company controls.

"Directors who, by concealing documents or using other suitable artifices, prevent or otherwise obstruct the performance of the control activities legally attributed to the shareholders or other corporate bodies, are punished with a pecuniary administrative sanction of up to 10,329 euros.

If the conduct has caused damage to shareholders, the penalty is imprisonment for a term of up to one year and is prosecuted upon complaint by the injured party.

The penalty is doubled if the companies involved have issued securities listed on regulated markets in Italy or other European Union Member States or widely distributed among the public pursuant to Article 116 Legislative Decree 58 of 24 February 1998."

This is an offence committed by directors and consists in preventing or hindering, by concealing documents or other suitable devices, the performance of control or auditing activities legally attributed to shareholders, other corporate bodies or auditing firms.

The *modus operandi* of the suitable artifices presupposes a note of fraud and therefore, the suitability of the conduct to mislead the subjects who must carry out the control activities.

The person prevented from exercising control may be the shareholder, the statutory auditor, and the auditing firm or other control bodies provided for in the one-tier and two-tier governance models.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 180 units.

Article 2636 Civil Code: Unlawful influence on the assembly.

"Anyone who produces the majority in shareholders' meetings by artifice or deception in order to obtain an unfair profit for themselves or for others, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of six months to three years."

The typical conduct involves obtaining a majority in the shareholders' meeting by simulation or fraud, in order to achieve an unfair profit for the offender or for others. The creation of an artificial majority at the meeting may be typified in the following ways: the use of unplaced stock or units, the exercise of

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

voting rights under another name, and a third residual category that includes other simulated or fraudulent acts.

Active subjects are not only the directors, since this is a common crime, but in substance it can be assumed that only the shareholders can be further active subjects of the crime.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 150 to 330 units.

Article 2637 Civil Code: Stock price manipulation.

“Anyone who disseminates false information or performs simulated transactions or other artifices likely to cause a significant change in the price of unlisted financial instruments or whose admission to trading in a regulated market has not been requested, or have a significant impact on public faith in the capital strength of banks or banking groups, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to five years.

This offence is committed by spreading false information or carrying out simulated transactions or other devices that are concretely likely to cause a significant alteration in the price of unlisted financial instruments, or to have a significant impact on the public's reliance on the financial stability of banks or banking groups. News means a sufficiently precise indication of factual circumstances and therefore simple *rumors*, *rumors* and subjective forecasts are not sufficient. Fake news is when, by creating a false representation of reality, it is such as to mislead the operators by determining an irregular rise or fall in prices. Other artifice is defined as "any conduct which, by means of deception, is likely to alter the normal course of prices".

The extreme of disclosure is not met when the news has not been disseminated or made public, but is directed to only a few people.

To the simulated operations they go *ricondotte* is the operations that the parts have not in some way intended to realize, is the operations that introduce a different appearance from those effectively intentional ones. In order for the offence to be committed, it is sufficient that the information or artifice is capable of producing the effect of significantly altering the price of unlisted financial instruments.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 500 units.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 2638 Civil Code: Hindering public supervisory authorities from performing their functions

“Directors, general managers, financial reporting officers, statutory auditors and liquidators of companies or entities and other persons subject by law to public supervisory authorities or accountable to them, who in communications to the above-mentioned authorities required by law, in order to hinder the exercise of supervisory functions, present material facts that are untrue, even when still being evaluated, about the earnings, financial position and cash flows of the entities subject to supervision or, for the same purpose, hide with other fraudulent means, in whole or in part, facts they should have disclosed on the aforementioned situation, are punishable by imprisonment of one to four years.

Punishment shall extend to cases where the information concerns assets held or managed by the company on behalf of third parties.

[...];

[...]”.

This offence is in response to the need to coordinate and harmonise the cases concerning the numerous hypotheses, existing in the previous regulations, of false communications to the supervisory bodies, of obstructing the performance of the functions, of omitted communications to the same authorities.

According to the legislator, the criminal protection of corporate information is thus completed, in this case in its allocation to sectoral supervisory authorities, in this case in relation to the Bank of Italy. The criminal conduct takes place through the exposure, in the communications to the supervisory authorities envisaged by the law, in order to hinder their functions, of material facts that are not true, even though they are the subject of evaluations, on the economic, equity or financial situation of the subjects subject to supervision, or through the concealment by other fraudulent means, in whole or in part, of facts that should have been communicated concerning the same situation.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 400 units.

For all the types of crime envisaged by Article 25 *ter* of the Decree, if the profit derived by the Company is significant, the pecuniary penalty envisaged is increased by one third.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 ter of Decree 231/2001.

Following the *Gap Analysis*, the areas at risk of offences are as follows:

- Financial Management; preparation of the financial statements, explanatory notes and all other corporate communications required by law;
- Management of relations with the Board of Statutory Auditors;
- Financial and cash flow management, budget preparation; conclusion of purchase contracts;
-
- Management of relations with credit institutions; stipulation of purchase contracts and insurance; financial management, preparation of budgets;
- External Institutional Relations;
- Organisation of training activities and issue of certificates;
- Gifts and entertainment expenses;
- Organisation of events and fairs;
- Market Research;
- Warehouse management and inventory;
- Product replacement and repair.

General rules of conduct.

Doing Area.

All At-Risk Activities must be carried out in compliance with the legal and regulatory provisions in force, with the reference accounting principles, with the principles of *Corporate Governance* of the Company, with the provisions of the Code of Ethics, with the general principles of conduct set out in the General Section of this Model, and with the protocols (and other existing organisational procedures) to protect against the risks/offences identified.

The Recipients of this Special Section must:

- behave correctly, transparently and cooperatively, in compliance with the law and company procedures, in all activities identified as sensitive;
- use cash and company credit cards only with prior authorisation from the relevant department

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

and in compliance with the appropriate limits and procedures;

- use company assets only within the limits permitted by the procedure and subject to prior authorisation as to their intended use and the relevant timescales;
- identify collaborators and suppliers following a careful check on the requirements of professionalism, integrity, honesty and reliability. This verification shall be implemented through at least:
 - self-certification by the potential third party about the requirements;
 - the presentation of the Criminal Record in the cases provided for by law;
- following each stage of negotiation, submit the contract for review, as regards the fairness of terms, conditions and prices, to a person in the administrative area with appropriate legal expertise or, in the case of contracts of particular importance, to the scrutiny of external lawyers;
- in the contractual phase, use written agreements in accordance with contractual *standards* validated by a person within the administration with adequate legal expertise and specifying all the conditions of the agreement itself, especially as regards the economic conditions. These contracts must be defined with reference to the average market prices applied to the acquired service;
- ensure the archiving of contracts under their responsibility;
- periodically monitor users with access to the accounting and order/warehouse systems;
- implement verification of general ledger accounts;
- resort to bank reconciliation;
- verify the processing of information requests;
- taking minutes of meetings with the board of statutory auditors;
- adopt corporate organisational policies that strengthen information flows, especially between supervisory and control bodies.

Area of Not Doing.

In any case, it is **prohibited**:

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- represent or transmit for processing and representation in financial statements, reports and prospectuses or other corporate communications, false, incomplete or, in any case, untrue data on the economic, equity and financial situation of the Company;
- omit data and information required by law;
- illustrate, where necessary, data and information in such a way as to provide a representation that does not correspond to reality;
- engage in illegal or collusive practices or conduct, unlawful payments, favouritism or attempted bribery, direct or third party solicitation of advantages for the Company contrary to the law, regulations or provisions and rules provided for in this Model;
- offer or receive gifts, gratuities or other benefits of any kind, except in special circumstances of an institutional nature, in which any gifts must in any case be symbolic in nature, of insignificant value and such that they cannot in any way be interpreted by an impartial observer as aimed at acquiring advantages;
- make gifts of money or other kind or any form of special treatment to anyone engaged in a business relationship with a third party company, if the ultimate intention is to influence a business decision with the possible exception of occasional or token gifts that are not likely to influence anyone;
- in dealings with third party companies (customers or suppliers), incur unjustified entertainment expenses;
- hire personnel for the sole purpose of securing undue advantages for the Company.

Protocols to protect against the risks of offences pursuant to Article 25 *ter* of the Decree:

- Monetary and financial flows protocol; OP-02
- Supplier selection protocol; OP-03
- Consultant Selection Protocol; OP-04
- Protocol for the use of company assets; OP-07
- Entertainment Expense Protocol; OP-11

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

7. CRIMES COMMITTED FOR THE PURPOSES OF TERRORISM AND SUBVERSION OF THE DEMOCRATIC ORDER

OF THE DEMOCRATIC ORDER.

The relevant offences.

Article 3 of Law no. 7 of 14 January 2003 "Ratification and implementation of the International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism, done in New York on 9 December 1999 and norms for the adaptation of the internal order" has inserted in the *corpus* of the Legislative Decree 231/2001 Article 25 *quater*, according to which the company is subject to responsibility also in the case of commission, in its interest or to its advantage, of crimes with the purpose of terrorism or subversion of the democratic order.

The relevant crimes are:

- Article 270 *bis* Criminal Code: Crimes for the purposes of terrorism, international terrorism or subversion of democracy;
- Article 270 *ter* Criminal Code: Assisting association members;
- Article 270-*quater* – Recruitment for the purposes of terrorism, including international terrorism
- Article 270 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Training for activities with the purpose of terrorism, including international terrorism;
- Article 270 *sexies* Criminal Code: Conduct for the purpose of terrorism;
- Article 280 Criminal Code: Attack for terrorist or subversive purposes;
- Article 280 *bis*: Criminal Code:: Act of terrorism involving deadly devices or explosives;
- Article 289 *bis* Criminal Code: Kidnapping for the purpose of terrorism or subversion;
- Article 302 Criminal Code: Incitement to commit any of the crimes provided for in Chapters I and II;
- Article 1 D.Law 15 December 1979 n. 625: Urgent measures for the protection of democratic order and public safety.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 quater of Decree 231/2001.

This category of offences does not seem to have significant relevance for the purposes of the Compliance Programme, and this also in the light of the fact that the Compliance Programme has been designed: (i) the intentional nature of the offences themselves; ii) the fact that it seems difficult to imagine that the Company could derive any interest or advantage whatsoever from the commission of this type of offence.

The same consideration can be made regarding the crime of mutilation of female genital organs introduced with art. 25 quater 1. Given the specific nature of the crime and the scope of operations of STAR7 S.P.A., it does not appear that this offence can be committed to the advantage of the Company.

8. Practices of mutilation of the female genitals (crimes against life and individual safety)

Article 583-bis Criminal Code

“Anyone who, in the absence of therapeutic reasons, causes mutilation of female genital organs shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of four to twelve years. For the purposes of this article, female genital mutilation practices shall be understood to include clitoridectomy, excision and infibulation and any other practice which causes similar effects.

Anyone who, in the absence of therapeutic reasons and in order to diminish sexual function, causes injury to female genital organs other than those indicated in the first paragraph, which result in illness of the body or mind, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of three to seven years. The penalty is reduced by up to two thirds if the injury is minor.

The penalty is increased by a third when the practices referred to in the first and second paragraphs are committed against a minor or if the offence is committed for profit.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The conviction or application of a penalty on request of the parties pursuant to Article 444 Code of Criminal Procedure for the crime under this article shall, when the offence is committed by a parent or guardian, result respectively in:

- 1) *forfeiture of parental authority;*
- 2) *permanent exclusion from any office relating to protection, guardianship and provision of support.*

The provisions of this article shall also apply when the offence is committed abroad by an Italian citizen or a foreigner residing in Italy, or against an Italian citizen or a foreigner residing in Italy. In such case, the offender is punished at the request of the Minister of Justice.

The financial penalty foreseen by the Decree ranges from 300 to 700 units, while the interdictory sanctions are imposed for a duration of no less than one year.

9. CRIMES AGAINST THE INDIVIDUAL PERSONALITY.

The relevant offences.

Article 25 *quinquies* of the Decree, entitled "*Crimes against the individual*", refers to the following crimes provided for by the Criminal Code:

- Article 600 Criminal Code: Reduction or retention in slavery or servitude;
- Article 600 *bis* Criminal Code: Child prostitution (not relevant);
- Article 600 *ter* Criminal Code: Child pornography
- Article 600 *quater* Criminal Code: Possession of pornographic materials;
- Article 600 *quater.1* Criminal Code: Virtual pornography
- Article 600 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Tourist initiatives aimed at the exploitation of child prostitution;
- Article 601 Criminal Code Trafficking in persons;
- Article 602 Criminal Code Purchase and sale of slaves.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 600 Criminal Code: Reduction or retention in slavery or servitude.

“Anyone who exercises powers over a person corresponding to those of ownership or anyone one forces into or keeps a person in a state of continuous subjection, compelling them to work or provide sexual services or to beg or otherwise perform activities that involve exploitation, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of eight to twenty years.”

Forcing into or keeping in a state of subjugation takes place when the conduct is carried out through violence, threats, deceit, abuse of authority or taking advantage of a situation of physical or psychological inferiority or a situation of need, or by promising or giving sums of money or other advantages to whoever has authority over the person.”

This offence is of a common type in that it can be committed by anyone who engages in the conduct described above. By way of example, this offence may occur when anyone allows or facilitates the enslavement or enslavement of an individual by forcing him/her to work or perform sexual acts, or to beg, or in any case to perform acts that entail his/her exploitation.

The object of the offending conduct is the activities carried out by the Company or its organisational unit, in order to allow or facilitate the reduction of someone to slavery or servitude.

The conduct takes the form of: (i) *Reducing a person into slavery* - this concept is outlined in the Geneva Convention of 25 September 1926 (implemented by Royal Decree 1723/1928), which defines it as the act or condition of an individual over which the attributes of the right of ownership, or some of them, are exercised; (ii) *reduce a person to servitude*: i.e. to reduce, by means of violence, threats or abuse of authority, the victim of the crime to a continuous condition of physical or psychological subjection for the purpose of forcing him/her to work or provide sexual services, or to begging or in any case to services involving exploitation.

The subjective element required for the integration of the case is the *general intent*, understood as consciousness and willingness to reduce someone into slavery or servitude.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 400 to 1000 units.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 600 bis Criminal Code: Child prostitution.

“A penalty of imprisonment for a term of six to twelve years and a fine of €15,000 to €150,000 shall be imposed on anyone who:

- 1) induces or recruits a person under the age of eighteen into prostitution;*
- 2) promotes, uses, manages, organises and controls the prostitution of a person under the age of eighteen, or otherwise profits from this.*

Unless the offence constitutes a more serious crime, any person engaging in sexual activities with a child between the ages of fourteen and eighteen, in exchange for payment in money or other benefits, even only promised, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to six years and a fine of €1,500 to €6,000.”

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 300 to 800 units for the first paragraph, and from 200 to 700 units for the second paragraph.

Article 600 ter Criminal Code: Child pornography.

“A penalty of imprisonment for a term of six to twelve years and a fine of €24,000 to €240,000 shall be imposed on anyone who:

- 1) by using people under the age of eighteen, puts on pornographic performances or shows or produce pornographic material;*
- 2) recruits or induces people under the age of eighteen to participate in pornographic performances or shows or otherwise gains profit from those shows.*

The same penalty is imposed on anyone who sells the pornographic material referred to in the first paragraph.

Anyone, except in the cases mentioned in the first and second paragraphs, who with any means, including by computer, distributes, disseminates, circulates or publicises the pornographic material referred to in the first paragraph, or disseminates news or information aimed at grooming or sexually exploiting people under the age of eighteen, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to five

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

years and a fine of €2,582 to €51,645.

Anyone, except in the cases mentioned in the first, second and third paragraphs, who, either free of charge or for payment, offers or sells to others the pornographic material referred to in the first paragraph, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to three years and a fine of €1,549 to €5,164; In the cases envisaged by the third and fourth paragraphs, the punishment is increased by no more than two thirds when the material is of a large quantity.

Unless the act constitutes a more serious offence, anyone who attends pornographic exhibitions or shows involving minors under the age of eighteen shall be punished by imprisonment of up to three years and a fine of between 1,500 and 6,000 euros

For the purposes of this article, child pornography shall mean any depiction, by any means, of a child under the age of eighteen engaged in real or simulated sexually explicit activities, or any depiction of the sexual organs of a child under the age of eighteen for sexual purposes."

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 300 to 800 units for the first and second paragraphs, and from 200 to 700 units for the third and fourth paragraphs.

Article 600 *quater* Criminal Code: Possession of pornographic materials.

"Anyone, except in the circumstances referred to in Article 600-ter Criminal Code, who knowingly procures or possesses pornographic material produced using persons under the age of eighteen shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to three years or a fine of not less than €1,549,00.

The penalty is increased by an amount of no more than two thirds when the quantity of the material possessed is significant.

This offence is of a common type in that it can be committed by anyone who engages in the conduct described above.

The object of the offending conduct is the activities carried out by the Company or one of its organisational units in order to allow or facilitate its customers in obtaining and possessing pornographic material.

The conduct takes the form of: *(i) Procuring pornographic material produced through the sexual exploitation of minors:* Procuring involves conduct that results in the acquisition of the material

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

availability of the pornographic product; is pornographic material all that consists of representations and depictions pertaining to the sexual sphere, such as carnal conjugations, acts of lust, erotic gestures, etc.; exploitation consists in taking advantage of those who trade in one's own body, receiving the profits; (ii) *possess the same material*: To possess means to be in the position of having the availability of the pornographic material.

The subjective element consists in the general intent, understood as consciousness and will of the typical fact provided for by the incriminating regulation.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 700 units.

Article 600 *quater*.1 Criminal Code: Virtual pornography.

"The provisions of Articles 600-ter and 600-quater also apply when the pornographic material shows virtual images created by using images of persons under the age of eighteen or parts of them, but the penalty is reduced by one third.

Virtual images are defined as images created using graphic processing techniques not associated with in whole or in part to real situations, whose quality of representation makes situations that are not real appear to be true".

This offence is of abstract danger, because the production and diffusion of such material are such as to encourage deviant behaviours, which can, in turn, give rise to further conducts detrimental to the final legal asset of the psychophysical integrity of the child. The object of the criminally relevant conduct, in this specific case, are the activities carried out by the Company or one of its organisational units, in order to allow or facilitate its customers to perpetrate, disseminate and possess virtual pornographic material. The conduct takes the form of: (i) *perpetrating the crimes of child pornography (600 ter) and possession of pornographic material (600 quater)*, using images of minors or parts thereof, including virtual ones, with the aid of graphic techniques and telematic means of communication.

The subjective element is the general intent, understood as consciousness and will of the typical fact provided for by the incriminating norm.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 700 units.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 600 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Tourist initiatives aimed at the exploitation of child prostitution.

“Anyone who organises or promotes travel, for the use of prostitution affecting children or is involved in such activities shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of six to twelve years and a fine of €15,493 and €154,937.”

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 300 to 800 units.

Article 601 Criminal Code: Trafficking in persons.

“It is punished with imprisonment from eight to twenty years whoever recruits, introduces in the territory of the State, transfers outside it, transports, gives authority over the person, hosts one or more persons who are in the conditions referred to in Article 600, or, performs the same conduct on one or more persons, through deception, violence, threat, abuse of authority or taking advantage of a situation of vulnerability, physical, mental or need inferiority, or through the promise or giving of money or other benefits to the person who has authority over them, in order to induce or force them to work, sexually or begging or otherwise to engage in illegal activities that involve the exploitation or to submit to the removal of organs.

The same penalty applies to anyone who, even outside the procedures referred to in the first paragraph, carries out the conduct therein envisaged towards a minor.”

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 400 to 1000 units.

Article 602 Criminal Code: Purchase and sale of slaves.

“Anyone, except in the cases specified in Article 601, who purchases or sells or assigns a person who is in one of the conditions identified in Article 600 shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of eight to twenty years.”

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 400 to 1000 units.

Article 609 *undecies* Criminal Code: Grooming of minors.

“Anyone who, in order to commit the crimes referred to in Articles 600, 600-bis, 600-ter and 600-quater, even when related to the pornographic material referred to in Article 600-quater 1, 600-quinquies, 609-bis, 609-quater, 609-quinquies and 609-octies, grooms a child under the age of sixteen shall be liable, if the offence does not constitute a more serious crime, to imprisonment for a term of one to three years. Grooming shall mean any act intended to gain the trust of the child through deceit, flattery or threats also made through the use of the internet or other networks or means of communication”.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 700 units.

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 quinquies of Decree 231/2001.

From the *Gap Analysis* carried out, the areas at risk are as follows:

- Vendor selection and management;
- Personnel Selection and Management.

General rules of conduct.

Area of Making.

All At-Risk Activities must be carried out in compliance with legal and regulatory provisions, with the principles of *Corporate Governance* of the Company, with the provisions of the Code of Ethics, with the general principles of conduct set out in the General Section of this Model, as well as with the protocols designed to protect against the risks/offences identified.

In compliance with the law in force, the Company undertakes to ensure full collaboration with the competent authorities in preventing, combating and repressing criminal phenomena to the detriment of minors and in particular in the fight against the exploitation of prostitution, pornography and sexual tourism to the detriment of minors, carried out through the use of the telematic network or in other

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

ways.

The Recipients of this Special Section must:

- ascertain that suppliers use labor in compliance with current social security regulations, including through verification of DURC and the certifications in their possession;
- process all requests from public safety authorities promptly, fairly and in good faith;
- in general, maintain a fair, courteous and helpful demeanor toward public safety authorities in any situation.

Area of Not Doing.

It is prohibited to:

- carry out, collaborate in or cause the carrying out of behaviours such as to integrate, individually or collectively, directly or indirectly, the types of offences provided for by article 25-quinquies of the Decree;
- violate the principles set forth in the Code of Ethics and the operating protocols referred to in this Special Section;
- use, even occasionally, the Company or one of its organisational units or the physical premises of the Company itself for the purpose of enabling or facilitating the commission of the offences set out in Article 25- *quinquies* of the Decree.

Protocols to protect against the risks of crime *exart. 25-quinquies* of the Decree.

- Supplier selection protocol; OP-03
- Consultant Selection Protocol; OP-04
- Personnel Selection Protocol; OP-05
- Translation management protocol; OP-09

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

10. MARKET ABUSE.

EU Law no. 62/2005 of 18 April 2005, implementing Directive 2003/6/EC, introduced into the Consolidated Finance Act Title I *bis* on the abuse of privileged information and market manipulation and at the same time included article 25 *sexies* in the Decree, expressly providing for the administrative liability of companies in the event of violation of the rules set out to protect the market.

Relevant offenses for this purpose are:

- Article 184 TUF: Insider Trading;
- Article 185 TUF: Market Manipulation.

Article 184 TUF: Insider Trading

“Imprisonment ranging from two to twelve years and a fine ranging from 20,000 to 3,000,000 euros can be imposed on any person who, being in possession of inside information by virtue of membership of an administrative, management or supervisory body of the issuer, a holding in the share capital of the issuer, or via the performance of a professional activity or role, including a public function or office:

- buys, sells or undertakes other transactions, directly or indirectly, for him/herself or on behalf of a third party, in financial instruments using such information;*
- discloses this information to others outside their normal work activities, profession, duties or position;*
- recommends or induces others, on the basis of such recommendations or inducements, to carry out any of the transactions referred to in letter a).*

1. *The same punishment as in paragraph 1 shall apply to anyone who, being in possession of inside information*

because of the preparation or execution of criminal activities, carries out any of the actions referred to in the same paragraph 1.

2. *The court may increase the fine up to three times or, if greater, up to the amount of ten times the product or profit gained from the crime when, owing to the seriousness of the crime, the personal*

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

situation of the offender or the amount of the proceeds or profit obtained through the crime, the original fine seems inadequate even if the maximum is applied.

1 bis. For transactions on the financial instruments referred to in Article 180, paragraph 1, letter a), number 2), the criminal penalty is a fine of up to €103,291 and imprisonment for a term of up to three years.

3. *For the purposes of this article, financial instruments also include the financial instruments referred to in Article 1, paragraph 2, the value of which depends on a financial instrument referred to in Article 180, paragraph 1, letter a)."*

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 400 to 1000 units.

If the product or profit is significant, the penalty is increased up to 10 times that product or profit.

ARTICLE 185 TUF: Market manipulation.

"Anyone who disseminates false information or performs simulated transactions or other artifices likely to cause a significant change in the price of financial instruments shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of between two and twelve years and a fine of between twenty thousand euro and five million euro. The court may increase the fine up to three times or, if greater, up to the amount of ten times the product or profit gained from the crime when, owing to the seriousness of the crime, the personal situation of the offender or the amount of the proceeds or profit obtained through the crime, the original fine seems inadequate even if the maximum is applied.

For transactions on the financial instruments referred to in Article 180, paragraph 1, letter a, number 2, the criminal penalty is a fine of up to €103,291 and imprisonment for a term of up to three years.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 400 to 1000 units.

If the product or profit is significant, the penalty is increased up to 10 times that product or profit.

Both cases are characterized by the need to protect the market.

In the first case, note that this must be information of a precise nature, which has not been made public,

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

relating, directly or indirectly, to one or more issuers of financial instruments or one or more financial instruments, which, if made public, would be likely to have a significant effect on the prices of those financial instruments.

Inside information no longer includes, as in the past, only facts occurring in the sphere of activity of the issuers, but all information of a precise nature concerning the issuers themselves or the financial instruments, which, if made public, would be capable of significantly influencing the price of such instruments.

A piece of information is of precise character if:

- refers to a set of circumstances that exists or can reasonably be expected to occur or an event that has occurred or can reasonably be expected to occur;
- is sufficiently specific to enable conclusions to be drawn as to the possible effect of the set of circumstances or event referred to in point a) on the prices of the Financial Instruments.

Information which, if made public, would be likely to have a significant effect on the prices of financial instruments means information which a reasonable investor would be likely to use as one of the elements he/she bases an investment decision on.

In the case of market manipulation there is a significant analogy with what has been said in the analysis of the crime of market rigging, in terms of typical conduct.

In order to carry out this offence, it is necessary to spread false information or to carry out simulated transactions or other artifices, which are concretely capable of causing a significant alteration in the price of listed financial instruments.

It should be noted that:

- by news is meant a sufficiently precise indication of factual circumstances, as simple *rumors*, *rumors* and subjective forecasts are not sufficient. The news is false when, by creating a false representation of reality, it is such as to mislead the operators by determining a non-regular rise or fall in prices;
- the extreme of disclosure does not apply when the information has not been disseminated or made public
- public, but are directed to only a few people;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- to the simulated operations go brought back is the operations that the parts have not in some way intended to realize, is the operations that introduce a different appearance from those effectively wanted;
- in order for the offence to be committed, it is sufficient that the information or artifice is capable of producing the effect of significantly altering the price of listed financial instruments;
- other artifices are defined as "any conduct which, by means of deception, is capable of altering the normal course of prices".

Since the behaviours that may give rise to the present case are common to and similar to those involved in the crime of market rigging, the identification of the At-Risk Activities that the Company may carry out in the conduct typical of this crime is carried out at the same time as the crimes contemplated in this Special Section. It should be remembered that in any case the offence of market rigging applies only to unlisted financial instruments and can also refer to the shares of the Company itself.

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 sexies of Decree 231/2001.

Given that STAR7 S.P.A. is not listed on regulated markets, the offences in question cannot be committed within STAR7 S.P.A., and therefore the Company does not have protocols to protect against the risk of offences *pursuant to* Article 25 sexies of the decree.

11. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY.

The relevant offences.

Article 25 septies of the Decree, entitled "*Manslaughter or serious or very serious injuries committed in violation of the regulations on the protection of health and safety at work*" was introduced by Law no. 123 of 3 August 2007 and subsequently amended by Article 300 of Legislative Decree no. 81 of 9 April 2008.

Law no. 123/07 introduced, among the offences that may give rise to the responsibility of the company, manslaughter and serious or very serious culpable lesions committed in violation of the regulations

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

governing the health and safety of workers.

It should be noted that the crimes mentioned are culpable in nature, unlike all the other crimes included in the Decree, which are exclusively culpable in nature.

Legislative Decree no. 81 of 9 April 2008, commonly known as the **Consolidated Workplace Safety Act**, has innovated the previous version of Article 25 *septies*, and has graded the pecuniary and interdictory sanctions applicable to the entity depending on the type of crime committed and has also defined, in Article 30, the methods for setting up and implementing an Compliance Programme suitable for exempting entities from administrative - and *therefore* criminal - liability, with regard to the subject of Workplace Safety.

The crimes to which Article 25 *septies* expressly refers are contained in Chapter I of Title XII of Book II of the Criminal Code, or are part of the "*Crimes against life and individual safety*".

They are:

- Article 589 Criminal Code Manslaughter;
- Article 590 Criminal Code Personal injury through negligence.

Article 589 Criminal Code: Manslaughter.

Anyone who causes the death of a person through negligence shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of six months to five years. If the fact is committed in violation of the laws governing driving on the public highway or the protection of health and safety at work, the penalty is for imprisonment for a period of between two and seven years.

The punishment of imprisonment from three to ten years shall apply if the act is committed in violation of the rules on road traffic regulations by:

- 1) *subject in a state of alcoholic drunkenness pursuant to article 186, paragraph 2, letter c), of Legislative Decree 285 of 30 April 1992, and subsequent amendments;*
- 2) *subject under the influence of drugs or psychotropic substances.*

In the event of the death of several people, or the death of one or more people and the injury of one or more people, the penalty imposed is the penalty for the most serious offence committed increased by up to three times, however the penalty cannot exceed fifteen years."

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Manslaughter is an event crime that is consummated at the time and place of the death of the offender. The subjective element required is general negligence, to be understood as negligence, imprudence and inexperience.

With regard to the aggravating circumstance envisaged by paragraph 2, namely the commission of the crime through the violation of accident prevention regulations, the Court of Cassation specified that, from the point of view of guilt, it exists not only when the **violation of** specific regulations for the prevention of accidents at work is contested (so-called *specific guilt*), but also when the dispute concerns **the omission to** adopt measures or precautions for the more effective protection of the physical integrity of workers, in violation of Article 2087 of the Italian Civil Code. Therefore, this obligation placed on the entrepreneur is also included among the accident prevention regulations, even though it has an "abstract and cautionary" value.

Finally, the jurisprudence of legitimacy agrees that the responsibility of the employer is to be excluded only in case of **abnormal behavior** of the worker, understood as the *imprudence realized outside of his duties, therefore of the predictability by the employer, but also the one that, although falling within the duties entrusted to him, results in a behavior ontologically far from the foreseeable imprudence of the worker in the execution of the work* (so Cass 5 February 1997, n. 952).

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 250 to 500 units and the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

Article 590 Criminal Code: Personal injury through negligence.

"Anyone who causes personal injury to others through negligence, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to three months or a fine of up to €390.

If the injury is serious, the penalty is imprisonment from one to six months or a fine of 123 euros to 619 euros; if extremely serious, imprisonment from three months to two years or a fine ranging from 309 to 1239 euros.

If the facts referred to in the second paragraph are committed in violation of the regulations governing road traffic and those for the prevention of accidents at work, the penalty for serious injuries is

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

imprisonment from three months to one year or a fine from 500 to 2000 euros, and the penalty for very serious injuries is from one to three years. In cases of violation of road traffic regulations, if the fact is committed by a person in a state of alcoholic euphoria pursuant to art. 186, paragraph 2, letter C), of Legislative Decree 285 of 30 April 1992, and subsequent amendments, or by a person under the influence of narcotic or psychotropic substances, the penalty for serious injuries is imprisonment from six months to two years and the penalty for very serious injuries is imprisonment from one year and six months to four years.

If several people are injured, the penalty for the most serious offence committed is applied increased by up to three times; however the penalty of imprisonment cannot exceed five years.

The crime is punishable on complaint by the injured party, except in the cases provided for in the first and second paragraph, limited to acts committed in violation of the rules for the prevention of accidents at work or related to occupational hygiene or which have resulted in an occupational disease."

The definition of **injury** is contained in Article 582 of the Criminal Code, entitled "*Malicious personal injury*": there is injury whenever the agent produces in the passive subject of the crime a **disease**, i.e. *any anatomical or functional alteration of the body, even if localized and not affecting the general organic conditions*. Article 590 of the Criminal Code, in regulating the culpable case of injury, refers to the same concept. The crime is instantaneous with an event of damage (thus Supreme Court of Cassation no. 6511 of 2 June 2006) and is consummated with the occurrence of the injury, although the effects may be permanent. The subjective element of the crime is generic negligence, or, as in the case of manslaughter, specific negligence when it derives from the violation of accident prevention regulations or road traffic regulations.

Culpable **personal injury** can be:

- **mild**: if it results in an illness or inability to attend to ordinary occupations that does not exceed 40 days;
- **serious** (art. 583 paragraph 1 c.p) :

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- a) if the fact results in an illness that endangers the life of the offended person, or an illness or inability to attend to ordinary occupations for a period exceeding 40 days;
- b) if it results in the permanent impairment of a sense or organ
- **very serious** (Article 583, paragraph 2 of the Italian Criminal Code):
 - a) whether a *disease* certainly or probably incurable results from the fact;
 - b) whether *loss of meaning* results from the act;
 - c) if the fact results in *the loss of a limb*, a mutilation that makes it useless, the loss of the use of an organ or the ability to procreate or a permanent and serious speech impediment
 - d) *deformation or permanent disfigurement of the face*.

It should be pointed out that the injuries that are relevant for the configurability of a liability of the body are those that are serious or very serious.

The offence can be prosecuted on **complaint** by the injured party, except in the case where the offence has been committed in violation of accident prevention regulations, a case, moreover, relevant for the purposes of Legislative Decree no. 231/01.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 250 units and the interdictory sanction ranges from 3 to 6 months.

At-Risk Activities ex Article 25 septies of the Decree.

The Company has already prepared a Risk Assessment Document (DVR), where all the dangers actually applicable in terms of safety at work are located, suitably codified.

The DVR must be constantly updated, in relation to new and possible prevention requirements, and this Programme constitutes a further safeguard.

As a further system of supervision and control in the field of safety, the legal representative and employer shall appoint a Prevention and Protection Service Manager.

General rules of conduct.

The Compliance Programme is not intended to replace the legal prerogatives and responsibilities of the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

subjects identified by the Legislative Decree 81/08 and by the regulations further applicable in the specific cases.

On the other hand, it constitutes a further control and verification of the existence, efficacy and adequacy of the structure and organisation set up in compliance with the special regulations in force concerning accident prevention and the protection of health and safety in the workplace.

Area of Doing.

All work activities must be carried out in compliance with the laws and regulations in force, with the principles of *Corporate Governance* of the Company, with the rules of the Code of Ethics, with the general principles of conduct set out in the General Section of this Model. In compliance with current legislation, the Company undertakes to:

- adopt a specific Compliance Programme to prevent the risk of offences relating to hygiene, health and safety at work;
- allocate an adequate *budget* for staff training;
- verify that staff training is being conducted;
- properly bring the DVR to the attention of employees.

Area of Not Doing.

It is prohibited to:

- behave in a manner that is dangerous to their own health and safety and that of others;
- failing to report near misses or concealing facts and events whose occurrence has posed a potential danger to the health and safety of workers;
- ask workers to return to work in a work situation where a serious and immediate hazard persists, such as an earthquake event or a fire;
- remove or modify safety or warning or control devices without authorization;
- underestimating expense items with an impact on occupational health and safety,

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Protocols to protect against risk-offences:

- Protocol Management Financial Flows PO - 02;
- Health and Safety Compliance Protocol; OP-10.

12. PROPERTY CRIMES BY FRAUD.

The relevant offences.

Legislative Decree no. 231 of 21 November 2007 relating to the implementation of the third **Anti-Money Laundering Directive** has introduced into Decree 231/01 (article 25 *octies*) some crimes against property by means of fraud, and in particular:

- Article 648 Criminal Code: Receiving money, goods or assets of unlawful origin;
- Article 648 *bis* Criminal Code: Money laundering;
- Article 648 *ter* Criminal Code: Use of money, goods or assets of unlawful origin;
- Article 648 *ter.1* Criminal Code: Self-laundering.

In addition, Law No. 186 of 15 December 2014, entitled "*Provisions on the emersion and return of capital held abroad as well as for the strengthening of the fight against tax evasion. Provisions regarding self-laundering*", introduced into the Criminal Code Article 648 *ter.1*, entitled "self-laundering", as well as amending Article 25 *octies* of Legislative Decree no. 231 of 2001 by including the newly minted case in the catalog of crimes 231.

Article 648 Criminal Code: Receiving money, goods or assets of unlawful origin

"Other than in cases of complicity in the crime, anyone who, in order to gain a profit for themselves or for others, obtains, receives or hides money or property deriving from any crime, or is otherwise involved in having them obtained, received or hidden, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of two to eight years and a fine of €516 to €10329.

If the offence is particularly minor, the penalty is imprisonment for a term of up to six years and a fine of

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

up to €516.

The provisions of this article are also applied when the author of the crime, from which the money or things come, cannot be charged or is not punishable, or when a condition for prosecution is lacking".

In order for the crime of receiving stolen goods to be committed, it is necessary that another crime has been committed, the so-called *predicate crime*, in which the agent, however, must not have participated.

The criminally relevant conduct consists in acquiring, receiving or concealing money or things that come from another crime or in carrying out an activity aimed at acquiring, receiving or concealing them from other parties.

The crime is committed when the agent carries out one of the above listed behaviours without, in the case where the agent intervenes to make another person purchase or receive or conceal money or things deriving from a crime, the intrusion has been concretely finalised.

The subjective element necessary to integrate the incriminating case is the specific intent, understood as the consciousness and will to carry out the criminally relevant conduct with the awareness of the origin of the thing or money from crime and from the purpose of procuring profit for oneself or others.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 800 units. If the money, goods or other benefits originate from a crime for which the penalty is imprisonment for a term of more than 5 years, then the financial penalty of 400 to 1000 units is applied. The disqualification sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

Article 648 bis Criminal Code: Money laundering.

"Other than in cases of complicity in the crime, anyone who substitutes or transfers money, goods or other benefits from an intentional crime, or carries out other transactions in relation to them, in order to prevent the identification of their criminal origin, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of four to twelve years and a fine of €1032 to €15493.

The penalty is increased when the offence is committed while performing a professional activity.

The penalty is reduced if the money, goods or other benefits originate from a crime for which the penalty is imprisonment for a term of less than a maximum of five years.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

As in the case of the offence previously analysed, in order for the offence of money laundering to be committed, there must be a so-called predicate offence, which can only be a non-culpable offence in which the agent has not participated in any way.

This is a common offence that protects assets and the economic order through the punishment of behaviours aimed at disturbing free competition in the market.

Criminal conduct may consist of: (i) in replacing, transferring money, goods or other utilities deriving from a non-culpable offence; (ii) in carrying out operations aimed at hindering the identification of the criminal origin of the money, thing or other utility.

The offence is committed by replacing, transferring or carrying out operations aimed at hindering the identification of the illegal origin of the money, goods or other utilities.

The subjective element is the generic intent, understood as consciousness and will in carrying out the described conduct with the awareness or, at least, accepting the risk (possible intent) that the object of the crime comes from crime.

Finally, it should be remembered that, if the criminally relevant conduct is carried out in the exercise of a professional activity, the penalty is increased.

On the other hand, a reduction in the penalty is provided for by law when the object of the offence comes from a crime punishable with a penalty of less than five years at the most.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 800 units. If the money, goods or other benefits originate from a crime for which the penalty is imprisonment for a term of more than 5 years, then the financial penalty of 400 to 1000 units is applied. The disqualification sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

Article 648 ter Criminal Code: Use of money, goods or benefits of unlawful origin

“Anyone who, other than in cases of complicity in the crime and the cases envisaged in Articles 648 and 648-bis Criminal Code, uses money, goods or other property resulting from crime in economic or financial activities, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of four to twelve years and a fine of €1032 to €15,493.

The penalty is increased when the offence is committed while performing a professional activity.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The penalty is reduced in the circumstance contemplated in the second paragraph of Article 648.

The final paragraph of Article 648 applies.”

Given the subsidiarity clause at the *beginning of the* regulation, the offence is committed when the offences of receiving stolen goods and money laundering are not relevant, as well as outside the hypotheses of complicity in these offences. The criminally relevant conduct is the use, also understood as investment, of illegal proceeds in economic-financial activities. It is not necessary that a profit be obtained from the illegal conduct for the crime to be committed.

The subjective element required by the regulation is the generic intent, which is to be understood as awareness on the part of the agent to use money, goods or other utilities that come from crime.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 800 units. If the money, goods or other benefits originate from a crime for which the penalty is imprisonment for a term of more than 5 years, then the financial penalty of 400 to 1000 units is applied. The disqualification sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

Article 648 ter.1 Criminal Code: Self-laundering.

“The penalty of imprisonment for a term of two to eight years and a fine of €5,000 to €25,000 is imposed on anyone who, having committed or participated in committing an intentional crime, employs, replaces, transfers money, goods or other benefits from the commission of this crime into economic, financial, business or speculative activities, in order to prevent the identification of their criminal origin.

The penalty is imprisonment for a term of one to four years and a fine of €2,500 to €12,500 if the money, goods or other benefits originate from the commission of an intentional crime punishable by imprisonment for a term lower than the maximum of five years.

The penalties established in the first paragraph to apply however if the money, goods or other benefits originate from a crime committed in the conditions or for the purposes envisaged in Article 7 of Decree Law 152 of 13 May 1991 ratified with amendments by Law 203 of 12 July 1991, as amended.

Other than in the cases referred to in the paragraphs above, conduct where the money, goods or other benefits are aimed merely for personal use or enjoyment is not punishable.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The penalty is increased when the offences are committed in performing a banking or financial activity or other professional activity.

The penalty is reduced by up to half for those who have effectively acted to prevent the conduct from resulting in further consequences or to secure evidence of the offence and the identification of goods, money and other benefits from the crime.

The final paragraph of Article 648 applies.”

With respect to the crime of money laundering, the new incriminatory case punishes whoever, having committed or participated in the commission of a non-culpable crime, replaces, transfers or uses in economic or financial activities, as well as entrepreneurial or speculative activities, money, goods or other utilities deriving from the commission of such crime, in such a way as to concretely hinder the identification of their criminal origin.

Therefore, the active subject is the person who has committed the so-called predicate offence whose proceeds flow into the new offence. It is therefore a crime of its own.

The new offence of self-laundering may include all offences that can concretely provide the perpetrator with a supply of money or other goods or utilities: for example, corruption, tax evasion and any tax offence, appropriation of corporate assets, embezzlement, false corporate communications, offences against public faith, bribery among private individuals, as well as self-laundering.

The use of money, property or other benefits for personal purposes is not punishable.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 800 units. If the money, goods or other benefits originate from a crime for which the penalty is imprisonment for a term of more than 5 years, then the financial penalty of 400 to 1000 units is applied. The disqualification sanction ranges from 3 to 24 months.

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25-octies of Decree 231/01.

The *Gap Analysis* carried out reveals the following areas of risk of offences:

- cash flow management;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- management of procedures for the purchase of goods or services;
- conclusion and execution of contracts;
- management of cash accounting operations;
- application of discounts;
- receipt of cash in excess of the limits established by law.

General rules of conduct.

Area of Doing.

In order to minimize the likelihood of the occurrence of the aforementioned crimes, STAR7 S.P.A. conforms its activities to the following general principles, imposing the **obligation on anyone** working in/for/with the Company to

- Verify the commercial and professional reliability of suppliers and customers;
- Verify compliance with internal procedures for evaluating bidders and offers, and ensure that the decision-making chain can be reconstructed;
- Verify that cash receipts are supported by a previously authorized order and/or contract;
- Ensure the traceability of the stages of the decision-making process relating to financial and corporate relationships with third parties;
- Maintain documentation to support business operations;
- Retain for each gift made the written justification;
- Ensure that financial movements are carried out through authorized financial intermediaries;
- Do not accept goods, services, or other benefits for which there is not a properly authorized order/contract;
- Avoid, except as expressly exempted by law, payments or receipts in cash in excess of legal limits.

Area of Not Doing.

Either way, it is **FORBIDDEN**:

- Maintain relationships with individuals who are known or suspected to belong to criminal organisations or otherwise operate outside the law;
- Use anonymous instruments to carry out transfer transactions of significant amounts;
- Make sales on credit;
- Giving gifts of goods with a value greater than €100.00 that are outside the normal courtesy or promotional activities.

In the implementation of these behavioral principles, STAR7 S.P.A. adopts the following organisational criteria:

Supplier Relations.

- There must be no identity between the person requesting the supply, the person certifying the delivery, and the person making the payment;
- The technical and economic criteria and the procedure adopted for the selection of potential suppliers must always be respected;
- An appropriate selective activity and objective comparison of the offers must be carried out, in compliance with the specific procedure adopted;
- The entire vendor selection process, including contracting, must be properly formalized, recorded, and retained.

Relationships with Consultants and Collaborators.

- There must be no identity of parties, within the Company, between those who request the consultancy and/or collaboration, those who authorize it, those who control its implementation and outcome and those who perform the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- payment;
- Consultants and collaborators must be chosen on the basis of precise requirements of honorability, professionalism and competence, in relation to their reputation and reliability, specifically screened through the stages of the specific procedure adopted;
- All contracts with consultants and contractors must be set forth in writing in all their terms and conditions;
- The remuneration of consultants and collaborators must be adequately justified in the assignment conferred and must be congruous, in consideration of existing market practices and/or current rates;
- No payments to consultants and associates may be made uncontested;
- It is prohibited to engage consultants and associates for any activity that is not covered by the consulting agreement.

Protocols to protect against the risk of crime:

Monetary and financial flows protocol; OP-02

Supplier selection protocol; OP-03

Consultant Selection Protocol; OP-04.

13. COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AND RELATED CRIMES

Article 25 *nonies* of the Decree was introduced by Law no. 99 of 23 July 2009, in order to protect the moral right and the economic use of the author of an intellectual work of a creative nature (literary works, music, figurative arts, cinema, photography, theatre, as well as software and databases).

The relevant offences are:

- Article 171 Law 633/1941;
- Article 171 *bis* Law 633/1941;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- Article 171 *ter* Law 633/1941;
- Article 171 *septies* Law 633/1941;
- Article 171 *octies* Law 633/1941.

Article 171 Law 633/1941.

Only the parts of Article 171 of Law 633/1941 referred to herein are mentioned, therefore all the other conduct described in the provision is not included in the list of predicate offences.

"Without prejudice to the provisions of Article 171 bis and Article 171 ter, a fine ranging from €51.00 to €2,065.00 shall be imposed on anyone who, without having the right to do so, for any purpose and in any form:

[-];

a-bis) makes protected intellectual property or a part thereof, available to the general public without authorisation, for whatever purpose;

b) [...];

c) [...];

d) [...]

e) [...]

f) [...].

[...]

The penalty is imprisonment for a term of up to 516,00 year or a fine of not less than €516 if the crimes mentioned above are committed on someone else's work not intended for publication, or by falsely claiming authorship, or by deforming, mutilating or otherwise altering the work in question, when such actions harm the author's integrity or reputation.

[...]”.

The violation of Article 171 takes place when someone makes a protected intellectual work, or part of it, available to the public by introducing it into a system of telematic networks through connections of any

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

kind.

An aggravating circumstance is the fact that the aforesaid conduct concerns other people's works not intended for publication, or is carried out with usurpation of the authorship of the work or with modifications such as to offend the honour or reputation of the author.

The financial penalty foreseen by the Decree is applicable up to 500 units while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

Article 171 bisl. 633/1941.

"Whoever unlawfully duplicates, in order to gain profit from it, computer programs or for the same purposes imports, distributes, sells, holds for commercial or entrepreneurial purposes or leases programs contained in supports not marked by the Italian Society of Authors and Publishers (SIAE), is subject to imprisonment from six months to three years and a fine ranging from €2,582.00 to €15,493.00. The same penalty shall apply if the offence involves any means solely intended to allow or facilitate the unauthorised removal or functional avoidance of devices protecting computer software. If the offence is particularly serious, the penalty is not less than the imprisonment for a minimum term of due years and a fine of €15,493.00.

Whoever, in order to gain profit, on media not marked SIAE, reproduces, transfers to another medium, distributes, communicates, presents or demonstrates in public the contents of a database in violation of the provisions of art. 64 quinquies and 64 sexies, or extracts or reuses the database in violation of the provisions of art. 102 bis and 102 ter, or distributes, sells or leases a database, is subject to the penalty of imprisonment from six months to three years and a fine ranging from 2,582 to 15,493 euros. The penalty is not less than a minimum of two years' imprisonment and a fine of 15,493 euros if the act is of significant gravity".

This offence occurs when conduct is carried out for the purpose of illegally duplicating, importing, distributing, selling, leasing, disseminating/transmitting to the public, possessing for commercial purposes - or in any case for gaining profit from it - computer programs and contents of protected databases.

The conduct of unauthorized duplication, as provided for by article 171-bis, paragraph 1, of computer

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

programs is integrated when proof is obtained that the duplicated programs have been illicitly acquired by the person who sold them and that the programs were not acquired through free software distribution channels.

Some examples of such conduct are provided below:

- making an identical copy of the program, including any variations introduced for the sole purpose of concealing the plagiarism;
- duplication of a single part of the program, provided that it is a part with its own functional autonomy and, in any case, constituting the core of the program itself;
- use of the program itself in order to create, through modifications and developments, a different computer product;
- "downloading" copyrighted works to an FTP "server" and, from there, to other users' computers.

With reference to the second type of conduct envisaged by Article 171-bis, paragraph 2, it has been affirmed that the same cannot be included in the ordinary exercise of the activities of querying programs for private use carried out by users who are authorized to do so and on the assumption of normal management of the database. It operates on the condition that the management limits of the collection are exceeded or damage is caused to the breeder, as happens for example in the case of extraction and reuse for commercial use and aimed at competing unfairly with the breeder's product.

In addition, there is a "*fumus*" in the crime provided for and punished by Article 171 *bis* when the installed programs are unlicensed, assuming the purpose of profit from the abusive duplication of the same.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree amounts to up to 500 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

Article 171 *terl.* 633/1941.

"It is punishable, if the fact is committed for non-personal use, with imprisonment from six months to three years and a fine ranging from €2,582.00 to €15,493.00 for anyone who makes a profit:

- a) *unlawfully duplicates, reproduces, transmits or broadcasts in public by any means, in whole or in part, intellectual property intended for television, cinema use, the sale or rental of records, tapes or*

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

similar media or any other media containing sounds or images from musical works, films or similar audiovisual works or sequences of moving images;

b) unlawfully reproduces, transmits or publicly disseminates, by any means, all or part of literal, theatrical, scientific, educational, musical, theatrical-musical multimedia works, including those contained in collective or composite works or databanks;

c) although not having taken part in the duplication or reproduction, introduces into the territory of the State, holds for sale or distribution, distributes, puts on the market, rents or, in any case, disposes of for any reason, projects in public, transmits via television by any means, broadcasts by radio, plays in public the illegal duplications or reproductions referred to in subparagraphs (a) and (b);

d) holds for sale or distribution, markets, sells, rents, assigns for any reason, projects in public, transmits by radio or television by any process, videotapes, music tapes,

any medium containing phonograms or videograms of musical, cinematographic or audiovisual works or sequences of moving images, or any other medium for which, pursuant to this law, the affixing of a mark by the Italian Authors' and Publishers' Society (S.I.A.E.) is prescribed, without the said mark or with a counterfeit or altered mark;

e) re-transmits or broadcasts by any means an encrypted service received by means of devices designed to decode data transmissions with conditional access, without approval from the lawful distributor

f) imports into Italy, holds for sale or distribution, distributes, sells, rents, transfers under any title, markets, and installs special decoding devices or components to access an encrypted service without paying the required fee.

f bis) manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, rents, transfers under any title, advertises for sale or rental, or possesses for commercial purposes, equipment, products or components or provides services whose primary purpose for commercial use is to bypass the technological protective measures referred to in Article 102-quater or is mainly designed, manufactured, adapted or built to allow or facilitate the bypassing of those measures. The technological measures also include those applied, or that remain after the voluntarily removal of the those measures by the holders of the rights or as a result of agreements between users and beneficiaries of exceptions, or due to the enforcement of decisions of the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

administrative or judicial authorities;

g) unlawfully removes or alters the electronic information referred to in Article 102-quinquies, or distributes, imports for distribution, broadcasts by radio or television, communicates or makes available to the public, works or other protected materials from which the electronic information has been removed or altered.

Punishment shall be imprisonment for a term of between one and four years and a fine of between €2,582.00 and €15,493.00 for anyone who:

a) unlawfully reproduces, duplicates, transmits or broadcasts, sells or markets, transfers under any title, or unlawfully imports, more than fifty copies or originals of works protected by copyright and related rights;

a bis) in breach of Article 16, publishes for profit in a system of online networks, by any means, intellectual works, or parts thereof, protected by copyright;

b) in carrying out the reproduction, distribution, sale or marketing, or import of works protected by copyright and related rights as a business activity, is guilty of the offences envisaged in paragraph 1;

c) promotes or organises the unlawful activities envisaged in paragraph 1.

1. The sanctions are reduced if the offence is not very serious.

2. Conviction for one of the crimes envisaged under paragraph 1 results in:

a) application of the ancillary penalties set out under Articles 30 and 32-bis Criminal Code;

b) the publication of the judgment in one or more daily newspapers, at least one of which has national circulation, and in one or more specialized periodicals;

c) suspension of the radio television broadcasting licence or authorisation to engage in the production or commercial activity for a period of one year.

The amounts arising from the imposition of the financial penalties set forth in the paragraphs above are paid to the National Social Security and Welfare Institute for painters and sculptors, musicians, writers and playwrights.

The crime in question is committed when, for the purpose of making a profit, there is conduct aimed at illegally duplicating, importing, distributing, selling, renting, disseminating/transmitting to the public, possessing for commercial purposes - or in any case for the purpose of making a profit - any work

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

protected by copyright and related rights, including literary, musical, multimedia, cinematographic and artistic works. The abusiveness of the conduct described in letter a) covers a wide range of behaviors, including those with which intellectual works of any kind are disseminated to the public in violation of both the regulations governing the means of dissemination (for example, television or radio stations without concessions) and those governing the object disseminated (for example, violation of copyright, due to non-payment of fees due to the SIAE). Where both the intellectual work - and therefore the moral right of the author - and the holders of the rights of economic exploitation - among these the diffusion and therefore the diffusion instrument - are entrusted to the protection of the SIAE, the relative fees for the economic exploitation are devolved to it, subsequently to be distributed with the modalities and in the percentages foreseen by the law.

The payment of the due fees to the latter by the person who distributes the same works is inclusive of copyright and related economic rights and excludes the configurability of the crime. The activity of abusive reproduction of literary works protected by copyright that is not characterized by the character of mere occasionality, but which constitutes a component, not insignificant, even if not exclusive or essential, of the commercial activity carried out by the person committing the abuse integrates the extremes of the most serious crime referred to in Article 171-ter.

The same criminal offence is involved in the possession for sale or distribution of CDs containing duplicated or illegally reproduced video games.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable up to 500 units and the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

Article 171 septies Law633/1941.

“The penalty established under Article 171-ter, paragraph 1, is also imposed on:

- a) *manufacturers or importers of media not stamped in accordance with Article 181-bis, who do not inform the SIAE within thirty days from the date of sale in Italy or import of the data required to clearly identify those media;*
- b) *anyone making a false declaration stating that the obligations set out under Article 181-bis, paragraph 2, of this law have been satisfied, unless the act constitutes a more serious crime.*

The offence in question is committed when the producers or importers of the supports not subject to

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

the SIAE mark do not communicate to the same company, within thirty days from the date on which they are put on the market in the national territory or imported, the data necessary for the unequivocal identification of the same supports, or when these subjects falsely declare to have fulfilled the marking obligations.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is applicable up to 500 units and the interdictory sanction from 3 to 12 months.

Article 171 octies Law 633/1941.

“If the offence does not constitute a more serious crime, a penalty of imprisonment for a term of six months to three years and a fine of €2,582 to €25,822 shall be imposed on anyone who for fraudulent purposes, manufactures, puts up for sale, imports, promotes, installs, changes, or utilises apparatus or parts of apparatus for public and private use that is able to decode audio-visual transmissions subject to conditional access broadcast over the airwaves, by satellite, by cable both in *analog and digital. Conditional access means all audio-visual signals broadcast by Italian or foreign broadcasting stations in a form that renders such signals visible exclusively to closed groups of users selected by the party that broadcasts the signal, regardless of whether a fee is charged to use this service.*

If the offence is particularly serious the penalty is no less than imprisonment for a term of two years and a fine of €15,493.”

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

At-Risk Activities exart. 25 nonies of Decree 231/2001.

From the *Gap Analysis* carried out, the At-Risk Activities are as follows:

- installation/use of databases;
- delivery and possible installation/configuration of computer products;
- editing and word processing;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- use of licensed software;
- sale, of works protected by copyright and related rights.

General rules of conduct.

Area of Doing.

- purchase, create, put into circulation only content (photographs, video sequences, comments, advertisements, articles and other written content, music *files* of any format) with a license to use or in any case in compliance with the legislation on copyright and other rights related to their use;
- inform, periodically, the heads of functions dealing with the diffusion of works covered by copyright of the obligation to protect them and of the criminal sanctions deriving from the undue use made of them;
- periodically check, where possible, that the contents reproduced comply with current regulations on copyright and rights connected with the use of protected intellectual works;
- provide documentation proving payment of the charge;
- ensure the immediate removal of content that does not comply with copyright and other rights related to their use;
- to use only *software* with a license for use and within the limits and conditions provided for by law and by the license itself, with the exception of those computer programs available for *download* and free use, always under the conditions and within the limits provided for by law or by the owner of the copyright and other rights related to its use;
- to use only databases with a license to use and within the limits and conditions provided by law and by the license itself with the exception of those freely consultable, always under the conditions and within the limits provided by law or by the owner of the copyright and other rights related to its use, including research, extraction, processing, reworking and publication of the data contained therein.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Area of Not Doing.

- Omit compliance with and adherence to information systems regulations and rules;
- Failure to carry out careful market and competition analyses, in terms of the existence of industrial protections, in order to avoid the integration of the offences under examination;
- Disseminate/broadcast to the public any work protected by copyright and related rights;
- Unlawfully duplicating, importing, possessing computer programs and contents of protected databases;
- Use company computer equipment for illegal purposes or to access pay sites, pornographic sites, or *online* gaming sites;
- Install programs from outside without prior authorization from the information system manager;
- Duplicate CDs and DVDs protected by copyright law: any duplication for strictly business purposes requires prior request, authorization and execution by EDP personnel, with the authorization of the System Administrator;
- Downloading free *software* or *shareware* from *Internet* sites , without prior authorization from the computer system manager;
- Formalization of a suitable contract with an advertising agency for the production of advertising videos, with clauses exempting the Company from liability for copyright obligations;
- Written formalization of the exhaustion of the SIAE files by the advertising agency prior to the use of the videos or phonographic material to be used for advertising messages;
- Unlawfully reproducing on another medium, distributing, communicating, presenting or demonstrating in public the contents of a database, or unlawfully extracting or reusing distributing, installing, selling, leasing the same or the data contained therein.

Protocols to protect against the risk of crime:

Operational Protocol Translation Management - PO - 09.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

14. INDUCEMENT TO REFRAIN FROM MAKING STATEMENTS OR TO MAKE FALSE STATEMENTS TO THE LEGAL AUTHORITIES

The relevant offence.

Law no. 116 of 3 August 2009 ratified the United Nations Convention against Corruption, adopted by the UN General Assembly on 31 October 2003 with resolution no. 58/4, signed by the Italian State on 9 December 2003. Article 4, paragraph 1, introduced into the Decree Article 25 *decies* entitled "Induction to not make statements or to make false statements to the judicial authority".

This provision refers to Article 377 *bis* of the Criminal Code:

"Unless the offence constitutes a more serious crime, anyone who, with violence or threats, or offers or promises of money or other benefits, induces the person called to testify before the court to refrain from making statements or to make false statements to legal authorities, when they have the right to remain silent, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of two to six years."

The crime in *question* is of a subsidiary nature in that it is applied only when the criminal conduct is not attributable to another criminal offence.

This is a common crime in that it can be committed by anyone who engages in the conduct described.

The case requires the subjective element of specific intent since, in addition to the consciousness and will of the action, the additional purpose of inducing someone to behave in a certain way is also relevant.

Crimes against the administration of justice also include personal aiding and abetting provided for by Article 378 of the Italian Criminal Code and referred to for the purposes of punishability pursuant to Decree 231 by Article 10, Law no. 146 of 16 March 2006.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 500 units.

Article 378 Criminal Code: Personal aiding and abetting.

"Whoever, after a crime has been committed for which the law establishes [the death penalty or] life

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

imprisonment or imprisonment and outside the cases of complicity in the same, helps someone to evade the investigations of the Authority or to evade the searches of the latter, shall be punished with imprisonment of up to four years.

When the crime committed is one of those envisaged in Article 416-bis, the penalty of imprisonment for a term of not less than two years shall be imposed in any event.

If it involves crimes for which the law has established a different penalty, or of contraventions, the penalty is a fine of up to €516.00.

The provisions of this article shall also apply when the person helped is not liable for punishment or is found not to have committed the crime.”

The offence is placed to safeguard the interest of the administration of justice in the smooth running of the criminal process in the phase of investigations and searches, in progress or possible after the commission of a crime, or in the protection of the activities proper to the judicial police.

The objective element of the crime consists in the facilitation of any subject against whom there are investigations to evade the searches carried out by the subjects delegated by the Authority.

The subjective element required by the case is the general intent, characterized by the consciousness and will of the typical fact to help someone to evade the investigations.

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 decies of the Decree.

From the *Gap Analysis* performed, the sensitive areas are as follows:

- Relations with the Judicial Authority and authorities functionally linked to it.

General principles of conduct

Area of Doing.

The Company has not issued *ad hoc* powers of attorney to represent the Company in legal proceedings, nor has it delegated to any function the relationships with the A.G.

These tasks are therefore the exclusive responsibility of the Chairman of the Board of Directors of STAR7 S.P.A.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The risk of committing the offences in question is limited to the Chairman of the Board of Directors and, if necessary, to the persons who in the future will be entrusted with the management of relations with the A.G., who in any case will have to:

- respect the limits conferred by the delegation of authority;
- guaranteeing full freedom of expression to persons called upon to make statements before the judicial authorities;
- maintain the confidentiality of any statements made to the judicial authorities;
- promote the value of loyal cooperation with judicial authorities.

Area of Not Doing.

It is prohibited to:

- carry out, collaborate in or cause the carrying out of behaviours which, taken individually or collectively, directly or indirectly constitute the types of offences set out in article 25-decies of the Decree;
- exerting pressure on those required to make statements before the judicial authorities;
- retaliate against those who have already made statements to the judicial authorities;
- to summon the subjects called upon to make statements before the judicial authorities in order to suggest their contents.

With regard to the conduct relating to the crime of aiding and abetting, it is forbidden to

- provide untrue information or indications to the judicial police or to the judicial authorities;
- aiding or abetting the concealment or escape of persons wanted by the judicial authorities or on whom criminal proceedings are pending.

Protocols to protect against the risk of crime:

Litigation Management Operating Protocol: PO - 08.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

15. ENVIRONMENTAL CRIMES.

The relevant offences.

Legislative Decree 121 of 7 July 2011 extended the scope of application of the Decree by inserting Article 25 *undecies* for the protection of the environment, with the aim of making companies responsible for the environment, in the wake of European demands for its protection and prevention of pollution.

The Italian criminal legislation on the environment is based on a policy of prevention of negative environmental impacts, which is seen as a more effective tool than a restorative intervention, subsequent to the production of environmental damage.

The object of the penal provisions on this subject emerges from the definition of "environmental damage" included in the Consolidated Environmental Act, in Article 300, according to which: *"environmental damage is any significant and measurable deterioration, direct or indirect, of a natural resource or the utility provided by that resource."*

The relevant cases are:

- Article 452 *bis* Criminal Code: Environmental pollution
- Article 452 *quater* Criminal Code: Environmental pollution;
- Article 452 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Culpable crimes against the environment;
- Article 452 *sexies* Criminal Code: Trafficking or abandonment of highly radioactive material;
- Article 452 *octies* Criminal Code: Environmental crimes committed in association *pursuant to* articles 416 and 416 *bis* of the Criminal Code;
- Article 727 *bis* Criminal Code: Killing, destroying, capturing, taking, or possessing specimens of protected species of wild animals or plants;
- Article 733 *bis* Criminal Code: Destruction or deterioration of habitat within a protected site;
- Article 137, Legislative Decree 152/2006: Water discharge in violation of permit requirements and tabular limits for certain substances;
- Discharge into marine waters by ships or aircraft
- Article 137, para. 13, Legislative Decree 152/2006: Discharge into marine waters by vessels or aircraft;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- Article 137, para. 2, Legislative Decree 152/2006: Water discharge without a permit or with a suspended or revoked permit concerning certain hazardous substances;
- Article 137, paragraph 5, second paragraph, Legislative Decree 152/2006: Water discharge in violation of tabular limits for certain particularly hazardous substances;
- Article 137, para. 11, 152/2006: Discharge onto the ground, into the subsoil or into groundwater in violation of the prohibitions set out in articles 103 and 104 of Legislative Decree no. 152 of 3 April 2006.

In the **waste** sector, the following offences give rise to the liability of the entity:

- Article 256 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Abusive waste management;
- Article 257 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Failure to clean up a site contaminated by waste;
- Article 258, paragraph 4, second paragraph, Legislative Decree 152/2006: Transportation of hazardous waste without a form and failure to record the relevant data on the form;
- Article 259, para. 1, Legislative Decree 152/2006: Illegal shipment of waste;
- Article 260 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Organised activities for the illegal traffic of waste;
- Article 260 *bis* Legislative Decree 152/2006: Breach of SISTRI requirements.

In the area of **air pollution**, the following cases are covered:

- Article 279 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Exceeding the emission limit values and air quality limit values required by industry regulations;
- Articles 1 and 2, Law 150/92: Importing, exporting, possessing, using for profit, purchasing, selling, displaying, or possessing for sale or commercial purposes protected species;
- Article 3 *bis*, paragraph 1, Law 150/92: Forgery or alteration of certificates and licenses; false or altered notices, communications, or statements for the purpose of acquiring a certificate or license; use of false or altered certificates and licenses to import animals;
- Article 3, para. 6, Law 549/1993: Violation of the provisions requiring the cessation and reduction of the use (production, use, marketing, import and export) of substances harmful to the ozone layer;
- Article 9 Legislative Decree 202/07: Negligent discharge of pollutants into the sea;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- Article 8 Legislative Decree 202/07: Malicious spillage of pollutants into the sea;

Article 452 *bis* Criminal Code: Environmental pollution

“A penalty of imprisonment for a term of two to six years and a fine of €10,000 to €100,000 will be imposed on anyone who causes impairment or a significant and measurable deterioration of:

- 1) of water or air, or extensive or significant portions of the soil or subsoil;*
- 2) of an ecosystem, biodiversity, including agrarian, and the flora or fauna.*

When the pollution is produced in a natural protected area or an area of landscape, environmental, historical, artistic, architectural or archaeological value, or causes harm to protected animal or plant species, the penalty is higher.”

The crime in question is a crime of event and damage consisting of the impairment or deterioration, significant and measurable, of environmental assets specifically indicated.

This is a free-form crime, as the relevant criminal behaviours are not previously identified by the law and can consist in forms of pollution of the hard core - water, water, air and waste - of the matter, but also other forms of pollution or introduction of elements such as chemical substances, GMOs, radioactive materials and, more generally, in any behaviour that causes a worsening of the environmental balance.

Pollution can be caused both through active conduct, i.e. with the realization of a fact that is considerably harmful or dangerous, but also through improper omissive conduct, i.e. with the failure to prevent the event by those who, according to environmental regulations, are required to comply with specific obligations of prevention with respect to that specific harmful or dangerous polluting fact.

For the integration of the case it is sufficient that the author has represented and wanted the consequences of his action.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 250 to 600 units, the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 452 *quater* Criminal Code: Environmental pollution

“Other than in the cases provided for by Article 434, anyone who unlawfully causes an environmental disaster shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of five to fifteen years. The following constitute environmental disasters:

- 1) the irreversible alteration of the stability of an ecosystem;*
- 2) alteration of the stability of an ecosystem whose elimination is particularly onerous and only likely to be achieved through exceptional measures;*
- 3) an offence against public safety due to the significance of an action in view of the extent of the impairment caused or its harmful effects or the number of people injured or exposed to danger.*

When the disaster occurs in a natural protected area or an area of landscape, environmental, historical, artistic, architectural or archaeological value, or causes harm to protected animal or plant species, the penalty is higher.”

The regulation sanctions the cases in which, apart from the hypothesis of the so-called "unnamed" disaster foreseen by Article 434 of the criminal code, irreversible damage is caused to the ecosystem.

The disaster consists in a damage having a character of bursting diffusion and expansiveness and that exposes to danger, collectively, an indeterminate number of persons. An irreparable disaster, even if it takes, for its possible reversibility, the course of a time cycle so wide, in nature, not to be able to be brought to the categories of human action. On the other hand, it is sufficient - given the alternative nature of the case - that the disaster is of difficult reversibility, a condition which occurs when the elimination of the alteration of the ecosystem is particularly onerous and achievable only with exceptional measures.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 400 to 800 units, while the interdiction sanction ranges from 3 to 12 months.

Article 452 *quinquies* Criminal Code: Culpable crimes against the environment.

“If one of the offences identified in Articles 452-bis e 452-quater is committed due to negligence, the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

penalties established in those Articles are reduced by a third to two thirds.

If the commission of the offences referred to in the paragraph above results in the risk of environmental pollution or environmental disaster the penalties shall be further reduced by a third.”

The new Article 452 *quinquies* of the Italian Criminal Code has introduced into the system the hypothesis in which the pollution and/or the disaster are committed through negligence, providing for a reduction of the penalty up to a maximum of two thirds.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 200 to 500 units.

Article 452 *sexies* Criminal Code: Trafficking or abandonment of highly radioactive material

“Unless the offence constitutes a more serious crime, anyone who unlawfully disposes of, purchases, receives, transports, imports, exports, procures for others, possesses, transfers, abandons or unlawfully discards high-level radioactive material shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of two to six years and a fine of €10,000 to €50,000. The penalty established in the first paragraph is increased if the offence results in the danger of impairment or deterioration of:

- 1) *of water or air, or extensive or significant portions of the soil or subsoil;*
- 2) *of an ecosystem, biodiversity, including agrarian, and the flora or fauna.*

If the offence results in danger to life or the safety of individuals, the penalty is increased by up to half.”

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 250 to 600 units.

Article 452 *octies* Criminal Code: Environmental crimes committed in association ex Article 416 and 416 *bis* Criminal Code

“If the association referred to in Article 416 is aimed, exclusively or concurrently, at committing any of the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

crimes covered by this title, the penalties provided for by Article 416 are increased.

If the association referred to in Article 416-bis is aimed at committing any of the crimes covered by this title or acquiring the management or control of economic activities, concessions, authorisations, contracts and public services relating to environmental matters, the penalties provided for by Article 416-bis are increased.

The penalties referred to in the first and second paragraphs are increased by a third to a half if the association includes public officials or public service officers that perform environmental functions or services."

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 300 to 1000 units.

Article 727 bis Criminal Code: Killing, destroying, capturing, taking, possessing specimens of protected species of wild animals or plants

"Unless the act constitutes a more serious offence, anyone who, outside the permitted cases, kills, captures or holds specimens belonging to a protected wild animal species shall be punished by arrest from one to six months or a fine of up to 4. 000 euros, except in cases where the action concerns a negligible quantity of such specimens and has a negligible impact on the conservation status of the species.

Whoever, outside the permitted cases, destroys, takes or holds specimens belonging to a protected wild plant species shall be punished with a fine of up to 4. 000 euros, except in cases where the action concerns a negligible quantity of such specimens and has a negligible impact on the conservation status of the species."

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 250 units.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 733 *bis* Criminal Code: Destruction or deterioration of habitat within a protected site

“Anyone, except in the cases permitted by law, who destroys a habitat within a protected area or otherwise deteriorates it undermining its state of conservation, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to eighteen months and a fine of not less than €3,000.00”.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 150 to 250 units.

Article 137, Legislative Decree 152/2006: Water discharge in violation of permit requirements and tabular limits for certain substances

"[...];

When the conduct described in paragraph 1 relates to discharges of industrial wastewater containing hazardous substances in the families and groups of substances listed in tables 5 and 3/A of Annex 5 to part three of this decree, the penalty is a term of imprisonment of three months to three years and a fine of €5,000 to €52,000.

Anyone, other than in the situations envisaged in paragraph 5 of Article 29 quattuordecies, paragraph 3, who discharges industrial waste water containing hazardous substances included in the families and groups of substances listed in tables 5 and 3/A of Annex 5 to part three of this decree, or other requirements of the competent authority pursuant to Articles 107(1) and 108(4), shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to two years.

"[...];

Unless the act constitutes a more serious offence, anyone who, in relation to the substances indicated in table 5 of attachment 5 to the third part of this decree, when carrying out a discharge of industrial waste water, exceeds the limit values established in table 3 or, in the case of discharge onto the ground, in table 4 of attachment 5 to the third part of this decree, or the more restrictive limits established by the regions or autonomous provinces or by the competent authority pursuant to article 107, paragraph 1, shall be punished with imprisonment of up to two years and a fine of between 3,000 euros and 30,000 euros. If

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

the limit values established for the substances contained in table 3/A of the same attachment 5 are also exceeded, imprisonment of between six months and three years and a fine of between 6,000 euros and 120,000 euros shall apply.

[...];

[...];

[...];

[...];

[...];

Anyone who does not comply with the discharge prohibitions established in Articles 103 and 104 shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of up to three years.

[...];

A term of imprisonment of two months to two years shall always be applied if the discharge is made in the sea by ships or aircraft containing substances or materials for which a total ban on spillage has been imposed under the provisions contained in the applicable international agreements, and ratified by Italy, unless they are in such quantities as to be rapidly rendered harmless by physical, chemical and biological processes, that occur naturally in the sea, and provided there is a prior authorisation from the competent authority.

[-]”.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree for the violations of paragraphs 3, 5 first sentence and 13, ranges from 150 to 250 units. For violations of paragraphs 2, 5, second sentence and 11, the monetary sanction ranges from 200 to 300 units and an interdictory sanction from 3 to 6 months is also applied.

Article 256 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Illegal waste management

Except in cases sanctioned under Article 29-quattordecies, paragraph 1, anyone who performs a collection, transportation, recovery, disposal, trade and brokerage of waste without the required authorisation, registration or communication referred to in articles 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 214, 215 and

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

216 is punished by:

- a) *with the penalty of imprisonment from three months to one year or a fine ranging from 2,600 euros to 26,000 euros if the waste is not hazardous;*
- b) *with the penalty of imprisonment from six months to two years and a fine ranging from 2,6000 euros to 26,000 euros if the waste is hazardous.*

[...]

Apart from the cases sanctioned in accordance with article 29 quattuordecies, paragraph 1, whoever sets up or manages an unauthorised landfill is punished with the punishment of detention from six months to two years and a fine ranging from 2,600 to 26,000 euros. The punishment of detention from one to three years and a fine ranging from 5,200 to 52,000 euros is applied if the landfill is intended, even partially, for the disposal of dangerous waste. The conviction or the judgment given under Article 444 Code of Criminal Procedure results in confiscation of the land on which the landfill is built if it is owned by the perpetrator or accomplice to the crime, without affecting the obligations of remediation or restoration of the sites (2).

[...]

Anyone who carries out unauthorised mixing of waste, in violation of the ban established in Article 187, shall be liable to the penalty established in paragraph 1, letter b).

Whoever carries out the temporary storage at the place of production of dangerous medical waste, in violation of the provisions of article 227, paragraph 1, letter b), is punished with the punishment of imprisonment from three months to one year or a fine from 2,600 euro to 26,000 euro. The pecuniary administrative sanction from 2,600 euro to 15,5000@@ euro is applied for quantities not exceeding two hundred liters or equivalent quantities.

[...];

[...];

[...].

The financial penalty foreseen by the Decree for the violation of paragraphs 1, letter a) and 6, first sentence, ranges from 100 to 250 units. For violations of paragraphs 1, letter b), 3, first sentence, and 5, the monetary sanction ranges from 150 to 250 units. For the violation of paragraph 3, second sentence, the financial penalty ranges from 200 to 300 units and interdictory sanctions are applied from 6 to 24

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

months.

Article 257 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Failure to clean up a site contaminated by waste

"Unless the act constitutes a more serious offence, whoever causes the pollution of the soil, subsoil, surface water or groundwater by exceeding the risk threshold concentrations is punished with imprisonment from six months to one year or a fine from 2,600 euros to 26,000 euros, if he/she does not carry out reclamation in accordance with the project approved by the competent authority within the scope of the procedure referred to in articles 242 and following. In the event of failure to make the notification referred to in article 242, the offender shall be punished by imprisonment from three months to one year or a fine ranging from 1,000 euros to 26,000 euros.

The penalty is imprisonment from one year to two years and a fine from 5,200 euros to 50,000 euros if the pollution is caused by dangerous substances.

[...];

[...]”.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree for the violation of paragraph 1 ranges from 100 to 250 units. For the violation of paragraph 2 the fine ranges from 150 to 250 units.

Article 258 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Transportation of hazardous waste without a form and failure to record the relevant data on the form

“[...];

[...];

[...];

[...] The penalty established in Article 483 Criminal Code is imposed on anyone who, in preparing a waste analysis certificate, provides false information on the nature, composition and the physical and chemical characteristics of the waste and anyone who uses a fake certificate during transport.

[...]”.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 150 to 250 units.

Article 259 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Illegal shipment of waste

"Whoever carries out a shipment of waste that constitutes illegal trafficking in accordance with article 26 of Regulation (EEC) no. 259 of 1 February 1993, or who carries out a shipment of waste listed in Attachment II of the aforementioned regulation in violation of article 1, paragraph 3, letters a), b), c) and d) of the regulation itself, is subject to a fine of between 1,550 euros and 26,000 euros and imprisonment of up to two years. The penalty is increased for shipments of hazardous waste.

[...]”.

The concept of "illegal shipment" of waste was introduced by Regulation (EC) no. 1013/2006 to replace the term "illegal traffic in waste" introduced by Regulation (EEC) no. 259/93 (now repealed); art. 259 of Legislative Decree no. 152/06 continues to be headed according to the old wording of the repealed act.

The current EU regulation regulates and provides for the cases in which a shipment of waste is illegal: the offence is committed when the obligated parties fail to make the necessary notifications to the competent authorities or do not request (and obtain) the relative authorisations; the offence also occurs if operators act by exhibiting the shipment of authorisations obtained by means of false documentation, fraud or incomplete documentation (without specifying, for example, the type of material transported).

The criminal offence in *question* is also committed when waste is shipped out of the European Union to countries that are not part of the EFTA(*European Fair Trade Association*) and are not signatories to the Basel Convention.

Illegal shipment of waste is also committed when, in relation to Regulation (EC) no. 1013/2006, article 36 (which establishes a ban on the export of waste to countries to which the OECD Decision does not apply) is violated; article 39, which prohibits exports of waste to the Antarctic; article 40 (export of waste to overseas countries) as well as the transport of material in violation of Articles 41 and 43, which prohibit the import of waste for disposal into the European Union from third countries with the exception of waste from countries that are members of the Basel Convention or countries with which an agreement is in force or from other territories in a state of crisis or war.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 150 to 250 units.

Article 260 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Organised activities for the illegal traffic of waste

"Anyone who, in multiple operations and through continuing and organised means and operations, sells, receives, transports, exports, imports, or otherwise unlawfully handles large quantities of waste, in order to obtain an unfair profit, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of one to six years.

If waste is highly radioactive, the penalty is imprisonment for a term of three to eight years.

Upon conviction the additional penalties referred to in Articles 28, 30, 32-bis and 32-ter Criminal Code may apply, with the limitation referred to in Article 33 of the same code.

The judge, with the conviction judgment or the judgment given under Article 444 Code of Criminal Procedure, orders the remediation of the environment and makes the granting of a suspended sentence conditional on the elimination of the damage or danger to the environment.

The confiscation of the things that were used to commit the crime or that constitute the product or profit of the crime is always ordered, unless they belong to persons unrelated to the crime. When this is not possible, the judge identifies assets of equivalent value which the convicted person has, even indirectly or through a third party, at his disposal and orders their confiscation".

The financial penalty foreseen by the Decree ranges from 300 to 500 units in the case of the first paragraph, while in the case foreseen by the second paragraph it ranges from 400 to 800 units. An interdictory sanction from 3 to 6 months is also envisaged.

Article 260-bis Legislative Decree 152/2006: Breach of SISTRI requirements.

"Obligated parties who fail to register with the waste traceability control system (SISTRI) referred to in article 188 bis, paragraph 2, letter a), within the prescribed time limits, shall be punished with a

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

pecuniary administrative sanction of between 2,600 euros and 15,500 euros. In the case of hazardous waste, a pecuniary administrative sanction of between 15,500 euros and 93,000 euros shall apply

Obligated parties who fail to pay, within the established terms, the contribution for registration in the waste traceability control system (SISTRI) pursuant to article 188 bis, paragraph 2, letter a), are punished with a pecuniary administrative sanction ranging from 2,600 euros to 15,500 euros. In the case of hazardous waste, the following applies

a pecuniary administrative sanction ranging from 15,500 euros to 93,000 euros. Ascertainment of the omission of payment necessarily entails immediate suspension of the service provided by the above-mentioned traceability control system to the offender. The recalculation of the annual subscription to the aforementioned traceability system must take account of cases of non-payment covered by this paragraph.

Whoever fails to fill in the chronological register or the SISTRI - MOVEMENT AREA form, in accordance with the times, procedures and methods established by the computerised control system referred to in paragraph 1, or provides the aforesaid system with incomplete or inexact information, or fraudulently alters any of the technological devices that are accessories to the aforesaid computerised control system, or in any way impedes its correct functioning, is liable to monetary sanctions of between two thousand six hundred euros and 15,500 euros. In the case of companies with fewer than fifteen employees, the monetary sanction applied is between 1,040 euros and 6,200 euros. The number of work units is calculated on the basis of the average number of employees in full time employment in any one year, while workers in part-time and seasonal work are calculated as fractions of units per year; for the above purposes, the year to be considered is that of the last approved accounting period prior to the establishment of the infringement. If the indications given, even if incomplete or inexact, do not prejudice the traceability of the waste, the pecuniary administrative sanction is applied from 260 euros to 1,550 euros.

If the conduct referred to in paragraph 3 refers to dangerous waste, the pecuniary administrative sanction of 15,500 euros to 93,000 euros is applied, as well as the accessory administrative sanction of suspension from one month to one year from the position held by the person to whom the infringement is attributable, including suspension from the position of director. In the case of companies with fewer than fifteen employees, the minimum and maximum amounts referred to in the preceding sentence are reduced from 2,070 euros to

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

12,400 euros for hazardous waste. The method of calculating the number of employees is carried out in the manner set out in paragraph 3. If the indications given, while incomplete or inaccurate, do not prejudice the traceability of waste, the pecuniary administrative sanction of 520 euros to 3,100 euros is applied.

Apart from the provisions of paragraphs 1 to 4, those persons who fail to comply with further obligations incumbent upon them pursuant to the aforesaid waste traceability control system (SISTRI) are punished, for each of the aforesaid violations, with a pecuniary administrative sanction ranging from 2,600 to 15,500 euros. In the case of hazardous waste, a fine ranging from 15,500 euros to 93,000 euros is applied. The penalty envisaged in Article 483 Criminal Code will apply to anyone who, in the preparation of a waste analysis certificate, used for the SISTRI system, provides false information on the nature, the composition and the chemical and physical characteristics of the waste and inserts a false certificate in the data required for the traceability of waste.

Any transporter who fails to accompany the transport of waste with a hard copy of the SISTRI - AREA MOVIMENTAZIONE form and, where necessary on the basis of current legislation, with a copy of the analytical certificate that identifies the characteristics of the waste is liable to a fine of between 1,600 euros and 9,300 euros. The penalty referred to in Article 483 of the Criminal Code is applied in the case of the transport of dangerous waste. The aforesaid penalty also applies to any person who uses a false waste analysis certificate during transport containing false information on the nature, composition and physical and chemical characteristics of the waste transport.

A carrier accompanying the transport of waste with a fraudulently altered printed copy of the SISTRI - AREA shall be liable to the penalty established by the combined provisions of Articles 477 and 482 Criminal Code. The penalty is increased by up to a third for hazardous waste.

If the conduct referred to in paragraph 7 does not prejudice the traceability of waste, the pecuniary administrative sanction of between 260 and 1,550 euros is applied.

Anyone who by their action or omission violates any of the provisions of this article or commits multiple violations of the provision is liable to the administrative penalties envisaged for the most serious violations, increased up to twice. The same penalty applies to those with various actions or omissions, the material executives of the plan, committed even at different times, of multiple violations of the same or different provisions of this article.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

He/she shall not be liable for the administrative violations referred to in this article who, within thirty days from the commission of the act, fulfils the obligations provided for by the regulations relating to the computerised control system referred to in paragraph 1. Within sixty days from the immediate notification or from the notification of the violation, the offender may settle the dispute, subject to fulfilment of the obligations referred to above, by paying a quarter of the sanction provided for. Facilitated resolution prevents imposition of the ancillary penalties.

This is a common offence as it can be committed by anyone. This is a crime of presumed danger since the danger to which the protected good or goods are exposed is already presumed in the abstract: with the consequence that the performance of the incriminated conduct is already sufficient in itself to activate the criminal protection.

The offence is also of a habitual nature as the conduct is carried out with an interspersed repetition of several identical behaviours or, in any case, homogeneous and connected by the link of habituality and oriented towards a single criminal intention.

The legal asset protected by the rule is the public utility.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 150 to 250 units in the cases provided for by paragraphs 6, 7, second and third periods, and 8, first period, and the financial penalty ranges from 200 to 300 units in the case provided for by paragraph 8, second period.

Article 279 Legislative Decree 152/2006: Exceeding the emission limit values and air quality limit values required by industry regulations.

“ [...];

[...];

[...];

[...];

In the cases envisaged in paragraph 2, a penalty of imprisonment of up to one year shall always apply, if the exceeding of the emission limits also results in the exceeding air quality limits established by

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

applicable laws and regulations;

[...];

[...]”.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree ranges from 100 to 250 units.

PROTECTION OF PROTECTED SPECIES L. 150/1992

The Washington Convention on International *Trade* of Endangered Species of Fauna and Flora in Commerce (CITES), was born from the need to control the trade of animals and plants (alive, dead or parts and derivatives), since commercial exploitation is, together with the destruction of the natural environments in which they live, one of the main causes of the extinction and reduction of many species in the wild

species. CITES came into force in Italy in 1980. In Italy, its implementation is entrusted to various ministries: Ministry of the Environment and Protection of Land and Sea, Ministry of Economic Development and Ministry of Agricultural, Food and Forestry Policies. The latter plays a fundamental role through the CITES service of the State Forestry Corps.

Violations of the provisions of the Convention and of Regulation (EC) n. 338 of 1997 are punished with the sanctions provided for by law 150/92 which, in addition to providing for specific sanctions for crimes in violation of CITES regulations, indicates precise measures to regulate the detention and trade of species.

Importing, exporting, possessing, using for profit, purchasing, selling, displaying, or possessing for sale or commercial purposes protected species.

Article 1

"Unless the act constitutes a more serious offence, the punishment shall be imprisonment from six months to two years and a fine ranging from 15,000 to 150,000 euros for anyone who, in violation of the provisions of Council Regulation (EC) no. 338/97 of 9 December 1996, and subsequent implementations

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

and amendments, infringes on specimens belonging to species listed in Annex A of said Regulation and subsequent amendments:

- a) *importing, exporting or re-exporting specimens, under any customs regime, without the required certificate or permit, or with an invalid certificate or permit pursuant to Article 11, paragraph 2a, of the EC Regulation*
no. 338/97 of the Council, of 9 December 1996, and subsequent implementations and amendments;
 - b) *fails to comply with the requirements aimed at protecting the safety of the specimens, specified on a permit or certificate granted in accordance with Regulation (EC) 338/97 of the Council of 9 December 1996, as amended and Regulation (EC) 939/97 of the Commission of 26 May 1997 as amended;*
 - c) *uses the aforementioned specimens in a way that differs from the requirements contained in the authorisations or certifications issued together with the subsequent import license or certificate;*
 - d) *ships or transits specimens, including on behalf of third parties, without the appropriate permit or certificate issued in accordance with this Regulation (EC) 338/97 of the Council of 9 December 1996, as amended and Regulation (EC) 939/97 of the Commission of 26 May 1997, and, in the case of export or re-export from a third country party to the Washington Convention, in accordance therewith, or without satisfactory proof of the existence of such permit or certificate;*
 - e) *trades in artificially propagated plants contrary to the provisions laid down in accordance with Article 7, paragraph 1, letter b), of Regulation (EC) 338/97 of the Council of 9 December 1996 as amended and Regulation (EC) 939/97 of the Commission of 26 May 1997 as amended;*
 - f) *possesses, uses for profit, buys, sells, displays or holds for sale or for commercial purposes, offers for sale or otherwise disposes of specimens without the required documentation.*
2. *In the event of recidivism, the penalty is imprisonment for a period of between one and three years and a fine ranging from 30,000 euros to 300,000 euros. If the above-mentioned offence is committed in the course of business activities, the sentence is accompanied by suspension of the licence for a minimum of six months and a maximum of two years.*
 3. *The import, export or re-export of personal or household effects derived from specimens of the species indicated in paragraph 1, in violation of the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 939/97 of the Commission of 26 May 1997, and subsequent amendments, is punished with an administrative sanction from six thousand to thirty thousand euros. Objects introduced illegally shall be confiscated by the State*

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Forestry Department, where confiscation has not already been ordered by the legal authority.

The financial penalty established by the Decree for the crime set forth in paragraph one is applicable up to 250 units, whereas for the hypothesis set forth in paragraph two the sanction is applicable up to a maximum of 250 units. Furthermore, the suspension of the license, already foreseen by law 150/92, is also foreseen if the offence is committed in the exercise of business activity.

Article 2

"Unless the act constitutes a more serious offence, a fine of between €20,000 and €200,000 or imprisonment for a period of between six months and a year shall be applied to anyone who, in violation of the provisions of Council Regulation (EC) no. 338/97 of 9 December 1996, and subsequent implementations and amendments, in relation to specimens belonging to species listed in annexes B and C of said Regulation and subsequent amendments:

- a) *imports, exports or re-exports specimens, under any customs regime, without the appropriate permit or certificate or an invalid permit or certificate pursuant to Article 11, paragraph 2a, of Regulation (EC) 338/97 of the Council of 9 December 1996 as amended;*
- b) *fails to comply with the requirements aimed at protecting the safety of the specimens, specified on a permit or certificate granted in accordance with Regulation (EC) 338/97 of the Council of 9 December 1996, as amended and Regulation (EC) 939/97 of the Commission of 26 May 1997 as amended;*
- c) *uses the aforementioned specimens in a way that differs from the requirements contained in the authorisations or certifications issued together with the subsequent import license or certificate;*
- d) *ships or transits specimens, including on behalf of third parties, without the appropriate permit or certificate issued in accordance with this Regulation (EC) 338/97 of the Council of 9 December 1996, as amended and Regulation (EC) 939/97 of the Commission of 26 May 1997, and, in the case of export or re-export from a third country party to the Washington Convention, in accordance therewith, or without satisfactory proof of the existence of such permit or certificate;*
- e) *trades in artificially propagated plants contrary to the provisions laid down in accordance with Article 7, paragraph 1, letter b), of Regulation (EC) 338/97 of the Council of 9 December 1996 as amended and Regulation (EC) 939/97 of the Commission of 26 May 1997 as amended;*

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

f) *f) possesses, uses for profit, buys, sells, displays or holds for sale or for commercial purposes, offers for sale or otherwise disposes of specimens without the required documentation, solely for the species identified in Annex B of the Regulation.*

In the event of recidivism, the penalty is imprisonment from six months to eighteen months and a fine ranging from 20,000 euros to 200,000 euros. If the aforementioned crime is committed in the exercise of business activities, the conviction shall result in suspension of the permit for a minimum of six months to a maximum of eighteen years.

The introduction into the national territory, the export or re-export from the same of personal or domestic objects relating to species indicated in paragraph 1, in violation of the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 939/97 of the Commission of 26 May 1997, and subsequent amendments, is punished with an administrative sanction from 3,000 to 15,000 euros. Objects introduced illegally shall be confiscated by the State Forestry Department, where confiscation has not already been ordered by the legal authority.

Unless the act constitutes a criminal offence, anyone who fails to submit the import notification referred to in article 4, paragraph 4, of Council Regulation (EC) no. 338/97 of 9 December 1996, and subsequent implementations and amendments, or an applicant who fails to communicate the rejection of an application for a license or certificate in accordance with article 6, paragraph 3, of the aforesaid Regulation, shall be punished with an administrative fine ranging from 3,000 to 15,000 euros.

The administrative authority receiving the report provided for in Article 17, first paragraph, of Law No. 689 of 24 November 1981, for the violations provided for and punished by this law, is the CITES service of the State Forestry Corps."

The financial penalty established by the Decree ranges from 100 to 250 units. Furthermore, the suspension of the license, already foreseen by law 150/92, is also foreseen if the offence is committed in the exercise of business activity.

Article 3 *bis*: Discipline of crimes related to the application in Italy of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora.

"For the offences envisaged in Article 16 paragraph 1, letters a), c), d), e), and l) of Regulation (EC)

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

338/97 of the Council of 9 December 1996 as amended on the forgery or alteration of certificates, licences, import notifications, declarations, or communications of information in order to acquire a permit or certificate, the use of certified or false or altered permits, the penalties specified in Book II, Title VII, Chapter III of the Criminal Code shall apply.

2. [...]".

Article 6: Discipline of crimes related to the application in Italy of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora.

"Except as envisaged in Law 157 of 11 February 1992, it is prohibited for anyone to possess live specimens of wild species of mammals and reptiles and live specimens of mammals and reptiles originating from reproduction in captivity that are a danger to health or public safety.

2. [...];

3. [...];

4. Anyone who contravenes the provisions of paragraph 1 shall be punished with detention of up to six months or a fine of between 15,000 and 300,000 euros.

5. [...];

6. [...]".

The financial penalty established by the Decree is applicable up to 250 units.

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 undecies of Decree 231/2001

This category of offences does not seem to have significant relevance for the purposes of the Compliance Programme, also in view of the fact that it seems unlikely that the Company could derive any interest or advantage whatsoever from the commission of this type of offence.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

16. ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION OFFENSES.

The relevant offences.

Article 2 of Legislative Decree no. 109 of 16 July 2012 (which came into force on 9 August 2012), entitled *"Implementation of Directive 2009/52/EC introducing minimum rules on sanctions and measures against employers who employ third-country nationals whose stay is irregular"* introduced into Legislative Decree no. 231/2001 Article 25-duodecies in which crimes on illegal immigration are referred to and sanctioned. In particular, Article 25-duodecies refers to the crime referred to in Article 22, paragraph 12-bis, of the Consolidated Law on Immigration (Legislative Decree no. 286 of 15 July 1998).

In practice, liability is extended to entities that make use of irregular labour and, in particular, the penalties for this crime are increased when the exploitation exceeds the limits established (in terms of number of workers, age and working conditions) in paragraph 12-bis of article 22 of the Consolidated Law on Immigration, expressly referred to by article 25- *duodecies* of Legislative Decree no. 231/2001.

Article 22, paragraph 12 bis, Legislative Decree no. 286 of 25 July 1998: Temporary and permanent employment.

"[...]

12 bis. The penalties for the offence established by paragraph 12 are increased by a third to a half:

- a) three or more workers are employed;*
- b) the workers employed are under working age;*
- c) the workers employed are subject to the other exploitative working conditions identified in the third paragraph of Article 603-bis Criminal Code.*

The regulation limits the intervention of Legislative Decree no. 231/2001 to the most serious cases only, i.e. those identified by paragraph 12 *bis*, overlooking the "minor" cases envisaged by paragraph 12 of the same article, the text of which is reproduced in full below.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 22, paragraph 12, Legislative Decree no. 286 of 25 July 1998: Temporary and permanent employment.

12. Employers who employ foreign workers who do not have the residence permit referred to in this article, or whose permit has expired and for which renewal has not been requested within the period required by law, or has been revoked or cancelled, shall be liable to imprisonment for a term of six months to three years and a fine of €5,000 for each worker employed.

Explicit reference is also made to Article 603 *bis* of the Criminal Code, understood as an aggravating circumstance of the penalty provided for by paragraph 12 *bis* of the above-mentioned article 22, and, more specifically:

Article 603 bis Criminal Code: Illicit intermediation and exploitation of labour.

"Unless the fact constitutes a more serious crime, anyone who carries out an organised activity of intermediation, recruiting labor or organising the work activity characterized by exploitation, by means of violence, threat, or intimidation, taking advantage of the state of need or necessity of the workers, shall be punished by imprisonment from five to eight years and a fine from 1,000 to 2,000 euros for each worker recruited.

For the purposes of the first paragraph, the existence of one or more of the following circumstances shall constitute an indication of exploitation:

- 1) the systematic remuneration of workers in a manner that is manifestly different from national collective agreements or, in any case, disproportionate to the quantity and quality of the work performed;*
- 2) systematic violation of regulations relating to working hours, weekly rest, compulsory leave and vacations;*
- 3) the existence of violations of regulations on safety and hygiene in the workplace, such as to expose the worker to danger to health, safety or personal safety;*
- 4) the subjecting of the worker to particularly degrading working conditions, surveillance methods,*

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

or housing situations.

The following circumstances are specific aggravating factors entailing the increase of the penalty by one third to one half:

- 1) the number of workers recruited is more than three;*
- 2) one or more of the persons recruited are minors not of working age;*
- 3) in committing the offence the exploited workers were exposed to serious danger, considering the characteristics of the services to be performed and working conditions.*

The responsibility of the Entity in relation to the crime of illegal immigration can be configured only when the crime in question is aggravated by the number of persons employed or by the minor age of the same or, finally, by the performance of the work in conditions of serious danger. With regard to the sanctions that the legislator has foreseen to apply to the entity that is responsible for the crime that we are examining here, these are financial penaltys that can vary from 100 to 200 units (the value of each quota can vary from 258 to 1,549 euros), and within the limit of 150,000.00 euros.

The new offence hypothesis referred to in Article 25-duodecies, although included in the catalog of offences underlying administrative responsibility *pursuant to* Legislative Decree no. 231/2001, seems to be configured as an offence committed by the top management of the entity. However, criminal jurisprudence has not hesitated to consider as an active subject of the crime also those who directly employ workers without a residence permit as well as those who avail themselves of their services by keeping them in their own employ.

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is from 100 to 200 units within the limit of 150 euros.

At-Risk Activities *exart. 25 duodecies* of Decree 231/2001.

From the *Gap Analysis* carried out, the areas at risk are as follows:

- entering into employment contracts;
- personnel selection, hiring, and administration process.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

General rules of conduct.

Area of Making.

All At-Risk Activities must be carried out in compliance with the laws and regulations in force, with the provisions of the Code of Ethics, with the general principles of conduct set out in the General Section of this Model, and with the protocols (and other existing organisational procedures) that protect against the identified risks/offences.

Specifically, you need to:

- behave correctly, transparently and cooperatively, in compliance with the law and company procedures, in all activities relating to the selection, management and administration of personnel;
- behave in a collaborative manner with the recruitment agencies used by STAR7 S.P.A., so as to facilitate the continuous exchange of information;
- requesting and acquiring, at the time of hiring, a copy of the worker's residence permit, where required by law;
- monitor the worker's *status* as the residence permit expires in view of possible contract renewals.

Area of Not Doing.

It is **prohibited** to:

- violate existing hiring principles, protocols and procedures;
- hiring foreign workers without a residence permit or with an irregular residence permit;
- enter into fixed-term contracts with a duration subsequent to the expiry of the residence permit;
- communicate data or information that does not correspond to the truth;
- provide collaboration or support, even indirectly, to non-honest or potentially unlawful conduct on the part of operators and in particular provide collaboration in cases where there is reasonable doubt that they may engage in conduct constituting offences referred to in this special section.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Protocols to protect against risk-offences.

- Personnel Selection Protocol; OP-05.

17. OFFENCES RELATING TO XENOPHOBIA AND RACISM

Article 3 Law No. 654 of 13 October 1975

"Unless the act constitutes a more serious offence, including for the purpose of implementing the provision of Article 4 of the Convention, it shall be punished:

- a) *with imprisonment of up to one year and six months or a fine of up to €6,000.00 whoever propagates ideas based on superiority or racial or ethnic hatred, or incites to commit or commits acts of discrimination for racial, ethnic, national or religious reasons;*
- b) *with imprisonment from six months to four years whoever, in any way, incites to commit or commits violence or acts of provocation to violence for racial, ethnic, national or religious reasons;*

Any organisation, association, movement or group that has among its purposes the incitement to discrimination or violence for racial, ethnic, national or religious reasons is prohibited. Anyone who participates in such organisations, associations, movements or groups, or provides assistance to their activities, is punished for the mere fact of participation or assistance, with imprisonment from six months to four years. Those who promote or direct such organisations, associations, movements or groups are punished, for this alone, with imprisonment from one to six years.

The sentence of imprisonment from two to six years is applied if the propaganda or the instigation and the incitement, committed in such a way that it causes real danger of diffusion, are based in whole or in part on the negation, on the serious minimisation or on the defence of the Holocaust or crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes, as defined in articles 6, 7 and 8 of the Statute of the International Criminal Court, ratified according to Law 232 of 12 July 1999.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The crimes referred to in article 3, paragraph 3, of Law 654/1975 punish the conduct of propaganda of ideas based on superiority, racial or ethnic hatred which, within the scope of the administrative responsibility of the Entity, may be committed by employees or external collaborators.

The penalty is increased in the case of incitement or incitement, carried out by company personnel or external collaborators, to acts of violence or discrimination on racial, ethnic, national or religious grounds.

The penalty is also increased:

- 1) in the case of creation of or participation in organisations, associations, movements or groups whose purpose is to incite discrimination or violence on racial, ethnic, national or religious grounds.
- 2) in the case of propaganda, instigation or incitement committed, so that a concrete danger of dissemination arises, in whole or in part on the denial, minimization in a serious way or apologia of the Shoah or crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes, as defined by Articles 6, 7 and 8 of the Statute of the International Criminal Court (ratified under Law 232/1999).

The financial penalty provided for by the Decree is between 200 and 800 units. In cases of conviction for the offences referred to in paragraph 1, the disqualification sanctions provided for in article 9, paragraph 2, are applied for a period of not less than one year.

If the entity or one of its organisational units is regularly used for the sole or primary purpose of enabling or facilitating the commission of the crimes indicated in paragraph 1, then the permanent ban from performing the activity is applied pursuant to Article 16, paragraph 3 of the Decree.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

DEFINITIONS

Law No. 232 of 12 July 1999 (Ratification and execution of the Statute of the International Criminal Court, with final act and annexes, adopted by the Diplomatic Conference of the United Nations in Rome on 17 July 1998. Delegation of authority to the Government for the implementation of the Statute itself).

Agreement 1/6 (Crime of genocide)

"For the purposes of this Statute, the crime of genocide means any of the following acts committed with the intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnic, racial or religious group, namely:

- a) killing group members;*
- b) causing serious injury to the physical or psychological integrity of persons belonging to the group;*
- c) deliberately subjecting persons belonging to the group to conditions of life that result in the physical destruction, in whole or in part, of the group;*
- d) Impose measures to prevent in-group births;*
- e) forcibly transferring children belonging to the group to a different group;*

Agreement 1/7

(Crimes against humanity)

"For the purposes of this Statute, a crime against humanity means any of the following acts, when committed as part of an extensive or systematic attack against civilian populations, and with knowledge of the attack: Homicide;

Extermination;

Enslavement;

Deportation or forced relocation of the population;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Imprisonment or other serious deprivation of liberty in violation of fundamental norms of international law;

Torture;

Rape, sexual slavery, forced prostitution, forced pregnancy, forced sterilization, and other forms of sexual violence of similar severity;

Persecution against a group or collectivity endowed with its own identity, inspired by political, racial, national, ethnic, cultural, religious, or sexual gender reasons within the meaning of paragraph 3, or by other reasons universally recognized as impermissible under international law, related to acts covered by the provisions of this paragraph or to crimes within the jurisdiction of the Court;

Forced disappearance of people;

Apartheid;

Other inhumane acts of similar character directed at intentionally causing great suffering or serious harm to physical integrity or physical or mental health.

For purposes of paragraph 1:

"Direct attack against civilian populations" means conduct involving the repeated commission of any of the acts provided for in paragraph 1 against civilian populations, in implementation or execution of the political design of a State or organisation aimed at carrying out the attack;

by "extermination" is meant, in particular, the intentional subjecting of people to conditions of life aimed at causing the destruction of part of the population, such as preventing access to food and medicine;

"Enslavement" means the exercise over a person of any or all of the powers inherent in the right to property, including in the course of trafficking in persons, particularly women and children for the purpose of sexual exploitation;

"Forced deportation or relocation of population" means the removal of persons, by means of of expulsion or by other coercive means, from the region in which they are lawfully located, in the absence of any reason provided by international law to do so;

"Torture" means the intentional infliction of severe pain or suffering, physical or mental, on a person over whom one has custody or control; this term does not include pain or suffering arising solely from lawful sanctions, which are inseparably connected with or incidentally occasioned by such sanctions;

"Forced pregnancy" means the unlawful detention of a woman forcibly made pregnant with the intent to change the ethnic composition of a population or to commit other serious violations of international law.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

This definition shall in no way be interpreted in such a way as to prejudice the application of national regulations concerning the termination of pregnancy;

persecution" means the intentional and severe deprivation of fundamental rights. in violation of international law, for reasons related to the identity of the group or community;

"apartheid" means inhuman acts of a character similar to those referred to in the provisions of paragraph 1, committed in the context of an institutionalized regime of systematic oppression and domination by one racial group over another or other racial groups, and for the purpose of perpetuating that regime; "Enforced disappearance of persons" means the arrest, detention, or abduction of persons by or with the authorization, support, or acquiescence of a state or political organisation, which subsequently refuses to recognize the deprivation of liberty or to give information about the fate of such persons or their whereabouts, with the intent of removing them from the protection of the law for an extended period of time.

For the purposes of these Bylaws, the term "sexual gender" refers to the two sexes, male and female, in the social context. This term does not imply any other meaning than the one mentioned above.

Article 8 War Crimes

"The Court has jurisdiction to try war crimes, particularly when committed as part of a political plan or design, or as part of a series of similar crimes committed on a large scale.

For the purposes of the Statute, "war crimes" is defined as:

serious violations of the Geneva Convention of 12 August 1949, i.e., any of the following acts committed against persons or property protected by the standards of the Geneva Conventions: voluntary manslaughter;

torture or inhumane treatment, including biological experiments;

willfully causing great suffering or serious injury to physical integrity or health;

destruction and appropriation of property, not justified by military needs and carried out on a large scale illegally and arbitrarily;

forcing a prisoner of war or other protected person to serve in the armed forces of an enemy power;

willfully depriving a prisoner of war or other protected person of his or her right to due process of law;

deportation, transfer, or unlawful detention;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

hostage taking.

Other serious violations of applicable laws and customs, within the established framework of international law, in international armed conflicts, i.e. any of the following acts:

deliberately directing attacks against civilian populations as such or against civilians not directly participating in the hostilities;

deliberately directing attacks against civilian property, i.e., property that is not a military target;

deliberately directing attacks against personnel, material installations, units or vehicles used in a humanitarian relief or peacekeeping mission in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations, insofar as they are entitled to the protection afforded to civilians and civilian property under the international law of armed conflict;

deliberately launching attacks in the knowledge that such attacks will result in the loss of civilian life, injury to civilians or damage to civilian property, or widespread, sustained and severe damage to the natural environment that is clearly excessive in relation to the anticipated direct military benefits;

attack or bomb by any means, towns, villages, dwellings or buildings that are not defended and that do not constitute military objectives;

kill or injure combatants who, having laid down their arms or having no further means of defense, have surrendered unconditionally;

make improper use of the white flag, military flag or insignia, and the uniform of the enemy or the United Nations and the distinctive emblems of the Geneva Convention, thereby causing loss of life or serious bodily injury;

the direct or indirect transfer by the occupying power of part of its civilian population into the occupied territories or the deportation or transfer of all or part of the population of the occupied territory within or outside such territory;

intentionally directing attacks against buildings dedicated to worship, education, Article science, or purposes

humanitarian, to historic monuments, to hospitals and places where the sick and wounded are gathered, provided that such buildings are not used for military purposes;

to subject those in the power of the enemy to physical mutilation or medical or scientific experiments of any kind, not justified by medical treatment of the persons involved nor carried out; their interest, which cause the death of such persons or seriously impair their health;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

killing or treacherously wounding individuals belonging to the enemy nation or army; declare that no one's life will be saved;

destroy or confiscate property of the enemy, unless confiscation or destruction is imperatively required by the necessities of war;

declare abolished, suspended or improper in court rights and actions of citizens of the enemy nation;

compel citizens of the enemy nation, even if in the service of the belligerent prior to the beginning of the war, to take part in war operations directed against their own country;

plunder cities or towns, even if they have been taken by storm;

use poison or poisonous weapons;

use asphyxiating, toxic, or other similar gases and all similar liquids, materials, and instruments;

use projectiles that easily expand or flatten inside the human body, such as projectiles with a hard casing that does not fully cover the middle or those that are notch-punched;

to use weapons, projectiles, materials and methods of combat with such characteristics as to cause unnecessary injury or unnecessary suffering, or which strike by their nature indiscriminately in violation of the international law of armed conflict provided that such means are subject to a general prohibition of use and are among those listed in an annex to the annex to this Statute, by means of an amendment adopted in accordance with the relevant provisions contained in Articles 121 and 123. to violate the dignity of the person, in particular by using humiliating and degrading treatment;

rape, sexual enslavement, coercion into prostitution or pregnancy, imposition of sterilization, and committing any other form of sexual violence constituting a serious violation of the Geneva Conventions;

use the presence of a civilian or other protected person to prevent certain sites, areas, or military forces from becoming the target of military operations;

intentionally directing attacks against buildings, personal material and medical transport units using, in accordance with international law, the distinctive emblems provided for by the Geneva Conventions;

to starve intentionally, as a method of war, the civilians depriving them of the indispensable goods for their survival, and in particular to prevent voluntarily the arrival of the relief foreseen by the Geneva Conventions;

recruiting or enlisting children under the age of fifteen in the national armed forces or having them actively participate in hostilities;

In hypothesis of armed conflict not of international character, serious violations of article 3 common to

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

the four Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, that is one of the acts enumerated below, committed against those who do not participate directly in the hostilities, including members of the Armed Forces who have laid down their arms and those persons who are unable to fight due to illness, wounds, state of detention or any other cause:

Acts of violence against the life and integrity of the person, particularly all forms of murder, mutilation, cruel treatment and torture;

violate personal dignity, particularly humiliating and degrading treatment;

take hostages;

making and enforcing judgments without prior adjudication in a properly constituted court of law offering all the judicial safeguards generally recognized as essential.

Subparagraph (c) of paragraph (2) applies to armed conflicts that are not of an international character and therefore does not apply to domestic situations of disorder and tension such as riots or sporadic or isolated acts of violence of a similar nature.

Other serious violations of applicable laws and customs, within the established framework of international law, in armed conflicts not of an international character, i.e., any of the following acts;

deliberately directing attacks against populations. civilians as such or against civilians not directly participating in hostilities;

intentionally directing attacks against material buildings, personnel and medical units and means of transport, using in accordance with international law the distinctive emblems provided for in the Geneva Conventions;

deliberately directing attacks against personnel, facilities, equipment, units, or vehicles used in a humanitarian relief or peacekeeping mission in accordance with the United Nations Court, insofar as they are entitled to the protection afforded to civilians and civilian property under the international law of armed conflict;

intentionally directing attacks against buildings devoted to worship, education, Article science, or humanitarian purposes, historical monuments, hospitals, and places where the sick and wounded are gathered, provided that such buildings are not used for military purposes;

plunder cities or towns, even if taken by storm rape, reduce to sexual slavery, force prostitution or pregnancy, impose sterilization, and commit any other form of sexual violence constituting a serious violation of the Geneva Conventions;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

recruiting or enlisting children under the age of fifteen in the national armed forces or having them actively participate in hostilities;

order a different displacement of the civilian population for conflict-related reasons, unless the safety of the civilians involved or compelling military reasons require it;

killing or treacherously injuring an opposing combatant;

declare that no one's life will be saved

to subject those in the power of the adversary to physical mutilation or to medical or scientific experiments of any kind, not justified by medical treatment of the persons concerned nor carried out in their interest, which cause the death of such persons or seriously damage their health, to destroy or confiscate property of the adversary, unless confiscation or destruction is imperatively required by the necessities of the conflict;

D(e) of paragraph (2) applies to armed conflicts not of an international character and therefore does not apply to situations of internal tension and disorder, such as riots or isolated and sporadic acts of violence and other similar acts. Applies to armed conflicts occurring in the territory of a state where there is prolonged armed conflict between government armed forces and organised armed groups, or between such groups. Nothing contained in the provisions of subsections (c) and (d) of paragraph (2) shall affect the responsibilities of governments to maintain or restore public order within the State or to defend the unity and territorial integrity of the State by any lawful means."

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 terdecies of Legislative Decree 231/2001

From the analysis of the business processes of Star7 S.p.A., the At-Risk Activities that may expose the Company to the risk of committing the offences indicated in Article 25 terdecies concerns the activity of translation of texts.

Protocols to protect against the risks of offences pursuant to Article 25 ter of the Decree:

Operational Protocol Translation Management PO - 09.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

18. OFFENCES RELATING TO SPORTS COMPETITIONS AND GAMES OF CHANCE

Article 1 Law 13 December 1989 n. 40

"Anyone who offers or promises money or other benefits or advantages to any of the participants in a sporting competition organised by the federations recognized by the Italian National Olympic Committee (CONI), by the Italian Union for the increase of horse breeds (UNIRE) or by other sporting bodies recognized by the State and by the associations belonging to them, in order to achieve a different result from that resulting from the correct and fair conduct of the competition, or who carries out other fraudulent acts aimed at the same purpose, shall be punished by imprisonment of from two to six years and a fine of from 1,000 to 4,000 euros.

The same penalties apply to the participant in the competition who accepts the money or other benefit or advantage, or accepts the promise thereof.

If the result of the competition is influential for the purposes of carrying out regularly practiced betting and forecasting contests, for the facts referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2, the penalty of imprisonment is increased by up to half and a fine ranging from 10,000 to 100,000 euros is applied.

The crime can be committed by "anyone", regardless of the subjective qualification of the agent.

The incriminating behaviors are varied:

- (i) offering or promising money or other benefits for the benefit of participants in a sporting competition organised by a federation recognized by the State, or
- (ii) the performance of fraudulent acts carried out to vitiate the correct outcome of the competition.

Both conducts are relevant in that they are carried out in order to achieve a different result from the one resulting from the correct and fair conduct of the competition.

The same penalty provided for the sports bribe-giver shall be applied to the corrupt party.

Example of relevant conduct: Marco, the operational director of a football club, 'bribes' the player of an opposing team, so that the latter causes a penalty to the disadvantage of his own club. In view of Star7 S.p.A.'s business activity, this case is outside the Company's risk area.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

Article 4 Law 13 December 1989 n. 401

Anyone who unlawfully organises lotteries or betting or betting competitions that the law reserves to the State or to another concessionary body, is punished with imprisonment for a period of between three and six years and with a fine of between 20,000 and 50,000 euros. The same punishment applies to anyone who organises betting or betting competitions on sports activities managed by the Italian National Olympic Committee (CONI), by organisations dependent on it or by the Italian Union for the increase in horse races (UNIRE). Anyone who illegally organises public betting on other competitions involving persons or animals and games of skill shall be punished by arrest from three months to one year and a fine of not less than one million lire. The same sanctions are applied to anyone who sells on national territory, without authorization from the Customs and Monopolies Agency, lottery tickets or similar lottery events of foreign countries, as well as anyone who participates in such operations through the collection of bets and the accreditation of the relative winnings and the promotion and advertising carried out by any means of dissemination. It is also punished with imprisonment from three to six years and a fine from 20,000 to 50,000 euros and a fine from twenty to fifty thousand euros whoever organises, exercises and collects at a distance, without the prescribed concession, any game established or regulated by the Customs and Monopolies Agency. Anyone who, even if in possession of the prescribed concession, organises, exercises and collects at a distance any game set up or regulated by the Customs and Monopolies Agency using methods and techniques other than those provided for by law is punished with imprisonment from three months to a year or a fine from 500 to 5,000 euros. The case in question is a common crime, in that it can be committed by "anyone", regardless of the subjective status of the agent.

The incriminated conducts are various, but however referable, in general, to the abusive organisation of bets, games, betting.

Example of relevant conduct: Marco, the operational director of a joint-stock company, organises clandestine bets on the outcome of the Serie A football championship. The case in question is outside the risk area of STAR7 S.p.A.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25-quaterdecies of the Decree:

The analysis conducted on the activities of Star7 S.p.A. **did not reveal any At-Risk Activities** in relation to the cases in question.

19. TAX OFFENCES

With the Decree-Law of 26 October 2019, the criminal-tax case referred to in Article 2 of Legislative Decree 74/2000 (fraudulent declaration through the use of invoices or other documents for non-existent transactions) was included in the list of Offences-Presumed. Subsequently, with the law converting the aforementioned decree-law (L. 19.12.2019, no. 157), the catalog of offences-prepared was extended to additional tax offences, namely:

- fraudulent declaration by means of other devices (art. 3 of Legislative Decree 74/2000);
- the issue of invoices/other documents for non-existent transactions (art. 8 of Legislative Decree 74/2000);
- concealment or destruction of accounting documents (art. 10 of Legislative Decree 74/2000);
- fraudulent evasion of tax payments (art. 11 of Legislative Decree 74/2000).

Below is an analysis of the individual cases just listed.

Art. 2 Legislative Decree 74/2000 - Fraudulent statement by using invoices or other documents for non-existent transactions

It is punished [...] anyone who, in order to evade taxes on income or value added, using invoices or other documents for non-existent transactions, indicates fictitious passive elements in one of the declarations relating to said taxes.

The fact is considered to have been committed by availing oneself of invoices or other documents for non-existent transactions when such invoices or documents are recorded in compulsory accounting records, or are held for the purposes of proof in relation to the financial administration.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

If the amount of the fictitious passive elements is less than one hundred thousand euros, imprisonment from one year and six months to six years is applied.

The case in question "occurs when the declaration is not only untrue, but is also "insidious", in that it is supported by an accounting 'system', or more generally by documentation, designed to mislead or hinder the subsequent assessment activities of the tax authorities, or in any case to artificially support the untrue presentation of the data contained therein" (Report accompanying the legislative decree outline containing "New regulations on crimes relating to income tax and value added tax, pursuant to Article 9 Law no. 205 of 25 June 1999" approved on 5 January 2005 by the Council of Ministers).

The interest protected by the provision under review, according to the majority doctrine and jurisprudence, is represented by the interest of the State in the regular collection of taxes.

Despite the fact that "anyone" is indicated as the active subject of the offence, the crime can only be committed by anyone who signs the personal statement or that of the company, body or natural person where he/she is a director, liquidator or representative.

The offending conduct is commissive and consists of several steps: the first consisting in the use of **invoices/other documents for non-existent transactions** and a subsequent/conclusive phase that is carried out by indicating in one of the declarations **fictitious passive elements** supported by the above-mentioned documents.

Sometimes the case is supplemented by the preparation of a more complex fraudulent system involving entities that are not actually operational (so-called 'cartiere'), in fact managed by the top management of another organisation, in some cases with the support of a consultant/tax expert.

The material object of the relevant conduct consists of invoices or other documents for non-existent transactions and declarations relating to income tax and value added tax in which the offender indicates the fictitious passive elements of the false invoices or false documents.

In this regard, it is necessary to specify that, pursuant to letter a) of Article 1 of Legislative Decree 74/2000, "invoices or other documents for non-existent transactions" are "*invoices or other relevant documents having a similar probative importance on the basis of tax regulations, issued in relation to transactions that have not actually been carried out, either in full or in part, which indicate fees or value added tax in excess of the actual amount, which refer the transaction to parties other than the actual parties*".

On this subject, it seems useful to distinguish between objectively non-existent operations (never or

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

partially carried out), over-invoicing consisting in the increase of existing liabilities and subjectively non-existent operations (which presuppose that one of the subjects of the operation has remained completely extraneous to the same or, more radically, that one of the subjects of the operation does not exist in reality).

Following the revision of the criminal-tax system, with the cancellation of the reference to the annuality of the declarations, the relevant tax declarations pursuant to Article 2 of Legislative Decree no. 74/2000 are - according to the Supreme Court - *"any declaration, among which are the declarations of income and infra-annual IRAP resulting from the liquidation of a company, the declarations in the event of transformation, merger, company split, the declaration of intra-Community transactions relating to purchases, the monthly declarations of purchases of goods and services made by entities (...)"*.

From a subjective point of view, the case requires the so-called **specific intent**, given that the conduct is criminally relevant exclusively if carried out *"in order to evade income and value added taxes"*.

With Law157/2019, which introduced the case to the list of predicate offences, the threshold of €100,000.00 was also provided for, below which the penalty (including penal-administrative) is lower (up to four hundred units instead of five hundred).

Example of relevant conduct: Marco, director of a joint-stock company, signs a declaration in which there are fictitious expenses, supporting this declaration with invoices for non-existent consultancies.

Article 3 Legislative Decree 74/2000 - Fraudulent declaration by means of other artifices

Apart from the cases envisaged by article 2, anyone who, in order to evade income tax or value added tax, by carrying out objectively or subjectively simulated transactions or by making use of false documents or other fraudulent means capable of hindering the assessment and misleading the tax authorities, indicates in one of the declarations relating to said taxes assets for an amount lower than the actual amount or fictitious liabilities or fictitious credits and deductions, when, together

- a) *the tax evaded exceeds, with reference to any one of the individual taxes, thirty thousand euros;*
- b) *the total amount of the assets removed from taxation, also through the indication of fictitious passive elements, is higher than five per cent of the total amount of the assets indicated in the declaration, or in any case, is higher than one million five hundred thousand euros, or if the total amount*

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

of the fictitious credits and deductions from taxation is higher than five per cent of the amount of the same tax or in any case, is higher than thirty thousand euros.

2. *The offence is considered to have been committed by using false documents when such documents are recorded in compulsory accounting records or are held for the purposes of providing evidence to the tax authorities.*

3. *For the purposes of the application of the provision of paragraph 1, the mere violation of the obligations of invoicing and recording of assets in the accounting records or the mere indication in the invoices or in the records of assets lower than the real ones do not constitute fraudulent means.*

The provision under review punishes anyone who, on the basis of a **false representation of the assets and liabilities in the compulsory accounting records** and making use of fraudulent means capable of hindering the assessment by the tax authorities, indicates fictitious liabilities in the annual declaration, when the two conditions set out in letters a) and b) of the provision are met.

In other words, while Article 2 punishes anyone who 'inflates' the negative components of the declaration, so as to reduce the taxable income and the tax due, regardless of quantitative thresholds, the case in Article 3, on the other hand, remains subject to the joint exceeding of punishment thresholds, so as to limit the criminal relevance of the conduct only to economically significant offences, given that

- the tax evaded must exceed 30,000.00 euros in relation to each of the individual taxes (income tax and VAT)
- the amount of the assets subtracted from taxation and of the liabilities artificially increased must be greater than the proportional amount of 5% with respect to the total amount of the assets indicated in the declaration, or, in any event, greater than the amount of 1.5 million euros.

In order to understand what is meant by evaded tax it is necessary to refer to Article 1 paragraph 1 letter f) of Legislative Decree 74/2000, according to which it consists in the "*difference between the tax actually due and that which (following the false presentation of the income components or the taxable bases) has been indicated (as due) in the declaration. From this amount, however, must be subtracted the sums that the taxpayer, or others in his stead (in the capacity, in particular, of withholding agent), have in fact paid for any reason (down payment, withholding) in payment of the tax before the submission of the declaration (which marks the consummation of the offense)*".

The crime has **its own** character in that it can only be committed by qualified individuals: it is required

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

that the active party be a person obliged to keep accounting records, as well as a taxpayer obliged to file tax returns.

Pursuant to Article 13 of Presidential Decree 600/1973, those obliged to keep records are natural persons who carry out commercial enterprises, Article and professions; general partnerships, limited partnerships and companies treated as such; companies subject to income tax (joint-stock companies, limited partnerships, limited liability companies, cooperatives, companies and bodies not resident in Italy); companies or associations between artists and professionals. Those subjects excluded from these obligations remain outside, such as agricultural entrepreneurs (art. 2135 Civil Code) and those who, while receiving income from self-employment, do not exercise professionally Article and professions (art. 49 Income Tax Act).

The conduct incriminated by the case is of a commission type and is divided into a number of acts: the preparation of a false representation of the assets or liabilities in the compulsory accounting records, also by using fraudulent means; the subsequent indication, in one of the annual declarations, of assets that are lower than their real value or fictitious liabilities.

For the integration of the subjective element of the crime, the specific intent is required, understood as the will to achieve a result in terms of tax evasion.

Example of relevant conduct: Marco, the CEO of a company with share capital, has indicated fictitious passive elements in the annual tax declaration (when the two conditions referred to in letters a) and b) of the regulation are jointly met) on the basis of a false representation of the assets and liabilities in the compulsory accounting records and by availing himself of fraudulent means capable of obstructing the tax authorities' assessment.

Article 8 of Legislative Decree 74/2000 - Issue of invoices or other documents for non-existent transactions

1. *Anyone who issues invoices or other documents for non-existent transactions in order to allow third parties to evade income tax or value added tax shall be punished by imprisonment of between four and eight years.*
2. *For the purposes of the application of the provision set out in paragraph 1, the issuing or issuing of more than one invoice or document for non-existent transactions during the same tax period shall be*

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

considered as a single offence.

2-bis. If the untrue amount indicated in the invoices or documents, per tax period, is less than one hundred thousand euros, the penalty is imprisonment for a period of between one year and six months and six years.

The object of criminal protection is the interest "of the State in not seeing its tax assessment function obstructed" (Cass. Pen. 4 June 2009 no. 28654) and therefore in the genuine representation of the taxable bases for tax purposes.

The case provides for an abstract crime of danger and is an instantaneous crime, which takes shape when the documents leave the issuer's possession.

The offending conduct takes the form of issuing or issuing invoices or other documents for non-existent transactions: therefore, the mere formation of such documents is not sufficient.

Specific intent on the part of the issuer is required for the integration of the crime. In this regard, the Supreme Court states that "*it is necessary that the issuer of the invoices proposes to allow third parties to evade income tax or value added tax, but not also that the third party actually achieves the planned evasion*".

Example of relevant conduct: Marco, CEO of a corporation, issues an invoice for services not actually rendered.

Article 10 Legislative Decree 74/2000 - Concealment or destruction of accounting documents

"Unless the fact constitutes a more serious offence, anyone who, in order to evade income tax or value added tax, or to allow third parties to evade them, conceals or destroys all or part of the accounting records or documents whose preservation is obligatory, so as not to allow reconstruction of income or turnover, shall be punished by imprisonment from three to seven years."

The case under consideration safeguards the interests of the State in the complete and timely collection of taxes and those of the Administration in the regular performance of its assessment activities.

The offence is in any case as the active subject of the case can be anyone who carries out the incriminated conduct, regardless of the subjective status of the agent.

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

The conduct alternatively incriminated is the **concealment or destruction of accounting records**. The subjective element is the **specific intent** consisting of the purpose of evasion (which does not necessarily have to be achieved for the crime to be completed).

Example of relevant conduct: Marco, CEO of a limited company, orders the destruction of part of the accounting records in order to evade the assessment of the taxes due.

Article 11 Legislative Decree 74/2000 - Fraudulent evasion of tax payments

1. *Anyone who, in order to evade payment of income tax or value added tax, or of interest or administrative sanctions relating to said taxes for a total amount exceeding fifty thousand euros, simulously sells or carries out other fraudulent acts on their own assets or the assets of others that are likely to render the compulsory collection procedure wholly or partially ineffective, shall be punished by imprisonment of from six months to four years. If the amount of taxes, sanctions and interest exceeds two hundred thousand euros, the penalty is imprisonment for a period of between one and six years.*

2. *Anyone who, in order to obtain partial payment of taxes and related accessories for themselves or others, indicates in the documentation submitted for the purposes of the tax settlement procedure assets for an amount lower than the actual amount or fictitious liabilities for a total amount exceeding fifty thousand euros, shall be punished by imprisonment of between six months and four years. If the amount referred to in the preceding sentence exceeds two hundred thousand euros, the **penalty is imprisonment for a term of between one and six years.***

The active party is the one who has the obligation to pay taxes for an amount exceeding 50,000 Euros. The relevant conduct consists in the performance of fraudulent acts capable of rendering ineffective the action taken by the State. The consummation of the crime occurs at the time of the simulated alienation or other fraudulent acts; a vain compulsory tax execution is not necessary for the integration of the offence.

The subjective element of this case is the **specific intent** to evade the payment of taxes.

Example of relevant conduct: Marco, CEO of a limited company, carries out a simulated operation of company transfer, in order to render ineffective the compulsory collection of administrative sanctions.

Article 4 Legislative Decree 74/2000: Untrue declaration if committed as part of cross-border fraudulent schemes and in order to evade value added tax for a total amount of not less than 10

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

million euros

"Apart from the cases provided for in Articles 2 and 3, anyone who, in order to evade taxes on income or on value added, indicates in one of the annual declarations relating to said taxes assets for an amount lower than the actual one or non-existent passive elements, when, jointly: a) the evaded tax is higher, with reference to any of the individual taxes, than one hundred thousand euros;

b) the total amount of the assets removed from taxation, also through the indication of non-existent passive elements, is higher than ten per cent of the total amount of the assets indicated in the declaration, or, in any case, is higher than two million euros"

The scope of application of the case is intended to cover evasive behaviors that are resolved in ideological falsehoods without fraudulent connotations such as:

- in the failure to record revenues;
- in the undue reduction of taxable income through the indication in the declaration of non-existent (and not fictitious) costs, namely, negative income components that never actually came into existence;
- under-invoicing, i.e. the indication in the invoice of an amount lower than the actual amount.

Example of relevant conduct: Marco, Chairman of the Board of Directors of a company with share capital, promotes a policy of systematic under-invoicing for the purposes of VAT evasion, under the conditions envisaged by the case in point.

Article 5 Legislative Decree 74/2000: Omission of declaration if committed as part of fraudulent cross-border schemes and in order to evade value added tax for a total amount of not less than 10 million euros

"Anyone who, in order to evade taxes on income or on value added, does not present, being obliged to do so, one of the declarations relating to said taxes, when the tax evaded is higher, with reference to any of the individual taxes, than fifty thousand euros, shall be punished by imprisonment [...].

It is punished with imprisonment [...] whoever does not present, being obliged to do so, the declaration of withholding tax, when the amount of withholding tax not paid is higher than fifty thousand euros"

VAT evasion can also be attempted by "simply" failing to submit the relevant returns. However, the

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

declaration shall not be considered to be omitted when it is submitted no more than 90 days late, or is not signed or is made on a non-conforming printout.

Example of relevant conduct: Marco, president of a limited company, omits to submit the annual VAT return under the conditions provided for by the case in point.

Article 10-quater Legislative Decree 74/2000: Undue compensation if committed as part of fraudulent cross-border schemes and in order to evade value added tax for a total amount of not less than 10 million euros

Anyone who fails to pay the sums due, using undue credits as compensation, pursuant to article 17 of Legislative Decree no. 241 of 9 July 1997, for an annual amount exceeding fifty thousand euros, shall be punished by imprisonment [...].

It is punished with imprisonment [...] whoever does not pay the amounts due, using as compensation, pursuant to article 17 of Legislative Decree no. 241 of 9 July 1997, non-existent credits for an annual amount exceeding fifty thousand euros"

In this regard, it should be pointed out that the "non-existent" credit is that which is totally detached from the tax situation of the taxpayer: in this case the credit is literally invented or the result of an impromptu creation during the compilation of Form 24.

The credit that is not due is the one for which the compensation procedure is not respected: therefore, a credit not due in relation to the reference provisions.

Example of relevant conduct: Marco, chairman of the board of directors of a corporation, indicates as an offset tax credits that do not actually exist, under the conditions provided for in this provision.

At-Risk Activities pursuant to Article 25 quinquiesdecies of Legislative Decree 231/2001

From the analysis carried out on the activities of Star7 S.p.A., the following At-Risk Activities have emerged which involve the risk of committing the offences set out in article 25 quinquiesdecies of the Decree:

- **accounting** management;
- **invoicing**;

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

- preparation of **tax returns**;
- **transactions** involving the disposal (also free of charge) of movable and immovable property, equity investments, as well as extraordinary transactions (for example, the sale of company branches).

Standards of conduct

Area of Making.

All At-Risk Activities must be carried out in compliance with the laws and regulations in force, with the provisions of the Code of Ethics, with the general principles of conduct set out in this Model, and with the Protocols on taxation and financial flows (see below).

In particular, you are required to:

- carry out **checks** on the operations of its **suppliers** (specifically, by extracting the Chamber of Commerce register and verifying the consistency of the company's object with the service invoiced);
- keeping **accounting records** in a neat and orderly manner.

Area of Not Doing.

It is **prohibited** to:

- omitting/delaying mandatory tax returns
- omitting/delaying the settlement of VAT
- indicating fictitious taxable items on mandatory tax returns;
- working with suppliers whose reliability has not been established;
- in any case, violate the principles of the Code of Ethics and the standards of conduct crystallized in the Protocols listed below

Protocols to protect against the risks of offences pursuant to Article 25 quinquiesdecies of the Decree:

OP-02 - Management of financial flows

OP-03 - Supplier Selection

OP-04 - Selection of Consultants

VERSION	DATE
3	06/12/2021
231 CP	

OP-12 - Principles of Taxation

OP-13 - Accounting Management and Budgeting

20. SMUGGLING OFFENCES

Legislative Decree no. 75 of 14 July 2020 introduced the criminal offences envisaged by the Consolidated Law on Customs (Presidential Decree no. 43 of 23 January 1973) to the list of alleged offences. These are the offences contemplated by articles 282 et seq. of Presidential Decree no. 43/1973, which are better specified in the Risk Assessment document [RA231] referred to in this Programme pursuant to Legislative Decree no. 231/2001.

In view of the commercial flows concretely entertained by Star7 S.p.A, the above-mentioned offences do not fall within the Company's risk area, and it is not considered necessary to analyse the individual cases and draw up Operational Protocols on the subject.